



**Intensive In-home Services
Annual Report
Fiscal Year 2009**

Research and Evaluation
October 2012



Missouri Department of
SOCIAL SERVICES
Your Potential. Our Support.

Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2009. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2009 (July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.

Table of Contents

Families and Children Referred and Served	1
Table 1 Families Referred for IIS	2
Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted	4
Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into IIS	6
Table 4 Families Accepted by Referral Source Code	8
Table 5 Families and Children Accepted by Month	10
Table 6 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages	10
Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention	11
Table 8 Duration of IIS Intervention by Site	11
Table 9 Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables	14
Heads of Household Served	16
Table 10 Head of Household Age for Families Accepted	17
Table 11 Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted	19
Table 12 Head of Household Race for Families Accepted	21
Table 13 Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted	25
Table 14 Head of Household Income for Families Accepted	29
Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	33
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables	34
At-risk Children Served	35
Table 16 Age of At-risk Children Accepted	36
Table 17 Gender of At-risk Children Accepted	38
Table 18 Race of At-risk Children Accepted	40
Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category	44
Table 20 At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented	48
Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	52
Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables	53
Outcomes	54
Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs	55
Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider	55
Table 24 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart	55
Table 25 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report	56
Table 26 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart	56
Table 27 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1	57
Table 28 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart	57
Notes: Outcomes Tables	58

Intensive In-home Services
Families and Children Referred and Served
During FY 2009

**Table 1
Families Referred for Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	234	46.2%	272	53.6%	1	.2%	507
	* SITE TOTAL *	234	46.2%	272	53.6%	1	.2%	507
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	263	64.6%	141	34.6%	3	.7%	407
	ST LOUIS CITY	380	76.6%	114	23.0%	2	.4%	496
	* SITE TOTAL *	643	71.2%	255	28.2%	5	.6%	903
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	43.5%	13	56.5%	0	.0%	23
	BOONE	54	69.2%	24	30.8%	0	.0%	78
	CALLAWAY	24	75.0%	8	25.0%	0	.0%	32
	COLE	35	89.7%	4	10.3%	0	.0%	39
	HOWARD	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	MONTGOMERY	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	16
	RANDOLPH	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	0	.0%	19
	WARREN	13	37.1%	22	62.9%	0	.0%	35
	* SITE TOTAL *	163	65.2%	87	34.8%	0	.0%	250
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	38	54.3%	32	45.7%	0	.0%	70
	CALDWELL	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	CHARITON	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	CLINTON	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	DE KALB	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	11
	HARRISON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	HOLT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	LIVINGSTON	3	25.0%	9	75.0%	0	.0%	12
	NODAWAY	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	9
	PUTNAM	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	SULLIVAN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
* SITE TOTAL *	77	47.0%	87	53.0%	0	.0%	164	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	16
	DENT	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	HOWELL	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	0	.0%	23
	IRON	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	0	.0%	9
	MARIES	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	PHELPS	28	30.4%	64	69.6%	0	.0%	92
	PULASKI	13	39.4%	20	60.6%	0	.0%	33
	REYNOLDS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHANNON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	0	.0%	16
	WAYNE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	13
* SITE TOTAL *	97	42.5%	131	57.5%	0	.0%	228	
SITE 736	BATES	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	BENTON	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	18
	DALLAS	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	HENRY	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	HICKORY	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	18	43.9%	23	56.1%	0	.0%	41
	MILLER	4	16.0%	21	84.0%	0	.0%	25
	MONITEAU	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	0	.0%	12
	MORGAN	3	15.8%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	19
	POLK	5	21.7%	17	73.9%	1	4.3%	23
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	35	47.9%	38	52.1%	0	.0%	73
	* SITE TOTAL *	92	37.6%	152	62.0%	1	.4%	245
SITE 737	BARTON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JASPER	41	35.3%	75	64.7%	0	.0%	116
	MCDONALD	30	30.0%	70	70.0%	0	.0%	100
	NEWTON	19	25.3%	55	73.3%	1	1.3%	75
	VERNON	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	32.8%	206	66.9%	1	.3%	308

Table 1 (continued)
Families Referred for Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	45.5%	11	50.0%	1	4.5%	22
	CHRISTIAN	19	45.2%	23	54.8%	0	.0%	42
	DOUGLAS	31	44.3%	39	55.7%	0	.0%	70
	LAWRENCE	14	58.3%	10	41.7%	0	.0%	24
	OZARK	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	9
	STONE	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	11
	TANEY	28	49.1%	29	50.9%	0	.0%	57
	WRIGHT	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	0	.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	48.2%	130	51.0%	2	.8%	255
SITE 739	GREENE	158	56.0%	123	43.6%	1	.4%	282
	* SITE TOTAL *	158	56.0%	123	43.6%	1	.4%	282
SITE 831	LINCOLN	36	29.5%	86	70.5%	0	.0%	122
	MARION	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	15
	MONROE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	PIKE	10	41.7%	14	58.3%	0	.0%	24
	RALLS	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	22	29.7%	52	70.3%	0	.0%	74
	* SITE TOTAL *	85	33.9%	166	66.1%	0	.0%	251
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	42.4%	19	57.6%	0	.0%	33
	CLARK	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	KNOX	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	.0%	19
	MACON	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	0	.0%	18
	SCHUYLER	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTLAND	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	SHELBY	20	66.7%	10	33.3%	0	.0%	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	60.2%	51	39.8%	0	.0%	128
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	6	33.3%	12	66.7%	0	.0%	18
	GASCONADE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	JEFFERSON	78	49.4%	80	50.6%	0	.0%	158
	OSAGE	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	47.0%	98	53.0%	0	.0%	185
SITE 933	CASS	15	44.1%	19	55.9%	0	.0%	34
	COOPER	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	.0%	14
	JOHNSON	15	65.2%	8	34.8%	0	.0%	23
	PETTIS	37	72.5%	14	27.5%	0	.0%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	63.1%	45	36.9%	0	.0%	122
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	15	55.6%	11	40.7%	1	3.7%	27
	LAFAYETTE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PLATTE	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	19
	RAY	24	64.9%	13	35.1%	0	.0%	37
	SALINE	15	68.2%	6	27.3%	1	4.5%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	63.6%	38	34.5%	2	1.8%	110
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	71	62.8%	40	35.4%	2	1.8%	113
	MADISON	8	44.4%	9	50.0%	1	5.6%	18
	MISSISSIPPI	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PERRY	8	18.2%	36	81.8%	0	.0%	44
	ST FRANCOIS	30	69.8%	13	30.2%	0	.0%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	SCOTT	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	0	.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	8	36.4%	14	63.6%	0	.0%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	51.6%	134	47.4%	3	1.1%	283
SITE 936	BUTLER	13	61.9%	8	38.1%	0	.0%	21
	DUNKLIN	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	28
	NEW MADRID	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	.0%	14
	PEMISCOT	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	14
	RIPLEY	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	STODDARD	26	50.0%	26	50.0%	0	.0%	52
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	52.2%	65	47.8%	0	.0%	136
STATE TOTAL		2,301	52.8%	2,040	46.8%	16	.4%	4,357

