



**Intensive In-home Services  
Annual Report  
Fiscal Year 2010**

Research and Evaluation  
December 2012



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

---

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2010. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2010 (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI  
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED  
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

*An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.*

## Table of Contents

<b>Families and Children Referred and Served .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Table 1 Families Referred for IIS .....	2
Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted .....	4
Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into IIS .....	6
Table 4 Families Accepted by Referral Source Code .....	8
Table 5 Families and Children Accepted by Month .....	10
Table 6 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages .....	10
Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention .....	11
Table 8 Duration of IIS Intervention by Site .....	11
Table 9 Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status .....	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables .....	14
<b>Heads of Household Served .....</b>	<b>16</b>
Table 10 Head of Household Age for Families Accepted .....	17
Table 11 Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted .....	19
Table 12 Head of Household Race for Families Accepted .....	21
Table 13 Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted .....	25
Table 14 Head of Household Income for Families Accepted .....	29
Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	33
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables .....	34
<b>At-risk Children Served .....</b>	<b>35</b>
Table 16 Age of At-risk Children Accepted .....	36
Table 17 Gender of At-risk Children Accepted .....	38
Table 18 Race of At-risk Children Accepted .....	40
Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category .....	44
Table 20 At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented .....	48
Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	52
Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables .....	53
<b>Outcomes .....</b>	<b>54</b>
Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs .....	55
Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider .....	55
Table 24 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart .....	55
Table 25 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report .....	56
Table 26 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart .....	56
Table 27 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 .....	57
Table 28 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart .....	57
Notes: Outcomes Tables .....	58

**Intensive In-home Services**  
**Families and Children Referred and Served**  
**During FY 2010**

**Table 1  
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	167	37.0%	282	62.5%	2	.4%	451
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>37.0%</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>451</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	313	66.6%	154	32.8%	3	.6%	470
	ST LOUIS CITY	199	62.4%	119	37.3%	1	.3%	319
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>64.9%</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>789</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	.0%	31
	BOONE	29	76.3%	9	23.7%	0	.0%	38
	CALLAWAY	21	70.0%	9	30.0%	0	.0%	30
	COLE	25	75.8%	8	24.2%	0	.0%	33
	HOWARD	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	MONTGOMERY	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	0	.0%	20
	WARREN	22	61.1%	14	38.9%	0	.0%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>205</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	ATCHISON	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	24	45.3%	29	54.7%	0	.0%	53
	CALDWELL	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	DE KALB	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	HARRISON	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	HOLT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	0	.0%	18
	LIVINGSTON	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	13
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	13
	PUTNAM	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	10
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>185</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	CRAWFORD	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	0	.0%	17
	DENT	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	8
	HOWELL	11	35.5%	20	64.5%	0	.0%	31
	IRON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	MARIES	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	.0%	31
	PULASKI	9	24.3%	28	75.7%	0	.0%	37
	REYNOLDS	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	SHANNON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	1	5.6%	16	88.9%	1	5.6%	18
	WAYNE	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>33.5%</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>65.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>176</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	15
	BENTON	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	CAMDEN	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	0	.0%	21
	DALLAS	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	0	.0%	9
	HENRY	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	11
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	13	31.7%	28	68.3%	0	.0%	41
	MILLER	11	37.9%	18	62.1%	0	.0%	29
	MONITEAU	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	MORGAN	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	0	.0%	12
	POLK	7	33.3%	14	66.7%	0	.0%	21
	ST CLAIR	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	0	.0%	41
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>222</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%
CEDAR		5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
DADE		2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
JASPER		36	24.8%	109	75.2%	0	.0%	145
MCDONALD		14	20.0%	56	80.0%	0	.0%	70
NEWTON		25	21.6%	91	78.4%	0	.0%	116
VERNON		5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>366</b>	

**Table 1 (continued)**  
**Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	CHRISTIAN	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	28
	DOUGLAS	12	35.3%	22	64.7%	0	.0%	34
	LAWRENCE	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	20
	OZARK	2	16.7%	10	83.3%	0	.0%	12
	STONE	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	0	.0%	17
	TANEY	44	55.7%	35	44.3%	0	.0%	79
	WRIGHT	9	29.0%	22	71.0%	0	.0%	31
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>235</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	133	38.4%	213	61.6%	0	.0%	346
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>346</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	17	30.9%	38	69.1%	0	.0%	55
	MARION	11	39.3%	17	60.7%	0	.0%	28
	MONROE	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	PIKE	5	29.4%	12	70.6%	0	.0%	17
	RALLS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	24	27.0%	65	73.0%	0	.0%	89
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>201</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	60.7%	11	39.3%	0	.0%	28
	CLARK	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	0	.0%	15
	KNOX	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	LEWIS	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	MACON	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	SCHUYLER	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	SCOTLAND	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	30.2%	37	69.8%	0	.0%	53
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	88	52.1%	81	47.9%	0	.0%	169
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>227</b>
SITE 933	CASS	14	26.4%	39	73.6%	0	.0%	53
	COOPER	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	JOHNSON	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	21
	PETTIS	29	65.9%	15	34.1%	0	.0%	44
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	24	60.0%	16	40.0%	0	.0%	40
	LAFAYETTE	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>87</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	22.2%	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	29	43.9%	37	56.1%	0	.0%	66
	MADISON	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	5
	PERRY	11	29.7%	24	64.9%	2	5.4%	37
	ST FRANCOIS	34	59.6%	23	40.4%	0	.0%	57
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	6	33.3%	12	66.7%	0	.0%	18
	WASHINGTON	10	38.5%	16	61.5%	0	.0%	26
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>246</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	14	37.8%	23	62.2%	0	.0%	37
	DUNKLIN	15	28.3%	38	71.7%	0	.0%	53
	NEW MADRID	8	42.1%	10	52.6%	1	5.3%	19
	PEMISCOT	11	33.3%	22	66.7%	0	.0%	33
	RIPLEY	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	13
	STODDARD	9	13.8%	56	86.2%	0	.0%	65
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>70.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,908</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>2,274</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>4,193</b>