Table 2
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2009
Reason Not Accepted Codes

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	65	12	5	11	19	3	0	219	2	1	0	4	5	17	0	2	365
	* SITE TOTAL *	65	12	5	11	19	3	0	219	2	1	0	4	5	17	0	2	365
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	7	20	19	12	15	4	2	126	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	6	217
	ST LOUIS CITY	14	1	20	1	12	1	3	83	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10	148
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	21	39	13	27	5	5	209	0	0	0	1	6	2	0	16	365
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	15
	BOONE	0	6	1	2	0	1	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	26
	CALLAWAY	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12
	COLE	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	HOWARD	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MONTGOMERY	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10
	RANDOLPH	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	15
	WARREN	4	0	0	5	2	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	17	2	8	7	2	5	62	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	12	135
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	ATCHISON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	BUCHANAN	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	14
	CALDWELL	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CHARITON	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	CLINTON	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	DAVISS	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	DE KALB	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	GRUNDY	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	11
	HARRISON	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LINN	1	2	2	0	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	LIVINGSTON	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	PUTNAM	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	SULLIVAN	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
* SITE TOTAL *	5	31	22	0	8	6	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	101	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	DENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HOWELL	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11
	IRON	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	MARIES	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	OREGON	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PHELPS	6	6	2	0	4	0	1	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	37
	PULASKI	1	18	11	2	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	42
	REYNOLDS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	TEXAS	0	0	8	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	WAYNE	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	37	31	2	9	0	5	57	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	157
SITE 736	BATES	0	12	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	BENTON	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
	CAMDEN	0	7	2	1	4	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	DALLAS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	HENRY	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	HICKORY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LACLEDE	3	3	1	5	2	3	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
	MILLER	0	21	0	0	4	0	0	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	44
	MONITEAU	0	10	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	20
	MORGAN	0	11	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	POLK	4	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	28
	WEBSTER	2	10	5	0	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	97	14	6	20	4	0	74	1	0	0	1	6	0	0	6	238
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	JASPER	8	5	11	3	33	1	1	59	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	126
	MCDONALD	0	7	3	1	6	0	0	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	80
	NEWTON	2	17	0	1	4	0	0	76	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	104
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
* SITE TOTAL *	10	29	14	5	43	2	1	202	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	8	320	

Table 2 (continued)
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2009
Reason Not Accepted Codes

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	14	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	CHRISTIAN	2	15	2	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	43
	DOUGLAS	0	2	4	2	2	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	29
	LAWRENCE	0	9	5	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	OZARK	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	STONE	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	TANEY	0	10	0	1	3	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	22	2	0	0	56
	WRIGHT	3	13	3	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	32
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	69	16	4	9	0	0	76	0	0	0	1	27	8	0	4	219
SITE 739	GREENE	5	16	6	1	8	0	5	66	0	0	0	3	62	3	0	5	180
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	16	6	1	8	0	5	66	0	0	0	3	62	3	0	5	180
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	22	6	6	1	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	59
	MARION	0	9	0	0	3	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	MONROE	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	PIKE	1	9	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	RALLS	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ST CHARLES	1	34	10	3	1	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	85	20	9	7	0	6	43	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	183
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	0	5	0	2	0	0	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	CLARK	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
	LEWIS	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	11
	MACON	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	SCOTLAND	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1	11	0	5	2	1	30	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	58
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	GASCONADE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	JEFFERSON	5	0	2	1	2	2	0	109	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	125
	OSAGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	0	2	1	2	2	0	121	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	13	152
SITE 933	CASS	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
	COOPER	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	JOHNSON	0	8	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15
	PETTIS	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	13	5	0	6	0	4	50	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	82
SITE 934	CLAY	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	15	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	26
	PLATTE	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	RAY	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	SALINE	0	1	1	3	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2	1	9	2	0	1	34	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	57
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	12	6	1	1	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	61
	MADISON	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	PERRY	41	0	1	3	5	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62
	ST FRANCOIS	1	6	0	2	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	SCOTT	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	WASHINGTON	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	65	15	2	9	7	0	0	118	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	219
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	11
	DUNKLIN	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	36	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	7
	PEMISCOT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	15
	STODDARD	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	0	4	0	3	1	3	82	1	2	0	0	10	3	0	0	113
STATE TOTAL		223	445	194	78	182	27	36	1,463	9	3	0	30	127	49	0	78	2,944

Table 3
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	172	439	2.55	366	2.13
	* SITE TOTAL *	172	439	2.55	366	2.13
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	238	611	2.57	413	1.74
	ST LOUIS CITY	216	555	2.57	390	1.81
	* SITE TOTAL *	454	1,166	2.57	803	1.77
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	24	2.40	11	1.10
	BOONE	16	35	2.19	30	1.88
	CALLAWAY	23	51	2.22	32	1.39
	COLE	30	66	2.20	60	2.00
	HOWARD	5	17	3.40	9	1.80
	MONTGOMERY	10	26	2.60	17	1.70
	RANDOLPH	11	29	2.64	26	2.36
	WARREN	12	29	2.42	21	1.75
	* SITE TOTAL *	117	277	2.37	206	1.76
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	18	46	2.56	40	2.22
	CALDWELL	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	CHARITON	2	5	2.50	2	1.00
	CLINTON	2	7	3.50	7	3.50
	DAVISS	2	5	2.50	3	1.50
	DE KALB	1	4	4.00	3	3.00
	GRUNDY	3	7	2.33	6	2.00
	HARRISON	2	2	1.00	2	1.00
	LINN	6	13	2.17	9	1.50
	LIVINGSTON	3	11	3.67	8	2.67
	NODAWAY	6	14	2.33	11	1.83
	PUTNAM	4	7	1.75	7	1.75
	SULLIVAN	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	WORTH	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
* SITE TOTAL *	54	133	2.46	110	2.04	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	9	18	2.00	18	2.00
	DENT	6	11	1.83	9	1.50
	HOWELL	17	45	2.65	33	1.94
	IRON	3	5	1.67	5	1.67
	MARIES	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	OREGON	3	12	4.00	7	2.33
	PHELPS	10	25	2.50	23	2.30
	PULASKI	12	27	2.25	24	2.00
	REYNOLDS	2	7	3.50	3	1.50
	TEXAS	6	9	1.50	9	1.50
	WAYNE	6	15	2.50	11	1.83
	* SITE TOTAL *	76	179	2.36	147	1.93
	SITE 736	BATES	4	8	2.00	6
BENTON		3	9	3.00	9	3.00
CAMDEN		6	17	2.83	14	2.33
DALLAS		1	3	3.00	2	2.00
HENRY		2	2	1.00	2	1.00
HICKORY		4	9	2.25	8	2.00
LACLEDE		16	47	2.94	38	2.38
MILLER		4	10	2.50	6	1.50
MONITEAU		4	12	3.00	7	1.75
MORGAN		2	6	3.00	2	1.00
POLK		5	15	3.00	14	2.80
ST CLAIR		1	5	5.00	1	1.00
WEBSTER		14	45	3.21	32	2.29
* SITE TOTAL *		66	188	2.85	141	2.14
SITE 737	BARTON	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	CEDAR	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	DADE	4	9	2.25	7	1.75
	JASPER	38	89	2.34	78	2.05
	MCDONALD	9	24	2.67	14	1.56
	NEWTON	20	47	2.35	33	1.65
	VERNON	4	10	2.50	6	1.50
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	181	2.35	140	1.82

Table 3 (continued)
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 738	BARRY	9	20	2.22	12	1.33
	CHRISTIAN	18	43	2.39	33	1.83
	DOUGLAS	4	14	3.50	11	2.75
	LAWRENCE	14	27	1.93	19	1.36
	OZARK	6	10	1.67	6	1.00
	STONE	6	14	2.33	14	2.33
	TANEY	28	65	2.32	48	1.71
	WRIGHT	9	19	2.11	19	2.11
	* SITE TOTAL *	94	212	2.26	162	1.72
SITE 739	GREENE	119	272	2.29	238	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	119	272	2.29	238	2.00
SITE 831	LINCOLN	15	41	2.73	30	2.00
	MARION	8	23	2.88	21	2.63
	MONROE	5	13	2.60	8	1.60
	PIKE	10	23	2.30	19	1.90
	RALLS	4	11	2.75	8	2.00
	ST CHARLES	22	65	2.95	51	2.32
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	176	2.75	137	2.14
SITE 931	ADAIR	13	29	2.23	19	1.46
	CLARK	5	15	3.00	5	1.00
	KNOX	4	12	3.00	5	1.25
	LEWIS	8	22	2.75	15	1.88
	MACON	11	23	2.09	18	1.64
	SCHUYLER	5	14	2.80	5	1.00
	SCOTLAND	4	9	2.25	7	1.75
	SHELBY	5	13	2.60	12	2.40
	* SITE TOTAL *	55	137	2.49	86	1.56
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	6	18	3.00	16	2.67
	GASCONADE	2	8	4.00	6	3.00
	JEFFERSON	62	159	2.56	130	2.10
	OSAGE	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	187	2.63	154	2.17
SITE 933	CASS	12	32	2.67	22	1.83
	COOPER	10	21	2.10	16	1.60
	JOHNSON	13	39	3.00	23	1.77
	PETTIS	17	45	2.65	33	1.94
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	137	2.63	94	1.81
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	CLAY	15	40	2.67	35	2.33
	LAFAYETTE	3	8	2.67	7	2.33
	PLATTE	10	27	2.70	13	1.30
	RAY	7	16	2.29	15	2.14
	SALINE	16	49	3.06	37	2.31
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	141	2.71	108	2.08
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	9	3.00	7	2.33
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	31	73	2.35	58	1.87
	MADISON	9	20	2.22	10	1.11
	MISSISSIPPI	2	2	1.00	2	1.00
	PERRY	8	30	3.75	25	3.13
	ST FRANCOIS	27	67	2.48	41	1.52
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	15	2.50	9	1.50
	SCOTT	10	30	3.00	29	2.90
	WASHINGTON	8	15	1.88	11	1.38
* SITE TOTAL *	104	261	2.51	192	1.85	
SITE 936	BUTLER	11	25	2.27	18	1.64
	DUNKLIN	9	29	3.22	24	2.67
	NEW MADRID	9	30	3.33	18	2.00
	PEMISCOT	6	11	1.83	9	1.50
	RIPLEY	6	20	3.33	19	3.17
	STODDARD	6	15	2.50	12	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	130	2.77	100	2.13
STATE TOTAL		1,674	4,216	2.52	3,184	1.90

**Table 4
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2009**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	1	0	1	167	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	1	167	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	33	0	1	162	1	16	5	0	16	0	0	4	0	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	26	1	2	145	2	13	1	0	14	0	0	12	0	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	59	1	3	307	3	29	6	0	30	0	0	16	0	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	10
	BOONE	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	23
	COLE	0	0	0	0	26	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	30
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	103	1	1	0	2	1	0	9	0	0	117
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	DAVISS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	DE KALB	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LINN	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	DENT	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	IRON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PHELPS	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	12
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	73	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	76	
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	POLK	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	64	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	66
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	DADE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	38
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	20
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	77	

Table 4 (continued)
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	18
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	STONE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	28
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
* SITE TOTAL *		0	0	0	1	83	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	94
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	116	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	119
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	116	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	119
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	15
	MARION	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	8
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	ST CHARLES	0	3	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	3	0	0	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	MACON	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	52	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	55	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JEFFERSON	0	0	0	0	59	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	62
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	68	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	71
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	13
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	52
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	RAY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	2	26	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
	MADISON	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	9
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	8
	ST FRANCOIS	0	1	0	1	11	3	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	1	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	6
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	7	64	4	3	0	1	7	0	16	0	1	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	47
STATE TOTAL		0	65	1	12	1,414	12	38	6	3	41	0	60	19	3	1,674

Table 5
Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009
Families and Children Accepted by Month

	FAMILIES	AT-RISK CHILDREN	NOT AT-RISK CHILDREN	TOTAL CHILDREN
July 2008	156	294	104	398
August 2008	150	295	58	353
September 2008	131	248	46	294
October 2008	67	126	36	162
November 2008	99	188	53	241
December 2008	139	264	102	366
January 2009	143	270	66	336
February 2009	182	340	117	457
March 2009	158	307	118	425
April 2009	161	275	139	414
May 2009	136	278	107	385
June 2009	152	299	86	385
State Total	1,674	3,184	1,032	4,216

Table 6
Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages

	Number	Percent
Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured	1,463	49.69%
No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	445	15.11%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	223	7.57%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	194	6.58%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	182	6.18%
Court Not in Agreement	127	4.31%
Missing	78	2.64%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	78	2.64%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	49	1.66%
Unable to Contact Family	36	1.22%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	30	1.01%
Child Unwilling to Participate	27	0.91%
Child is Physically Assaultive	9	0.30%
Therapist`s Safety Cannot be Assured	3	0.10%
State Total	2,944	100.00%

Of the 1,674 families accepted into IIS during FY 2009, 1,472 families had a total of 2,048 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

Table 7
Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention
for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2009

Agency Contacted	Number	Percent
Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,384	67.57%
Juvenile Court	257	12.54%
Adult Law Enforcement	181	8.83%
Dept of Mental Health	106	5.17%
Adult Criminal Court	68	3.32%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	52	2.53%
Total Contacts	2,048	100.00%

Average Duration of FY 2009 IIS Interventions is 7.86 Weeks.