**Table 2**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010**  
**Reason Not Accepted Codes**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	44	11	8	14	40	0	1	263	0	3	0	0	5	13	0	56	458
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>458</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	17	3	23	17	33	3	3	83	1	0	0	1	5	13	0	67	269
	ST LOUIS CITY	6	2	22	10	41	0	7	69	0	0	0	0	2	12	0	29	200
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>469</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	28
	BOONE	0	12	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	20
	CALLAWAY	3	6	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18
	COLE	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	13
	HOWARD	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	MONTGOMERY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	RANDOLPH	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	13
	WARREN	1	12	1	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>125</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	BUCHANAN	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	45
	CALDWELL	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	CHARITON	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	CLINTON	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	DAVISS	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GENTRY	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	GRUNDY	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	HARRISON	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HOLT	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LINN	0	7	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16
	LIVINGSTON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	10
	NODAWAY	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	SULLIVAN	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>160</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CRAWFORD	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	DENT	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
	HOWELL	2	22	6	5	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	46
	IRON	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
	MARIES	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PHELPS	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
	PULASKI	3	2	4	0	0	0	2	26	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	40
	REYNOLDS	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SHANNON	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	TEXAS	1	3	4	2	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	WAYNE	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>206</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	BENTON	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CAMDEN	0	11	1	0	3	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	DALLAS	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	16
	HENRY	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	LACLEDE	0	11	1	3	4	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	58
	MILLER	1	1	5	0	0	2	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	MONITEAU	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	MORGAN	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	POLK	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	22
	WEBSTER	0	1	5	1	2	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>221</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
	CEDAR	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	DADE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	JASPER	2	6	11	12	56	7	0	73	0	3	0	0	7	8	0	13	198
	MCDONALD	3	5	7	0	9	0	2	80	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	113
	NEWTON	0	8	5	4	0	0	1	149	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	3	178
	VERNON	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>528</b>

**Table 2 (continued)**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010**

**Reason Not Accepted Codes**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	16
	CHRISTIAN	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	39
	DOUGLAS	0	10	2	1	1	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	31
	LAWRENCE	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	18
	OZARK	0	1	0	5	1	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	STONE	0	11	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	21
	TANEY	2	27	1	0	3	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	11	75
	WRIGHT	0	28	1	1	4	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	46
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>266</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	6	14	3	2	12	1	3	159	0	0	0	6	132	6	0	10	354
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>354</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	23	15	1	2	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	60
	MARION	0	12	4	2	7	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	31
	MONROE	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	PIKE	0	3	15	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	27
	RALLS	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ST CHARLES	1	20	34	3	5	1	1	27	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	99
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>229</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	0	6	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLARK		1	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
KNOX		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LEWIS		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
MACON		0	3	0	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
SCHUYLER		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
SCOTLAND		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SHELBY		0	6	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>
SITE 932		FRANKLIN	0	16	2	3	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	18
	JEFFERSON	3	7	6	6	9	0	0	84	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>202</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	16	2	0	3	0	0	31	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	58
	COOPER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	JOHNSON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	PETTIS	0	2	0	3	4	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CLAY	0	11	2	1	3	0	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	42
	LAFAYETTE	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	PLATTE	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	13
	RAY	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	SALINE	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	0	0	2	3	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	62
	MADISON	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	PERRY	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	53
	ST FRANCOIS	1	17	0	0	0	0	2	8	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	36
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SCOTT	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	18
	WASHINGTON	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	27
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>248</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	15	1	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	43
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	7	73
	NEW MADRID	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	PEMISCOT	3	4	0	1	0	2	0	35	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	1	52
	RIPLEY	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	15
	STODDARD	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	79	2	2	0	0	0	3	0	17	105
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>307</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1,923</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>4,026</b>

**Table 3**  
**Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services**  
**During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	159	460	2.89	363	2.28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>2.89</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>2.28</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	300	781	2.60	532	1.77
	ST LOUIS CITY	190	557	2.93	385	2.03
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>1,338</b>	<b>2.73</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>1.87</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	40	4.00	22	2.20
	BOONE	25	67	2.68	50	2.00
	CALLAWAY	19	42	2.21	34	1.79
	COLE	25	64	2.56	51	2.04
	HOWARD	1	4	4.00	1	1.00
	MONTGOMERY	8	20	2.50	10	1.25
	RANDOLPH	12	31	2.58	25	2.08
	WARREN	23	59	2.57	40	1.74
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>1.89</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	19	4.75	13	3.25
	BUCHANAN	18	50	2.78	37	2.06
	CALDWELL	2	7	3.50	7	3.50
	CHARITON	7	13	1.86	11	1.57
	CLINTON	5	8	1.60	7	1.40
	DAVISS	3	6	2.00	5	1.67
	DE KALB	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	GENTRY	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	GRUNDY	4	17	4.25	14	3.50
	HARRISON	2	6	3.00	5	2.50
	LINN	11	27	2.45	23	2.09
	LIVINGSTON	8	29	3.63	23	2.88
	MERCER	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	NODAWAY	6	15	2.50	10	1.67
	PUTNAM	4	8	2.00	7	1.75
SULLIVAN	3	7	2.33	7	2.33	
WORTH	1	3	3.00	3	3.00	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>2.72</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>2.20</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	CRAWFORD	8	28	3.50	19	2.38
	DENT	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	HOWELL	11	32	2.91	29	2.64
	IRON	4	12	3.00	7	1.75
	MARIES	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	OREGON	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	PHELPS	13	30	2.31	18	1.38
	PULASKI	8	20	2.50	15	1.88
	REYNOLDS	2	5	2.50	3	1.50
	TEXAS	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	WAYNE	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>2.70</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>2.04</b>
SITE 736	BATES	12	31	2.58	22	1.83
	BENTON	8	23	2.88	10	1.25
	CAMDEN	9	25	2.78	20	2.22
	DALLAS	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	HENRY	2	4	2.00	2	1.00
	HICKORY	4	5	1.25	5	1.25
	LACLEDE	13	32	2.46	27	2.08
	MILLER	10	19	1.90	14	1.40
	MONITEAU	3	8	2.67	5	1.67
	MORGAN	4	9	2.25	6	1.50
	POLK	7	16	2.29	12	1.71
	ST CLAIR	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
WEBSTER	17	34	2.00	29	1.71	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>1.72</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	6	13	2.17	13	2.17
	CEDAR	5	9	1.80	6	1.20
	DADE	2	3	1.50	2	1.00
	JASPER	36	79	2.19	67	1.86
	MCDONALD	14	38	2.71	32	2.29
	NEWTON	22	50	2.27	49	2.23
	VERNON	6	13	2.17	12	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>1.99</b>