Table 8
Duration of IIS Intervention by Site
for Families Accepted during FY 2009

	TOTAL WEEKS OF INTERVENTION									Site Total
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks	
SITE 731	20	9	9	15	39	30	26	4	20	172
SITE 732	40	22	26	17	20	59	158	25	87	454
SITE 733	16	10	5	8	18	17	18	2	23	117
SITE 734	2	4	6	4	9	5	15	1	8	54
SITE 735	4	6	6	1	16	10	21	2	10	76
SITE 736	5	2	2	8	12	14	19	1	3	66
SITE 737	4	5	3	8	12	21	19	2	3	77
SITE 738	3	3	4	4	12	27	30	6	5	94
SITE 739	2	6	8	11	20	18	36	6	12	119
SITE 831	4	5	6	9	24	11	3	2	0	64
SITE 931	10	1	4	3	14	7	15	1	0	55
SITE 932	4	5	5	4	7	11	28	4	3	71
SITE 933	4	9	4	3	5	11	7	4	5	52
SITE 934	2	3	4	4	10	17	10	1	1	52
SITE 935	6	2	7	2	8	19	42	2	16	104
SITE 936	5	1	0	7	10	6	12	3	3	47
State Total	131	93	99	108	236	283	459	66	199	1,674

**Table 9
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2009**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	133	80.1%	28	16.9%	5	3.0%	166
	* SITE TOTAL *	133	80.1%	28	16.9%	5	3.0%	166
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	167	81.1%	27	13.1%	12	5.8%	206
	ST LOUIS CITY	146	83.9%	18	10.3%	10	5.7%	174
	* SITE TOTAL *	313	82.4%	45	11.8%	22	5.8%	380
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	10
	BOONE	11	73.3%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	15
	CALLAWAY	11	57.9%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	19
	COLE	19	73.1%	6	23.1%	1	3.8%	26
	HOWARD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	WARREN	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	71.7%	24	24.2%	4	4.0%	99
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	19
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DAVIESS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SULLIVAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
WORTH	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1	
* SITE TOTAL *	42	77.8%	12	22.2%	0	.0%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	7	87.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	DENT	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	13	76.5%	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	17
	IRON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PHELPS	12	85.7%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	14
	PULASKI	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	9
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	72.2%	12	16.7%	8	11.1%	72
SITE 736	BATES	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	CAMDEN	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HICKORY	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LACLEDE	12	75.0%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	16
	MILLER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MONTEAU	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	72.1%	13	21.3%	4	6.6%	61
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	25	69.4%	9	25.0%	2	5.6%	36
	MCDONALD	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	NEWTON	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	19
	VERNON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	58	78.4%	14	18.9%	2	2.7%	74

Table 9 (continued)
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	13	65.0%	6	30.0%	1	5.0%	20
	DOUGLAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LAWRENCE	11	91.7%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
	OZARK	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	TANEY	24	85.7%	4	14.3%	0	.0%	28
	WRIGHT	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	82.8%	14	15.1%	2	2.2%	93
SITE 739	GREENE	81	75.7%	24	22.4%	2	1.9%	107
	* SITE TOTAL *	81	75.7%	24	22.4%	2	1.9%	107
SITE 831	LINCOLN	10	66.7%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	15
	MARION	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	MONROE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RALLS	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	73.8%	13	21.3%	3	4.9%	61
	SITE 931	ADAIR	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CLARK		3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
KNOX		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
LEWIS		7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
MACON		10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	12
SCHUYLER		5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
SCOTLAND		4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
SHELBY		3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *		47	85.5%	8	14.5%	0	.0%	55
SITE 932		FRANKLIN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	JEFFERSON	45	78.9%	11	19.3%	1	1.8%	57
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	79.0%	12	19.4%	1	1.6%	62
SITE 933	CASS	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	15
	COOPER	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	10
	JOHNSON	10	71.4%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	14
	PETTIS	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	73.3%	13	21.7%	3	5.0%	60
SITE 934	CLAY	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	16
	LAFAYETTE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PLATTE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	RAY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	SALINE	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	83.0%	9	17.0%	0	.0%	53
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	21	91.3%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	23
	MADISON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	MISSISSIPPI	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PERRY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	ST FRANCOIS	22	91.7%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	24
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	WASHINGTON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	78	87.6%	10	11.2%	1	1.1%	89
SITE 936	BUTLER	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	DUNKLIN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
	PEMISCOT	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	STODDARD	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	78.0%	6	14.6%	3	7.3%	41
STATE TOTAL	1,210	79.2%	257	16.8%	60	3.9%	1,527	

Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during October 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009.

Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2009

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30th may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1st. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2009

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
 - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
 - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
 - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
 - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
 - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
 - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
 - 16 Unable to Contact Family
 - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
 - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
 - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
 - 22 Court Not in Agreement
 - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
 - 24 Referral was Done in Error

Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2009.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of at-risk and not at-risk children from the accepted families.

Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2009

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)

Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status During FY 2009

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
 - Child Ran Away (12)
 - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
 - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
 - In Residential (17)
 - With Division of Youth Services (18)
 - In Juvenile Justice (19)
 - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
 - Can't Locate Family (11)
 - Information Not Available (21)

Intensive In-home Services

**Heads of Household Served
During FY 2009**

Table 10
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	10	5.8%	63	36.6%	60	34.9%	23	13.4%	11	6.4%	3	1.7%	2	1.2%	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	5.8%	63	36.6%	60	34.9%	23	13.4%	11	6.4%	3	1.7%	2	1.2%	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.3%	52	21.8%	97	40.8%	59	24.8%	18	7.6%	5	2.1%	4	1.7%	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	7	3.2%	55	25.5%	66	30.6%	60	27.8%	18	8.3%	8	3.7%	2	.9%	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	2.2%	107	23.6%	163	35.9%	119	26.2%	36	7.9%	13	2.9%	6	1.3%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	10	62.5%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	7	30.4%	5	21.7%	6	26.1%	5	21.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	COLE	1	3.3%	6	20.0%	11	36.7%	6	20.0%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	HOWARD	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	4	36.4%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WARREN	0	.0%	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.7%	27	23.1%	52	44.4%	21	17.9%	14	12.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	3	16.7%	5	27.8%	4	22.2%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WORTH	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *	5	9.3%	18	33.3%	20	37.0%	7	13.0%	3	5.6%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DENT	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	1	5.9%	5	29.4%	8	47.1%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	IRON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MARIES	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PULASKI	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	6.6%	24	31.6%	30	39.5%	11	14.5%	3	3.9%	2	2.6%	1	1.3%	76
	SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
BENTON		0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
CAMDEN		0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
DALLAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
HENRY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
HICKORY		0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
LACLEDE		1	6.3%	2	12.5%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
MILLER		0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
MONITEAU		0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
MORGAN		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
POLK		0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
ST CLAIR		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
WEBSTER		0	.0%	5	35.7%	5	35.7%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
* SITE TOTAL *		1	1.5%	19	28.8%	26	39.4%	14	21.2%	2	3.0%	4	6.1%	0	.0%	66
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	5	13.2%	9	23.7%	19	50.0%	4	10.5%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEWTON	1	5.0%	6	30.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	20
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
* SITE TOTAL *	6	7.8%	18	23.4%	36	46.8%	8	10.4%	7	9.1%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	77	

Table 10 (continued)
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	3	16.7%	9	50.0%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	18
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	5	35.7%	3	21.4%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	OZARK	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	1	3.6%	9	32.1%	8	28.6%	9	32.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	WRIGHT	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
* SITE TOTAL *	5	5.3%	25	26.6%	29	30.9%	20	21.3%	11	11.7%	2	2.1%	2	2.1%	94	
SITE 739	GREENE	7	5.9%	41	34.5%	52	43.7%	13	10.9%	4	3.4%	1	.8%	1	.8%	119
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	5.9%	41	34.5%	52	43.7%	13	10.9%	4	3.4%	1	.8%	1	.8%	119
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	3	20.0%	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MARION	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	0	.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	5	22.7%	9	40.9%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	19	29.7%	24	37.5%	15	23.4%	5	7.8%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	CLARK	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.6%	15	27.3%	22	40.0%	10	18.2%	5	9.1%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	55
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	3	4.8%	15	24.2%	25	40.3%	15	24.2%	2	3.2%	2	3.2%	0	.0%	62
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.2%	17	23.9%	30	42.3%	16	22.5%	3	4.2%	2	2.8%	0	.0%	71
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	5	41.7%	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	COOPER	0	.0%	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PETTIS	0	.0%	2	11.8%	11	64.7%	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	13	25.0%	23	44.2%	10	19.2%	6	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	52
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	0	.0%	6	40.0%	3	20.0%	5	33.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PLATTE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	RAY	0	.0%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	0	.0%	6	37.5%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.9%	17	32.7%	20	38.5%	7	13.5%	5	9.6%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	52
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	3.2%	7	22.6%	17	54.8%	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	31
	MADISON	0	.0%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	18	66.7%	4	14.8%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	0	.0%	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.9%	22	21.2%	56	53.8%	17	16.3%	4	3.8%	2	1.9%	0	.0%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DUNKLIN	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	8.5%	17	36.2%	15	31.9%	10	21.3%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
STATE TOTAL	64	3.8%	462	27.6%	658	39.3%	321	19.2%	120	7.2%	35	2.1%	14	.8%	1,674	

**Table 11
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2009**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	150	87.2%	22	12.8%	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	150	87.2%	22	12.8%	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	210	88.2%	28	11.8%	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	190	88.0%	26	12.0%	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	400	88.1%	54	11.9%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	BOONE	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	CALLAWAY	18	78.3%	5	21.7%	23
	COLE	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	30
	HOWARD	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	WARREN	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	96	82.1%	21	17.9%	117
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	16	88.9%	2	11.1%	18
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	DAVISS	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	LIVINGSTON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *	46	85.2%	8	14.8%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	DENT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	HOWELL	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	17
	IRON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	PHELPS	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	PULASKI	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	80.3%	15	19.7%	76
SITE 736	BATES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAMDEN	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	HENRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	MILLER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MONITEAU	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MORGAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	POLK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	14
* SITE TOTAL *	47	71.2%	19	28.8%	66	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	JASPER	34	89.5%	4	10.5%	38
	MCDONALD	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	NEWTON	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20
	VERNON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
* SITE TOTAL *	62	80.5%	15	19.5%	77	

Table 11 (continued)
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	CHRISTIAN	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	18
	DOUGLAS	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	14
	OZARK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	TANEY	17	60.7%	11	39.3%	28
	WRIGHT	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	74.5%	24	25.5%	94
SITE 739	GREENE	106	89.1%	13	10.9%	119
	* SITE TOTAL *	106	89.1%	13	10.9%	119
SITE 831	LINCOLN	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
	MARION	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	MONROE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	PIKE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	RALLS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	81.3%	12	18.8%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	CLARK	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	KNOX	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LEWIS	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MACON	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	SCHUYLER	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SHELBY	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	39	70.9%	16	29.1%	55
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	GASCONADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	51	82.3%	11	17.7%	62
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	84.5%	11	15.5%	71
SITE 933	CASS	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	COOPER	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	JOHNSON	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	13
	PETTIS	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	73.1%	14	26.9%	52
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
	LAFAYETTE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	PLATTE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RAY	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	SALINE	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	40	76.9%	12	23.1%	52
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	31
	MADISON	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	25	92.6%	2	7.4%	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SCOTT	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10
	WASHINGTON	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
* SITE TOTAL *	87	83.7%	17	16.3%	104	
SITE 936	BUTLER	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	DUNKLIN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	NEW MADRID	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	PEMISCOT	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	RIPLEY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	39	83.0%	8	17.0%	47
STATE TOTAL		1,393	83.2%	281	16.8%	1,674

Table 12
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	104	60.5%	59	34.3%	0	.0%	3	1.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	104	60.5%	59	34.3%	0	.0%	3	1.7%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	79	33.2%	146	61.3%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	32	14.8%	178	82.4%	1	.5%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	111	24.4%	324	71.4%	1	.2%	1	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	18	60.0%	11	36.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	98	83.8%	18	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	52	96.3%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	94.7%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	DALLAS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	63	95.5%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	1	1.5%
SITE 737	BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	38	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	18	90.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	74	96.1%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI		MULTI		UNABLE TO		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	3.5%	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	3.5%	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	5.0%	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.5%	0	.0%	4	1.9%	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.2%	0	.0%	16	3.5%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	3.9%	76
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	66
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	NEWTON	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	77

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	16	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	27	96.4%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	95.7%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	107	89.9%	7	5.9%	0	.0%	3	2.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	89.9%	7	5.9%	0	.0%	3	2.5%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	86.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	15	68.2%	7	31.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	53	82.8%	10	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	94.5%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	61	98.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	69	97.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	15	88.2%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	42	80.8%	5	9.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	84.6%	8	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	95	91.3%	8	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 936	BUTLER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	6	66.7%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	80.9%	6	12.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		1,164	69.5%	448	26.8%	4	.2%	8	.5%

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI		MULTI		UNABLE TO		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	11.1%	18
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	3.2%	94
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.7%	119
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.7%	119
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	55
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	62
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.8%	71
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	30.8%	13
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	9.6%	52
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	52
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	104	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	6.4%	47
STATE TOTAL		2	.1%	0	.0%	48	2.9%	1,674