**Table 3 (continued)**  
**Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services**  
**During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 738	BARRY	8	24	3.00	20	2.50
	CHRISTIAN	11	22	2.00	15	1.36
	DOUGLAS	13	27	2.08	17	1.31
	LAWRENCE	11	33	3.00	19	1.73
	OZARK	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	STONE	10	33	3.30	32	3.20
	TANEY	44	104	2.36	85	1.93
	WRIGHT	9	21	2.33	17	1.89
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>2.48</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>1.93</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	131	293	2.24	262	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	17	45	2.65	29	1.71
	MARION	11	27	2.45	18	1.64
	MONROE	3	9	3.00	9	3.00
	PIKE	5	10	2.00	7	1.40
	RALLS	3	9	3.00	6	2.00
	ST CHARLES	25	57	2.28	33	1.32
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>1.59</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	17	38	2.24	30
CLARK		9	19	2.11	13	1.44
KNOX		3	3	1.00	3	1.00
LEWIS		8	23	2.88	20	2.50
MACON		9	27	3.00	19	2.11
SCHUYLER		6	20	3.33	16	2.67
SCOTLAND		6	17	2.83	8	1.33
SHELBY		6	15	2.50	8	1.33
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>1.83</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	39	2.44	36	2.25
	GASCONADE	4	7	1.75	6	1.50
	JEFFERSON	87	214	2.46	177	2.03
	OSAGE	2	7	3.50	2	1.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>2.03</b>
SITE 933	CASS	13	30	2.31	27	2.08
	COOPER	7	17	2.43	11	1.57
	JOHNSON	16	44	2.75	35	2.19
	PETTIS	28	72	2.57	47	1.68
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>2.55</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>1.88</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	7	3.50	4	2.00
	CLAY	26	68	2.62	54	2.08
	LAFAYETTE	8	16	2.00	14	1.75
	PLATTE	8	21	2.63	11	1.38
	RAY	3	9	3.00	5	1.67
	SALINE	7	17	2.43	13	1.86
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>1.87</b>
	SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	13	3.25	10
CAPE GIRARDEAU		27	72	2.67	56	2.07
MADISON		8	24	3.00	15	1.88
MISSISSIPPI		1	2	2.00	1	1.00
PERRY		11	31	2.82	30	2.73
ST FRANCOIS		33	88	2.67	49	1.48
STE GENEVIEVE		4	11	2.75	4	1.00
SCOTT		6	19	3.17	14	2.33
WASHINGTON		10	36	3.60	26	2.60
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>104</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>2.85</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>1.97</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	40	2.67	34	2.27
	DUNKLIN	15	46	3.07	37	2.47
	NEW MADRID	8	20	2.50	20	2.50
	PEMISCOT	11	32	2.91	30	2.73
	RIPLEY	8	24	3.00	22	2.75
	STODDARD	6	10	1.67	10	1.67
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>2.73</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>2.43</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,852</b>	<b>4,826</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>3,631</b>	<b>1.96</b>

**Table 4**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	2	0	0	152	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	36	1	0	231	0	1	14	0	14	0	2	0	0	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	26	2	4	143	0	7	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	10
	BOONE	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	25
	CALLAWAY	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	COLE	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>123</b>
	SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN		0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	18
CALDWELL		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CHARITON		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
CLINTON		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
DAVISS		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
DE KALB		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
GENTRY		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GRUNDY		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
HARRISON		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LINN		0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
LIVINGSTON		0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
MERCER		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NODAWAY		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
PUTNAM		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SULLIVAN		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	DENT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	IRON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS	0	1	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>
	SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BENTON		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
CAMDEN		0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
DALLAS		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
HENRY		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
HICKORY		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LACLEDE		1	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
MILLER		0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
MONTEAU		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
MORGAN		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
POLK		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
ST CLAIR		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
WEBSTER		0	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>93</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	DADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	36
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	22
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91</b>	

**Table 4 (continued)**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	11
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	STONE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	44
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>107</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	MARION	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	ST CHARLES	1	0	0	0	21	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLARK		0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
KNOX		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
LEWIS		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
MACON		0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
SCHUYLER		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
SCOTLAND		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6
SHELBY		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	JEFFERSON	0	1	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	13
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	8
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	RAY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	1	20	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	15
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1,644</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,852</b>	

**Table 5**  
**Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010**  
**Families and Children Accepted by Month**

	<b>Families</b>	<b>At-risk Children</b>	<b>Not At-risk Children</b>	<b>Total Children</b>
<b>July 2009</b>	160	312	107	419
<b>August 2009</b>	137	275	83	358
<b>September 2009</b>	170	325	102	427
<b>October 2009</b>	149	317	88	405
<b>November 2009</b>	140	269	98	367
<b>December 2009</b>	165	306	89	395
<b>January 2010</b>	145	286	103	389
<b>February 2010</b>	141	275	104	379
<b>March 2010</b>	172	357	124	481
<b>April 2010</b>	186	354	118	472
<b>May 2010</b>	133	258	87	345
<b>June 2010</b>	154	297	92	389
<b>State Total</b>	1,852	3,631	1,195	4,826

**Table 6**  
**Intensive In-home Services during FY 2010**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	1,923	47.76%
<b>No Opening in IIS-Child at Home</b>	516	12.81%
<b>Missing</b>	336	8.34%
<b>Caretaker Unwilling to Participate</b>	284	7.05%
<b>No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed</b>	243	6.03%
<b>Court Not in Agreement</b>	209	5.19%
<b>No Immediate Likelihood of Placement</b>	168	4.17%
<b>Primary Caretaker Unavailable</b>	141	3.50%
<b>Caretaker Unable to Participate</b>	88	2.18%
<b>Unable to Contact Family</b>	47	1.16%
<b>Referral Source Did Not Follow Through</b>	28	0.69%
<b>Child Unwilling to Participate</b>	23	0.57%
<b>Therapist`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	10	0.24%
<b>Child is Physically Assaultive</b>	9	0.22%
<b>Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement</b>	1	0.02%
<b>State Total</b>	4,026	100.00%

Of the 1,852 families accepted into IIS during FY 2010, 1,745 families had a total of 2,483 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

**Table 7**  
**Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention**  
**during FY 2010**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,642	66.12%
Juvenile Court	284	11.43%
Adult Law Enforcement	248	9.98%
Dept of Mental Health	149	6.00%
Adult Criminal Court	116	4.67%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	44	1.77%
<b>Total Contacts</b>	<b>2,483</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Average Duration of FY 2010 IIS Interventions is 5.05 Weeks.