Table 13
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	48	27.9%	34	19.8%	8	4.7%	0	.0%	2	1.2%
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	27.9%	34	19.8%	8	4.7%	0	.0%	2	1.2%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	25	10.5%	31	13.0%	6	2.5%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	27	12.5%	18	8.3%	10	4.6%	1	.5%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	11.5%	49	10.8%	16	3.5%	1	.2%	1	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	20.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%
	BOONE	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	1	4.3%	5	21.7%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	4	36.4%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
	WARREN	0	.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	13.7%	18	15.4%	6	5.1%	0	.0%	2	1.7%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WORTH	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
* SITE TOTAL *	10	18.5%	6	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	11.8%	11	14.5%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	22.7%	6	9.1%	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	6	15.8%	5	13.2%	3	7.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	5	25.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	16.9%	11	14.3%	5	6.5%	0	.0%	1	1.3%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	5	2.9%	9	5.2%	1	.6%	18	10.5%	47	27.3%	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	2.9%	9	5.2%	1	.6%	18	10.5%	47	27.3%	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.3%	2	.8%	1	.4%	53	22.3%	116	48.7%	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	4	1.9%	1	.5%	51	23.6%	104	48.1%	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	.7%	6	1.3%	2	.4%	104	22.9%	220	48.5%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	10
	BOONE	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	8	50.0%	16
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	11	47.8%	23
	COLE	0	.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	4	13.3%	16	53.3%	30
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	45.5%	11
	WARREN	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.6%	9	7.7%	1	.9%	11	9.4%	51	43.6%	117
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	9	50.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GRUNDY	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *	3	5.6%	2	3.7%	0	.0%	6	11.1%	27	50.0%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	9
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	64.7%	17
	IRON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	6	60.0%	10
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	8	66.7%	12
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	7	9.2%	1	1.3%	9	11.8%	37	48.7%	76	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	56.3%	16
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	7	10.6%	0	.0%	7	10.6%	29	43.9%	66
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	4
	JASPER	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	57.9%	38
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	55.6%	9
	NEWTON	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	40.0%	20
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3.9%	3	3.9%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	40	51.9%	77

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	10.6%	11	11.7%	3	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	18	15.1%	5	4.2%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	2	1.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	15.1%	5	4.2%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	2	1.7%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	3	13.6%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	25.0%	8	12.5%	3	4.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	12.7%	15	27.3%	4	7.3%	0	.0%	1	1.8%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	11	17.7%	9	14.5%	3	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	19.7%	11	15.5%	4	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
	PETTIS	3	17.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	7.7%	11	21.2%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	1	1.9%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	4	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	5.8%	9	17.3%	2	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	6.5%	4	12.9%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	6	22.2%	6	22.2%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	1	3.7%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	10.6%	19	18.3%	6	5.8%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	14.9%	10	21.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		253	15.1%	234	14.0%	64	3.8%	2	.1%	11	.7%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	9
	CHRISTIAN	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	18
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	57.1%	14
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	4	14.3%	15	53.6%	28
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.1%	6	6.4%	3	3.2%	13	13.8%	46	48.9%	94
SITE 739	GREENE	3	2.5%	7	5.9%	1	.8%	8	6.7%	73	61.3%	119
		* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.5%	7	5.9%	1	.8%	8	6.7%	73	61.3%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	6	40.0%	15
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	PIKE	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	1	4.5%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%	22
		* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.1%	2	3.1%	1	1.6%	8	12.5%	24	37.5%
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	69.2%	13
	CLARK	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	11
	SCHUYLER	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SHELBY	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.6%	3	5.5%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	21	38.2%	55
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	1	1.6%	2	3.2%	0	.0%	3	4.8%	33	53.2%	62
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
		* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.4%	3	4.2%	0	.0%	4	5.6%	34	47.9%
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	12
	COOPER	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	50.0%	10
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	6	46.2%	13
	PETTIS	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	17
		* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.9%	6	11.5%	0	.0%	5	9.6%	23	44.2%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	73.3%	15
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	7	70.0%	10
	RAY	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	9	56.3%	16
		* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	4	7.7%	31	59.6%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	9.7%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	4	12.9%	13	41.9%	31
	MADISON	0	.0%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	33.3%	4	14.8%	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	80.0%	10
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
		* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.9%	6	5.8%	1	1.0%	18	17.3%	38	36.5%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	11
	DUNKLIN	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	9
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	RIPLEY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
		* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.3%	3	6.4%	1	2.1%	4	8.5%	20	42.6%
STATE TOTAL		33	2.0%	80	4.8%	14	.8%	222	13.3%	761	45.5%	1,674

Table 14
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	52	30.2%	34	19.8%	34	19.8%	23	13.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	30.2%	34	19.8%	34	19.8%	23	13.4%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	70	29.4%	58	24.4%	28	11.8%	26	10.9%
	ST LOUIS CITY	77	35.6%	62	28.7%	22	10.2%	30	13.9%
	* SITE TOTAL *	147	32.4%	120	26.4%	50	11.0%	56	12.3%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%
	BOONE	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	3	18.8%	4	25.0%
	CALLAWAY	5	21.7%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	8	34.8%
	COLE	12	40.0%	6	20.0%	3	10.0%	6	20.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%
	MONTGOMERY	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	4	36.4%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%
	WARREN	1	8.3%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	23.1%	22	18.8%	20	17.1%	29	24.8%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	7	38.9%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	4	22.2%
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%
	DAVISS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	3	50.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	12	22.2%	8	14.8%	7	13.0%	20	37.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	1	11.1%
	DENT	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
	HOWELL	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%
	IRON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	PHELPS	5	50.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
	PULASKI	2	16.7%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%
	WAYNE	3	50.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
* SITE TOTAL *	26	34.2%	10	13.2%	10	13.2%	14	18.4%	
SITE 736	BATES	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	BENTON	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%
	LACLEDE	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	MORGAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	5	35.7%
* SITE TOTAL *	13	19.7%	8	12.1%	14	21.2%	20	30.3%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	JASPER	12	31.6%	4	10.5%	11	28.9%	6	15.8%
	MCDONALD	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	2	10.0%	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	5	25.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	18	23.4%	13	16.9%	15	19.5%	15	19.5%	

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	16	9.3%	7	4.1%	6	3.5%	0	.0%	172
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	9.3%	7	4.1%	6	3.5%	0	.0%	172
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	27	11.3%	14	5.9%	15	6.3%	0	.0%	238
	ST LOUIS CITY	17	7.9%	6	2.8%	2	.9%	0	.0%	216
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	9.7%	20	4.4%	17	3.7%	0	.0%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CALLAWAY	2	8.7%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	COLE	3	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WARREN	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
* SITE TOTAL *	12	10.3%	5	4.3%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	117	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DAVIESS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *	4	7.4%	3	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	4	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PULASKI	3	25.0%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	12
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	12	15.8%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	76	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
* SITE TOTAL *	5	7.6%	5	7.6%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	66	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	38
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	NEWTON	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	20
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
* SITE TOTAL *	8	10.4%	4	5.2%	4	5.2%	0	.0%	77	

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%
	CHRISTIAN	4	22.2%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	7	38.9%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	6	42.9%
	OZARK	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%
	STONE	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
	TANEY	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	7	25.0%	7	25.0%
	WRIGHT	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%
* SITE TOTAL *	21	22.3%	11	11.7%	16	17.0%	25	26.6%	
SITE 739	GREENE	57	47.9%	17	14.3%	19	16.0%	13	10.9%
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	47.9%	17	14.3%	19	16.0%	13	10.9%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	6.7%	0	.0%	7	46.7%	5	33.3%
	MARION	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	2	25.0%
	MONROE	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%
	ST CHARLES	7	31.8%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	4	18.2%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	21.9%	6	9.4%	15	23.4%	17	26.6%
SITE 931	ADAIR	9	69.2%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%
	CLARK	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	2	18.2%
	SCHUYLER	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	SHELBY	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	38	69.1%	4	7.3%	5	9.1%	4	7.3%	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%
	JEFFERSON	5	8.1%	10	16.1%	8	12.9%	11	17.7%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	7.0%	11	15.5%	8	11.3%	16	22.5%
SITE 933	CASS	3	25.0%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	5	41.7%
	COOPER	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
	JOHNSON	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%
	PETTIS	4	23.5%	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	5	29.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	21.2%	7	13.5%	9	17.3%	15	28.8%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%
	RAY	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%
	SALINE	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	5	31.3%	4	25.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	25.0%	6	11.5%	8	15.4%	12	23.1%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	19.4%	8	25.8%	3	9.7%	9	29.0%
	MADISON	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%
	ST FRANCOIS	6	22.2%	5	18.5%	3	11.1%	5	18.5%
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	19.2%	21	20.2%	14	13.5%	25	24.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%
	DUNKLIN	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	4	44.4%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	5	55.6%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%
	RIPLEY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	4	66.7%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	8.5%	10	21.3%	8	17.0%	21	44.7%
STATE TOTAL	478	28.6%	308	18.4%	252	15.1%	325	19.4%	

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	18
	DOUGLAS	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	1	7.1%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	OZARK	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	28
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
* SITE TOTAL *	8	8.5%	7	7.4%	6	6.4%	0	.0%	94	
SITE 739	GREENE	4	3.4%	7	5.9%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	119
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.4%	7	5.9%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	119
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	MARION	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	3	13.6%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	12.5%	3	4.7%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.8%	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	55	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	10	16.1%	11	17.7%	7	11.3%	0	.0%	62
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	16.9%	11	15.5%	8	11.3%	0	.0%	71
SITE 933	CASS	1	8.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	COOPER	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JOHNSON	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PETTIS	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	7.7%	4	7.7%	2	3.8%	0	.0%	52
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	4	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LAFAYETTE	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PLATTE	2	20.0%	0	.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	10
	RAY	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
* SITE TOTAL *	7	13.5%	3	5.8%	3	5.8%	0	.0%	52	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	31
	MADISON	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	2	7.4%	4	14.8%	2	7.4%	0	.0%	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	WASHINGTON	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
* SITE TOTAL *	9	8.7%	9	8.7%	6	5.8%	0	.0%	104	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.3%	1	2.1%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	47	
STATE TOTAL		156	9.3%	93	5.6%	62	3.7%	0	.0%	1,674

Table 15
Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

	Number	Percent
Parenting Skills Problems	1,237	73.9%
Parent/Child Conflict	769	45.9%
Communication	704	42.1%
Mental Health Problems	494	29.5%
Child Neglect	466	27.8%
Emotional Problems	351	21.0%
Physical Abuse	302	18.0%
Severe Financial Problems	283	16.9%
School Problems	198	11.8%
Drug Abuse	191	11.4%
Marital Conflict	151	9.0%
Medical Illness/Disability	148	8.8%
Physical Violence	140	8.4%
Delinquent Behavior	137	8.2%
Transportation	127	7.6%
Shelter	124	7.4%
Alcohol Abuse	109	6.5%
Homelessness	62	3.7%
Developmental Disability	56	3.3%
Sexual Abuse	53	3.2%
Criminal Behavior	51	3.0%
Runaway	36	2.2%
Suicide Attempts	25	1.5%
Pregnancy	13	.8%
Prostitution	2	.1%

Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during October 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

At-risk Children Served During FY 2009

Table 16
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	42	11.5%	128	35.0%	137	37.4%	59	16.1%	366
	* SITE TOTAL *	42	11.5%	128	35.0%	137	37.4%	59	16.1%	366
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	18	4.4%	73	17.7%	166	40.2%	156	37.8%	413
	ST LOUIS CITY	39	10.0%	97	24.9%	135	34.6%	119	30.5%	390
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	7.1%	170	21.2%	301	37.5%	275	34.2%	803
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	11
	BOONE	2	6.7%	9	30.0%	14	46.7%	5	16.7%	30
	CALLAWAY	4	12.5%	10	31.3%	9	28.1%	9	28.1%	32
	COLE	6	10.0%	17	28.3%	20	33.3%	17	28.3%	60
	HOWARD	0	.0%	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	5	29.4%	4	23.5%	8	47.1%	17
	RANDOLPH	2	7.7%	8	30.8%	11	42.3%	5	19.2%	26
	WARREN	1	4.8%	7	33.3%	4	19.0%	9	42.9%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	7.3%	62	30.1%	70	34.0%	59	28.6%	206
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	6	15.0%	13	32.5%	15	37.5%	6	15.0%	40
	CALDWELL	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GRUNDY	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	8
	NODAWAY	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	11
	PUTNAM	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	WORTH	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	10.9%	41	37.3%	42	38.2%	15	13.6%	110
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	4	22.2%	10	55.6%	4	22.2%	18
	DENT	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9
	HOWELL	2	6.1%	15	45.5%	9	27.3%	7	21.2%	33
	IRON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5
	MARIES	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	3	42.9%	7
	PHELPS	2	8.7%	13	56.5%	6	26.1%	2	8.7%	23
	PULASKI	4	16.7%	10	41.7%	6	25.0%	4	16.7%	24
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	TEXAS	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9
	WAYNE	0	.0%	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	10.2%	61	41.5%	40	27.2%	31	21.1%	147
	SITE 736	BATES	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%
BENTON		0	.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	9
CAMDEN		1	7.1%	1	7.1%	8	57.1%	4	28.6%	14
DALLAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
HENRY		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
HICKORY		0	.0%	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
LACLEDE		2	5.3%	14	36.8%	16	42.1%	6	15.8%	38
MILLER		1	16.7%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
MONITEAU		0	.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
MORGAN		0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
POLK		1	7.1%	7	50.0%	5	35.7%	1	7.1%	14
ST CLAIR		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
WEBSTER		0	.0%	13	40.6%	16	50.0%	3	9.4%	32
* SITE TOTAL *		7	5.0%	50	35.5%	64	45.4%	20	14.2%	141
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	7
	JASPER	12	15.4%	28	35.9%	23	29.5%	15	19.2%	78
	MCDONALD	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	4	28.6%	14
	NEWTON	2	6.1%	14	42.4%	10	30.3%	7	21.2%	33
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	16	11.4%	52	37.1%	38	27.1%	34	24.3%	140	