**Table 8**  
**Duration of IIS Intervention by Site**  
**for Families Accepted during FY 2010**

	<b>Total Weeks of Intervention</b>									<b>Site Total</b>
	<b>&lt;1 Wk</b>	<b>1 Wk</b>	<b>2 Wks</b>	<b>3 Wks</b>	<b>4 Wks</b>	<b>5 Wks</b>	<b>6 Wks</b>	<b>7 Wks</b>	<b>8+ Wks</b>	
<b>SITE 731</b>	3	17	8	12	37	34	37	4	7	159
<b>SITE 732</b>	25	27	18	26	29	45	233	45	42	490
<b>SITE 733</b>	3	10	6	10	26	24	29	11	4	123
<b>SITE 734</b>	4	7	8	8	14	16	21	2	2	82
<b>SITE 735</b>	2	3	2	7	9	7	20	1	3	54
<b>SITE 736</b>	5	7	6	8	16	31	16	2	2	93
<b>SITE 737</b>	5	5	2	6	9	26	31	6	1	91
<b>SITE 738</b>	6	2	4	6	16	23	36	10	4	107
<b>SITE 739</b>	8	12	14	3	18	24	47	1	4	131
<b>SITE 831</b>	4	4	5	8	16	17	8	1	1	64
<b>SITE 931</b>	3	6	4	4	10	8	28	0	1	64
<b>SITE 932</b>	2	7	5	5	4	13	60	6	7	109
<b>SITE 933</b>	6	4	1	2	10	13	19	4	5	64
<b>SITE 934</b>	0	1	6	2	11	12	18	4	0	54
<b>SITE 935</b>	3	5	1	5	10	29	49	1	1	104
<b>SITE 936</b>	2	6	3	5	9	9	21	3	5	63
<b>State Total</b>	81	123	93	117	244	331	673	101	89	1,852

**Table 9  
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status  
During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	127	77.4%	36	22.0%	1	.6%	164
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>164</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	256	83.1%	43	14.0%	9	2.9%	308
	ST LOUIS CITY	161	79.3%	37	18.2%	5	2.5%	203
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>511</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	15	60.0%	9	36.0%	1	4.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	26
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	RANDOLPH	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	WARREN	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	0	.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>76.0%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>125</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	.0%	19
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LINN	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	LIVINGSTON	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	PUTNAM	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>80.7%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>88</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	DENT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	13
	IRON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PHELPS	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	PULASKI	8	88.9%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>81.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 736	BATES	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	BENTON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	.0%	14
	MILLER	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	9
	MONITEAU	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	0	.0%	18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>97</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
CEDAR		3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
DADE		2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
JASPER		29	82.9%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	35
MCDONALD		13	86.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	15
NEWTON		17	77.3%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	22
VERNON		4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>90</b>	

**Table 9 (continued)**  
**Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status**  
**During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	CHRISTIAN	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	9
	DOUGLAS	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	LAWRENCE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	TANEY	35	79.5%	8	18.2%	1	2.3%	44
	WRIGHT	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>79.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>105</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	96	76.2%	24	19.0%	6	4.8%	126
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	MONROE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	18	75.0%	6	25.0%	0	.0%	24
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>65</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	MACON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>58</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	68	81.0%	15	17.9%	1	1.2%	84
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>106</b>
SITE 933	CASS	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	COOPER	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	8
	JOHNSON	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PETTIS	20	71.4%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>78.8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>66</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	CLAY	20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	21
	LAFAYETTE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>86.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>51</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	74.2%	6	19.4%	2	6.5%	31
	MADISON	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	PERRY	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	0	.0%	30
	STIE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	12	70.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	17
	DUNKLIN	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	11
	RIPLEY	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	STODDARD	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,481</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1,886</b>

## Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010.

### Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30<sup>th</sup> may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

### Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
  - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
  - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
  - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
  - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
  - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
  - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
  - 16 Unable to Contact Family
  - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
  - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
  - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
  - 22 Court Not in Agreement
  - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
  - 24 Referral was Done in Error

### Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2010.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of at-risk and not at-risk children from the accepted families.

### Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2010

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

**Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)**

**Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status During FY 2010**

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
  - Child Ran Away (12)
  - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
  - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
  - In Residential (17)
  - With Division of Youth Services (18)
  - In Juvenile Justice (19)
  - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
  - Can't Locate Family (11)
  - Information Not Available (21)

**Intensive In-home Services**

**Heads of Household Served  
During FY 2010**

**Table 10**  
**Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	4	2.5%	75	47.2%	51	32.1%	23	14.5%	4	2.5%	1	.6%	1	.6%	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5	1.7%	66	22.0%	115	38.3%	75	25.0%	29	9.7%	8	2.7%	2	.7%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	11	5.8%	64	33.7%	64	33.7%	30	15.8%	14	7.4%	3	1.6%	4	2.1%	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	1	4.0%	8	32.0%	9	36.0%	5	20.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	1	5.3%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	1	4.0%	10	40.0%	6	24.0%	6	24.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	7	58.3%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	5	21.7%	10	43.5%	6	26.1%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	9	50.0%	7	38.9%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>37.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	8	47.1%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>93</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CEDAR		0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
DADE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
JASPER		1	2.8%	16	44.4%	9	25.0%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
MCDONALD		0	.0%	4	28.6%	8	57.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
NEWTON		1	4.5%	7	31.8%	12	54.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
VERNON		0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>91</b>	

**Table 10 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	7	15.9%	22	50.0%	10	22.7%	2	4.5%	3	6.8%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>107</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	10	7.6%	63	48.1%	45	34.4%	10	7.6%	1	.8%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>34.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	6	35.3%	3	17.6%	4	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	1	4.0%	3	12.0%	12	48.0%	8	32.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39.1%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	4	23.5%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	1	11.1%	0	.0%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>64</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	17	19.5%	35	40.2%	19	21.8%	12	13.8%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	3	18.8%	11	68.8%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	0	.0%	6	23.1%	13	50.0%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>54</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	7.4%	10	37.0%	12	44.4%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	3	9.1%	7	21.2%	15	45.5%	4	12.1%	4	12.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	10.0%	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>63</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>37.7%</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>1,852</b>	

**Table 11  
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	138	86.8%	21	13.2%	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>86.8%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	264	88.0%	36	12.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	176	92.6%	14	7.4%	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	BOONE	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	19
	COLE	20	80.0%	5	20.0%	25
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	12
	WARREN	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	18
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	LINN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	LIVINGSTON	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	11
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>87.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 736	BATES	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	BENTON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	CAMDEN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	DALLAS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	LACLEDE	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	MILLER	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MONITEAU	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	MORGAN	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WEBSTER	17	100.0%	0	.0%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>84.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>93</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	33	91.7%	3	8.3%	36
	MCDONALD	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	14
	NEWTON	19	86.4%	3	13.6%	22
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>91.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>91</b>

**Table 11 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	DOUGLAS	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	LAWRENCE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	TANEY	33	75.0%	11	25.0%	44
	WRIGHT	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>107</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	120	91.6%	11	8.4%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>91.6%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	17
	MARION	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	MONROE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	PIKE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	17	68.0%	8	32.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>64</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
CLARK		8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
KNOX		3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
LEWIS		5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
MACON		8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
SCHUYLER		2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
SCOTLAND		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
SHELBY		5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>47</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	16
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	63	72.4%	24	27.6%	87
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>75.2%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	COOPER	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	JOHNSON	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	16
	PETTIS	25	89.3%	3	10.7%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>79.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	26
	LAFAYETTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	RAY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	24	88.9%	3	11.1%	27
	MADISON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	31	93.9%	2	6.1%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	SCOTT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	WASHINGTON	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	DUNKLIN	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	PEMISCOT	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	RIPLEY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	STODDARD	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,561</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>1,852</b>	

**Table 12**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	93	58.5%	53	33.3%	2	1.3%	1	.6%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	103	34.3%	184	61.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY	28	14.7%	155	81.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.2%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	17	68.0%	6	24.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	17	89.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	20	80.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	20	87.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>98.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	34	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	20	90.9%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	1	.6%	9	5.7%	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	4.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	3.7%	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	COLE	0	.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>93</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	22
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>91</b>	

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	43	97.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>95.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	124	94.7%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>94.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	14	82.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	20	80.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>84.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>95.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	82	94.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>95.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	24	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>85.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	23	88.5%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>87.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	85.2%	4	14.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	32	97.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>91.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,321</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.2%</b>

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	2.3%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>107</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.3%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	5.7%	87
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	16
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>1,852</b>

**Table 13**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	35	22.0%	14	8.8%	4	2.5%	0	.0%	1	.6%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	32	10.7%	44	14.7%	10	3.3%	3	1.0%	4	1.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY	50	26.3%	24	12.6%	4	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	6	24.0%	5	20.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	3	12.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	2	18.2%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
	MILLER	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	9	25.0%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	0	.0%	1	2.8%
	MCDONALD	5	35.7%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	3	1.9%	6	3.8%	2	1.3%	13	8.2%	81	50.9%	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.0%	7	2.3%	0	.0%	46	15.3%	151	50.3%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	1.1%	5	2.6%	1	.5%	17	8.9%	87	45.8%	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	10
	BOONE	0	.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	4	16.0%	4	16.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	13	68.4%	19
	COLE	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	15	60.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	15	65.2%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	9	50.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LINN	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3	
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	38.5%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	33.3%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	8	61.5%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WEBSTER	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	8	47.1%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>93</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	15	41.7%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	14
	NEWTON	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	36.4%	22
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>91</b>

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.3%
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	26	19.8%	9	6.9%	1	.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	4	23.5%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	15	17.2%	12	13.8%	2	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	14.8%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	5	15.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	13.3%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%
	DUNKLIN	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	2	18.2%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>.8%</b>	

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	11
	DOUGLAS	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	10
	TANEY	1	2.3%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	6	13.6%	26	59.1%	44
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>107</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	7	5.3%	2	1.5%	12	9.2%	73	55.7%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	35.3%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	24.0%	13	52.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	17
	CLARK	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MACON	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	44.4%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	12	75.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	2	2.3%	5	5.7%	0	.0%	8	9.2%	43	49.4%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	7
	JOHNSON	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	62.5%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	12	42.9%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLAY	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	18	69.2%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	16	59.3%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	9	81.8%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	33.3%	17	51.5%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	WASHINGTON	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>104</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	4	26.7%	5	33.3%	15
	DUNKLIN	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	15
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>34.9%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>916</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>1,852</b>	

**Table 14**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	59	37.1%	28	17.6%	30	18.9%	24	15.1%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	102	34.0%	43	14.3%	29	9.7%	54	18.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	68	35.8%	36	18.9%	29	15.3%	25	13.2%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>16.1%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%
	BOONE	9	36.0%	4	16.0%	6	24.0%	3	12.0%
	CALLAWAY	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%
	COLE	7	28.0%	6	24.0%	4	16.0%	5	20.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	3	25.0%
	WARREN	4	17.4%	0	.0%	8	34.8%	2	8.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>25.2%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>14.6%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	BUCHANAN	7	38.9%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%
	LIVINGSTON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%
	IRON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%
	PULASKI	0	.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	3	25.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
	BENTON	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
	CAMDEN	1	11.1%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%
	MILLER	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%
	MONITEAU	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	1	14.3%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	WEBSTER	3	17.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	4	23.5%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19.4%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	7	19.4%	11	30.6%	6	16.7%	7	19.4%
	MCDONALD	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%
	NEWTON	9	40.9%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	5	22.7%
	VERNON	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16.5%</b>

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	5.7%	4	2.5%	5	3.1%	0	.0%	159
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>159</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	34	11.3%	26	8.7%	12	4.0%	0	.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	22	11.6%	8	4.2%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	190
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	1	4.0%	0	.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	7	36.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	WARREN	5	21.7%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>54</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>93</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
	MCDONALD	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	VERNON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>91</b>

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%
	CHRISTIAN	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%
	DOUGLAS	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%
	LAWRENCE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	8	18.2%	9	20.5%	9	20.5%	8	18.2%
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	80	61.1%	14	10.7%	11	8.4%	15	11.5%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11.5%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	4	23.5%
	MARION	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%
	MONROE	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%
	RALLS	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	ST CHARLES	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	4	16.0%	5	20.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28.1%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	4	23.5%	2	11.8%
	CLARK	4	44.4%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	LEWIS	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	3	33.3%
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	8	50.0%
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%
	JEFFERSON	8	9.2%	8	9.2%	7	8.0%	17	19.5%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24.8%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%
	COOPER	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%
	JOHNSON	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	4	25.0%
	PETTIS	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	10	35.7%	4	14.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21.9%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	CLAY	5	19.2%	6	23.1%	4	15.4%	7	26.9%
	LAFAYETTE	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%
	RAY	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	SALINE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25.9%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	11.1%	7	25.9%	11	40.7%	3	11.1%
	MADISON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%
	ST FRANCOIS	4	12.1%	5	15.2%	9	27.3%	8	24.2%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
	WASHINGTON	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	12	80.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	6	40.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	6	54.5%
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%
	STODDARD	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>58.7%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	5	11.4%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>107</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	6	4.6%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	5	20.0%	0	.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>64</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	6.3%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	23	26.4%	11	12.6%	13	14.9%	0	.0%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>104</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1,852</b>	

**Table 15**  
**Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families**  
**Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Parenting Skills Problems</b>	1,519	81.9%
<b>Parent/Child Conflict</b>	919	49.5%
<b>Communication</b>	840	45.3%
<b>Mental Health Problems</b>	663	35.7%
<b>Child Neglect</b>	598	32.2%
<b>Emotional Problems</b>	500	27.0%
<b>Severe Financial Problems</b>	312	16.8%
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	309	16.7%
<b>Drug Abuse</b>	252	13.6%
<b>Transportation</b>	205	11.1%
<b>Marital Conflict</b>	197	10.6%
<b>Medical Illness/Disability</b>	181	9.8%
<b>School Problems</b>	175	9.4%
<b>Physical Violence</b>	169	9.1%
<b>Shelter</b>	141	7.6%
<b>Alcohol Abuse</b>	111	6.0%
<b>Delinquent Behavior</b>	99	5.3%
<b>Homelessness</b>	92	5.0%
<b>Developmental Disability</b>	72	3.9%
<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	63	3.4%
<b>Criminal Behavior</b>	60	3.2%
<b>Runaway</b>	39	2.1%
<b>Suicide Attempts</b>	38	2.0%
<b>Pregnancy</b>	37	2.0%
<b>Prostitution</b>	2	.1%

## **Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

### **Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010**

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

# **Intensive In-home Services**

## **At-risk Children Served During FY 2010**

**Table 16**  
**Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	49	13.5%	133	36.6%	134	36.9%	47	12.9%	363
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>363</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	18	3.4%	132	24.8%	200	37.6%	182	34.2%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	50	13.0%	107	27.8%	131	34.0%	97	25.2%	385
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>917</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	9.1%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%	8	36.4%	22
	BOONE	8	16.0%	20	40.0%	11	22.0%	11	22.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	9	26.5%	14	41.2%	11	32.4%	34
	COLE	8	15.7%	24	47.1%	13	25.5%	6	11.8%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	7	70.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	3	12.0%	11	44.0%	7	28.0%	4	16.0%	25
	WARREN	3	7.5%	10	25.0%	7	17.5%	20	50.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>35.6%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>233</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	3	23.1%	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	13
	BUCHANAN	3	8.1%	17	45.9%	10	27.0%	7	18.9%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	11
	CLINTON	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	4	28.6%	7	50.0%	3	21.4%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	12	52.2%	8	34.8%	3	13.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	1	4.3%	10	43.5%	10	43.5%	2	8.7%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	NODAWAY	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	10
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>180</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	7	36.8%	6	31.6%	6	31.6%	19
	DENT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	14	48.3%	9	31.0%	6	20.7%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	3	16.7%	5	27.8%	6	33.3%	4	22.2%	18
	PULASKI	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38.2%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>110</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	6	27.3%	7	31.8%	9	40.9%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	5	25.0%	13	65.0%	2	10.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	LACLEDE	2	7.4%	7	25.9%	9	33.3%	9	33.3%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	3	21.4%	5	35.7%	6	42.9%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	MORGAN	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	POLK	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	WEBSTER	3	10.3%	6	20.7%	10	34.5%	10	34.5%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>160</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	13
	CEDAR	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	12	17.9%	28	41.8%	15	22.4%	12	17.9%	67
	MCDONALD	3	9.4%	6	18.8%	12	37.5%	11	34.4%	32
	NEWTON	6	12.2%	23	46.9%	14	28.6%	6	12.2%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Table 16 (continued)**  
**Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	10	50.0%	2	10.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	4	23.5%	6	35.3%	4	23.5%	3	17.6%	17
	LAWRENCE	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	3	9.4%	7	21.9%	16	50.0%	6	18.8%	32
	TANEY	6	7.1%	16	18.8%	34	40.0%	29	34.1%	85
	WRIGHT	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	3	17.6%	7	41.2%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>206</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	56	21.4%	89	34.0%	92	35.1%	25	9.5%	262
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>34.0%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>35.1%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>262</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	4	13.8%	8	27.6%	7	24.1%	10	34.5%	29
	MARION	2	11.1%	6	33.3%	8	44.4%	2	11.1%	18
	MONROE	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	6
	ST CHARLES	7	21.2%	3	9.1%	15	45.5%	8	24.2%	33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38.2%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	12	40.0%	10	33.3%	30
	CLARK	2	15.4%	0	.0%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	LEWIS	1	5.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	10	50.0%	20
	MACON	2	10.5%	4	21.1%	8	42.1%	5	26.3%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	5	31.3%	10	62.5%	1	6.3%	16
	SCOTLAND	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8
	SHELBY	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	8
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31.6%</b>	<b>117</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	3	8.3%	15	41.7%	16	44.4%	2	5.6%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	JEFFERSON	7	4.0%	51	28.8%	66	37.3%	53	29.9%	177
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>38.0%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>221</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	5	18.5%	14	51.9%	8	29.6%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	11
	JOHNSON	4	11.4%	8	22.9%	15	42.9%	8	22.9%	35
	PETTIS	2	4.3%	12	25.5%	14	29.8%	19	40.4%	47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CLAY	4	7.4%	14	25.9%	28	51.9%	8	14.8%	54
	LAFAYETTE	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	14
	PLATTE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	6	54.5%	11
	RAY	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>101</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	10.7%	18	32.1%	21	37.5%	11	19.6%	56
	MADISON	3	20.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	9	30.0%	18	60.0%	3	10.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	5	10.2%	7	14.3%	22	44.9%	15	30.6%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTT	2	14.3%	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	14
	WASHINGTON	2	7.7%	10	38.5%	7	26.9%	7	26.9%	26
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>20.5%</b>	<b>205</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	3	8.8%	13	38.2%	14	41.2%	4	11.8%	34
	DUNKLIN	1	2.7%	9	24.3%	19	51.4%	8	21.6%	37
	NEW MADRID	2	10.0%	9	45.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	3	10.0%	14	46.7%	10	33.3%	3	10.0%	30
	RIPLEY	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	13	59.1%	5	22.7%	22
	STODDARD	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>1,089</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>1,315</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>871</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>3,631</b>	

**Table 17**  
**Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	171	47.1%	192	52.9%	363
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>363</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	258	48.5%	274	51.5%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	182	47.3%	203	52.7%	385
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>917</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	14	63.6%	8	36.4%	22
	BOONE	22	44.0%	28	56.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	15	44.1%	19	55.9%	34
	COLE	34	66.7%	17	33.3%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	12	48.0%	13	52.0%	25
	WARREN	20	50.0%	20	50.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>233</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	BUCHANAN	11	29.7%	26	70.3%	37
	CALDWELL	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7
	CHARITON	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	CLINTON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	DAVISS	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	DE KALB	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	GRUNDY	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
	HARRISON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	LINN	9	39.1%	14	60.9%	23
	LIVINGSTON	8	34.8%	15	65.2%	23
	MERCER	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	NODAWAY	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	SULLIVAN	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
WORTH	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>180</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
	DENT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HOWELL	17	58.6%	12	41.4%	29
	IRON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	MARIES	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	OREGON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	PHELPS	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	18
	PULASKI	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	TEXAS	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WAYNE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>51.8%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>110</b>
SITE 736	BATES	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	22
	BENTON	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10
	CAMDEN	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20
	DALLAS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	HENRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	27
	MILLER	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	14
	MONITEAU	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	MORGAN	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	POLK	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12
	ST CLAIR	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	WEBSTER	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>160</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	CEDAR	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	34	50.7%	33	49.3%	67
	MCDONALD	17	53.1%	15	46.9%	32
	NEWTON	22	44.9%	27	55.1%	49
	VERNON	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Table 17 (continued)**  
**Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	17
	LAWRENCE	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	16	50.0%	16	50.0%	32
	TANEY	49	57.6%	36	42.4%	85
	WRIGHT	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>206</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	117	44.7%	145	55.3%	262
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>262</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	15	51.7%	14	48.3%	29
	MARION	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18
	MONROE	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9
	PIKE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	RALLS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	ST CHARLES	15	45.5%	18	54.5%	33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	11	36.7%	19	63.3%	30
	CLARK	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	13
	KNOX	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	LEWIS	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20
	MACON	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	19
	SCHUYLER	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	SCOTLAND	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	SHELBY	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>117</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	22	61.1%	14	38.9%	36
	GASCONADE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	JEFFERSON	89	50.3%	88	49.7%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>221</b>
SITE 933	CASS	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	27
	COOPER	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	JOHNSON	18	51.4%	17	48.6%	35
	PETTIS	20	42.6%	27	57.4%	47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CLAY	26	48.1%	28	51.9%	54
	LAFAYETTE	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
	PLATTE	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	RAY	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SALINE	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>101</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	50.0%	28	50.0%	56
	MADISON	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	21	42.9%	28	57.1%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTT	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
	WASHINGTON	8	30.8%	18	69.2%	26
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>205</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	10	29.4%	24	70.6%	34
	DUNKLIN	18	48.6%	19	51.4%	37
	NEW MADRID	13	65.0%	7	35.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	20	66.7%	10	33.3%	30
	RIPLEY	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	22
	STODDARD	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,753</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>1,878</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>3,631</b>

**Table 18**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	218	60.1%	132	36.4%	1	.3%	1	.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>60.1%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	162	30.5%	364	68.4%	0	.0%	3	.6%
	ST LOUIS CITY	41	10.6%	339	88.1%	0	.0%	2	.5%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.5%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	35	70.0%	14	28.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	32	94.1%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	44	86.3%	6	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	24	96.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	31	77.5%	6	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	34	91.9%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	22	95.7%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	21	91.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SULLIVAN	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
WORTH	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>93.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	12	80.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	27	93.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	64	95.5%	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	45	91.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>94.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	5	1.4%	6	1.7%	363
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>363</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	1	.2%	2	.4%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	1	.3%	2	.5%	385
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>917</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	0	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	0	.0%	3	7.5%	0	.0%	40
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>233</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7	
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>180</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>110</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.9%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>160</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	0	.0%	4	8.2%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>181</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	84	98.8%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>99.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	245	93.5%	9	3.4%	2	.8%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	27	81.8%	4	12.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>88.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>97.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	36	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	168	94.9%	6	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	25	92.6%	2	7.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	28	80.0%	2	5.7%	0	.0%	1	2.9%
	PETTIS	45	95.7%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	44	81.5%	9	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>86.1%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	48	85.7%	6	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	48	98.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	21	80.8%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	30	88.2%	3	8.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	23	62.2%	14	37.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	18	90.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	7	23.3%	22	73.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	21	95.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>71.2%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,577</b>	<b>71.0%</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>.2%</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>206</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	262
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>262</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>117</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.7%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>221</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	3	8.6%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	35
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>101</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	7.7%	26
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>205</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	34
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>3,631</b>

**Table 19**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	336	92.6%	9	2.5%	2	.6%	1	.3%	1	.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>92.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	505	94.9%	11	2.1%	2	.4%	3	.6%	2	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	369	95.8%	9	2.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>95.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.2%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	47	94.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	34	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	50	98.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	25	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	38	95.0%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	36	97.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	28	96.6%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	6	85.7%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	19	86.4%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	25	92.6%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	27	93.1%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	12	92.3%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	64	95.5%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	31	96.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	48	98.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>96.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	2.5%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%	3	.8%	363
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>363</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	.6%	0	.0%	2	.4%	0	.0%	4	.8%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	1.0%	385
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>917</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BOONE	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>233</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>180</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	REYNOLDS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>110</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>160</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	19	95.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	14	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	26	81.3%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	79	92.9%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>93.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	251	95.8%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>95.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	30	90.9%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	1	3.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>95.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	25	83.3%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%
	CLARK	10	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	19	95.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>90.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	36	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	165	93.2%	1	.6%	5	2.8%	1	.6%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>94.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	26	96.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	43	91.5%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>95.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	54	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>97.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	54	96.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	13	86.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	28	93.3%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	49	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	13	92.9%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	21	80.8%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>94.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	27	79.4%	1	2.9%	5	14.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	34	91.9%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	18	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	21	95.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>90.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3,423</b>	<b>94.3%</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>.2%</b>	

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	12.5%	32
	TANEY	2	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.4%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>206</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	3	1.1%	262
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>262</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>117</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	2	1.1%	0	.0%	3	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>221</b>	
SITE 933	CASS	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>101</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.8%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>205</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3,631</b>

**Table 20**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	15	4.1%	260	71.6%	14	3.9%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	13	2.4%	87	16.4%	285	53.6%	5	.9%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	5	1.3%	48	12.5%	212	55.1%	7	1.8%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	0	.0%	4	8.0%	41	82.0%	3	6.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	26	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	40	78.4%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	2	8.0%	0	.0%	18	72.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	1	2.5%	4	10.0%	31	77.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	9	69.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	4	10.8%	26	70.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	91.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	1	10.0%	0	.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	5.3%	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	96.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>80.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	4.5%	0	.0%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	1	5.0%	16	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	1	20.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	7.4%	0	.0%	21	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	1	7.1%	11	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	5	17.2%	12	41.4%	1	3.4%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	3	4.5%	58	86.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	2	6.3%	25	78.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	45	91.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	73	20.1%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	363
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>363</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	120	22.6%	14	2.6%	4	.8%	4	.8%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	101	26.2%	6	1.6%	1	.3%	5	1.3%	385
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>917</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	22
	BOONE	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	6	17.6%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	10	19.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	5	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	3	7.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>233</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BUCHANAN	7	18.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>180</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	9	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>110</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	DALLAS	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	4	14.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MILLER	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	11	37.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>160</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	6	9.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	5	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	4	8.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>181</b>

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	15	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	5.9%	15	88.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	6	31.6%	12	63.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	2	6.3%	30	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	4	4.7%	71	83.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	11.8%	13	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>80.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	1	.4%	243	92.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>92.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	5	17.2%	18	62.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%
	MARION	1	5.6%	0	.0%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	22	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	3.3%	24	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	2.8%	24	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	1	6%	12	6.8%	140	79.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	7.4%	20	74.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	4	11.4%	31	88.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	0	.0%	2	4.3%	41	87.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	2	3.7%	32	59.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	21.4%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	1	9.1%	0	.0%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	52	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	0	.0%	1	6.7%	12	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	1	3.3%	0	.0%	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	2	4.1%	3	6.1%	32	65.3%	2	4.1%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	19	73.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>82.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	67.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	6	16.2%	26	70.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	3.3%	27	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	4.5%	21	95.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>2,630</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	TANEY	8	9.4%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>206</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	13	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	1.9%	262
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>262</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	29
	MARION	5	27.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	5	15.2%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	6	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>117</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	11	30.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	22	12.4%	1	.6%	0	.0%	1	.6%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>221</b>
SITE 933	CASS	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	PETTIS	3	6.4%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	20	37.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	5	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>101</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	5	10.2%	5	10.2%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	3.8%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	26
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>205</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	10	29.4%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	DUNKLIN	4	10.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>3,631</b>	

**Table 21**  
**At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families**  
**Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Parent/Child Conflict</b>	1,581	43.4%
<b>Child Neglect</b>	1,270	34.8%
<b>Communication</b>	1,237	33.9%
<b>Parenting Skills Problems</b>	1,184	32.5%
<b>Emotional Problems</b>	861	23.6%
<b>Mental Health Problems</b>	818	22.4%
<b>School Problems</b>	628	17.2%
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	515	14.1%
<b>Delinquent Behavior</b>	351	9.6%
<b>Physical Violence</b>	276	7.6%
<b>Severe Financial Problems</b>	222	6.1%
<b>Developmental Disability</b>	203	5.6%
<b>Medical Illness/Disability</b>	203	5.6%
<b>Shelter</b>	191	5.2%
<b>Transportation</b>	161	4.4%
<b>Drug Abuse</b>	156	4.3%
<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	154	4.2%
<b>Homelessness</b>	139	3.8%
<b>Runaway</b>	102	2.8%
<b>Marital Conflict</b>	57	1.6%
<b>Alcohol Abuse</b>	56	1.5%
<b>Criminal Behavior</b>	52	1.4%
<b>Suicide Attempts</b>	39	1.1%
<b>Pregnancy</b>	23	.6%

## **Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

### **Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010**

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

### **Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010**

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

# **Intensive In-home Services**

## **Outcomes During FY 2010**


**Table 22**  
**Families and At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services**  
**During Fiscal Years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009**  
(Families and At-risk Children are Unduplicated)

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2006	1,734	3,403
2007	1,799	3,640
2008	1,623	3,299
2009	1,527	2,932

**Table 23**  
**At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider**

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	2,702	79.40%	701	20.59%	3,403
2007	2,859	78.54%	781	21.45%	3,640
2008	2,484	75.29%	815	24.70%	3,299
2009	2,334	79.60%	598	20.39%	2,932

**Table 24**  
**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider**



**Table 25**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services**

**Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Either During IIS or After IIS Exit**

(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After Exit)

**Contracted and In-house Providers**

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,961	66	59	42	66	88	65	56	3,403
2007	3,154	57	39	52	89	102	89	58	3,640
2008	2,886	52	45	41	51	103	65	56	3,299
2009	2,601	47	58	27	66	75	58	**	2,932

**Contracted Providers Only**


Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,365	51	39	32	54	64	49	48	2,702
2007	2,485	42	33	35	67	80	71	46	2,859
2008	2,202	36	34	24	34	71	44	39	2,484
2009	2,103	33	37	22	45	52	42	**	2,334

**In-house Providers Only**

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	596	15	20	10	12	24	16	8	701
2007	669	15	6	17	22	22	18	12	781
2008	684	16	11	17	17	32	21	17	815
2009	498	14	21	5	21	23	16	**	598

**Table 26**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their IIS exit**



**Table 27**

**At-risk Children Exiting IIS**

**Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 Either During IIS or After IIS Exit**

(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)

**Contracted and In-house Providers**

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,696	132	157	95	99	107	62	55	3,403
2007	2,800	148	183	90	129	128	104	58	3,640
2008	2,454	151	175	92	140	152	76	59	3,299
2009	2,199	174	155	86	102	138	78	**	2,932

**Contracted Providers Only**


Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,152	104	119	71	80	80	51	45	2,702
2007	2,230	116	137	58	96	107	68	47	2,859
2008	1,896	121	130	55	96	98	45	43	2,484
2009	1,776	123	115	72	78	111	59	**	2,334

**In-house Providers Only**

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	544	28	38	24	19	27	11	10	701
2007	570	32	46	32	33	21	36	11	781
2008	558	30	45	37	44	54	31	16	815
2009	423	51	40	14	24	27	19	**	598

**Table 28**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their IIS exit**



## **Notes: Outcomes During FY 2010**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. At-risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. At the time of the run of this report, FY 2009 is the only fiscal year that did not have enough time to allow a 48 month follow-up of all exits. FY 2006, FY 2007 and FY 2008 have data for follow-ups of 6, 12, 36 and 48 months.

### **Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009**

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

### **Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider**

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.