Table 16 (continued)
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	12
	CHRISTIAN	1	3.0%	7	21.2%	8	24.2%	17	51.5%	33
	DOUGLAS	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	11
	LAWRENCE	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	6	31.6%	10	52.6%	19
	OZARK	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	STONE	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	4	28.6%	3	21.4%	14
	TANEY	5	10.4%	15	31.3%	18	37.5%	10	20.8%	48
	WRIGHT	1	5.3%	10	52.6%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	19
* SITE TOTAL *	14	8.6%	52	32.1%	47	29.0%	49	30.2%	162	
SITE 739	GREENE	33	13.9%	90	37.8%	84	35.3%	31	13.0%	238
	* SITE TOTAL *	33	13.9%	90	37.8%	84	35.3%	31	13.0%	238
SITE 831	LINCOLN	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	15	50.0%	8	26.7%	30
	MARION	3	14.3%	7	33.3%	6	28.6%	5	23.8%	21
	MONROE	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	4	21.1%	11	57.9%	19
	RALLS	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	8
	ST CHARLES	5	9.8%	15	29.4%	21	41.2%	10	19.6%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	11.7%	34	24.8%	52	38.0%	35	25.5%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	15.8%	4	21.1%	7	36.8%	5	26.3%	19
	CLARK	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	KNOX	1	20.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	9	60.0%	15
	MACON	0	.0%	9	50.0%	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	18
	SCHUYLER	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	SHELBY	0	.0%	8	66.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	12
* SITE TOTAL *	7	8.1%	26	30.2%	23	26.7%	30	34.9%	86	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	12.5%	5	31.3%	6	37.5%	3	18.8%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	JEFFERSON	9	6.9%	41	31.5%	45	34.6%	35	26.9%	130
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	7.1%	51	33.1%	53	34.4%	39	25.3%	154
SITE 933	CASS	3	13.6%	7	31.8%	5	22.7%	7	31.8%	22
	COOPER	2	12.5%	6	37.5%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	16
	JOHNSON	1	4.3%	7	30.4%	2	8.7%	13	56.5%	23
	PETTIS	2	6.1%	8	24.2%	10	30.3%	13	39.4%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	8.5%	28	29.8%	24	25.5%	34	36.2%	94
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	2	5.7%	13	37.1%	10	28.6%	10	28.6%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	PLATTE	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	13
	RAY	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	9	60.0%	2	13.3%	15
	SALINE	1	2.7%	8	21.6%	21	56.8%	7	18.9%	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	6.5%	30	27.8%	48	44.4%	23	21.3%	108
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	3.4%	15	25.9%	31	53.4%	10	17.2%	58
	MADISON	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	5	20.0%	12	48.0%	8	32.0%	25
	ST FRANCOIS	4	9.8%	5	12.2%	19	46.3%	13	31.7%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9
	SCOTT	5	17.2%	11	37.9%	10	34.5%	3	10.3%	29
	WASHINGTON	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	7.3%	42	21.9%	89	46.4%	47	24.5%	192
SITE 936	BUTLER	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	7	38.9%	4	22.2%	18
	DUNKLIN	3	12.5%	9	37.5%	5	20.8%	7	29.2%	24
	NEW MADRID	2	11.1%	4	22.2%	8	44.4%	4	22.2%	18
	PEMISCOT	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	9
	RIPLEY	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	8	42.1%	6	31.6%	19
	STODDARD	2	16.7%	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	15.0%	25	25.0%	33	33.0%	27	27.0%	100
STATE TOTAL	289	9.1%	942	29.6%	1,145	36.0%	808	25.4%	3,184	

Table 17
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	167	45.6%	199	54.4%	366
	* SITE TOTAL *	167	45.6%	199	54.4%	366
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	202	48.9%	211	51.1%	413
	ST LOUIS CITY	201	51.5%	189	48.5%	390
	* SITE TOTAL *	403	50.2%	400	49.8%	803
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11
	BOONE	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	30
	CALLAWAY	17	53.1%	15	46.9%	32
	COLE	26	43.3%	34	56.7%	60
	HOWARD	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	MONTGOMERY	6	35.3%	11	64.7%	17
	RANDOLPH	11	42.3%	15	57.7%	26
	WARREN	10	47.6%	11	52.4%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	46.1%	111	53.9%	206
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	20	50.0%	20	50.0%	40
	CALDWELL	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	DAVISS	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	DE KALB	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	GRUNDY	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	LINN	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	LIVINGSTON	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	NODAWAY	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	WORTH	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	44.5%	61	55.5%	110
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18
	DENT	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	HOWELL	24	72.7%	9	27.3%	33
	IRON	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	MARIES	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	OREGON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	PHELPS	8	34.8%	15	65.2%	23
	PULASKI	10	41.7%	14	58.3%	24
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	TEXAS	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	WAYNE	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	54.4%	67	45.6%	147
	SITE 736	BATES	3	50.0%	3	50.0%
BENTON		6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
CAMDEN		6	42.9%	8	57.1%	14
DALLAS		0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
HENRY		2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
HICKORY		3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8
LACLEDE		16	42.1%	22	57.9%	38
MILLER		2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
MONITEAU		3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
MORGAN		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
POLK		3	21.4%	11	78.6%	14
ST CLAIR		0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
WEBSTER		17	53.1%	15	46.9%	32
* SITE TOTAL *		62	44.0%	79	56.0%	141
SITE 737		BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	DADE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	JASPER	39	50.0%	39	50.0%	78
	MCDONALD	5	35.7%	9	64.3%	14
	NEWTON	18	54.5%	15	45.5%	33
	VERNON	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	71	50.7%	69	49.3%	140	

Table 17 (continued)
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	3	25.0%	9	75.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	18	54.5%	15	45.5%	33
	DOUGLAS	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11
	LAWRENCE	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
	OZARK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
	TANEY	29	60.4%	19	39.6%	48
	WRIGHT	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	53.7%	75	46.3%	162
SITE 739	GREENE	121	50.8%	117	49.2%	238
	* SITE TOTAL *	121	50.8%	117	49.2%	238
SITE 831	LINCOLN	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	30
	MARION	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	21
	MONROE	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	PIKE	6	31.6%	13	68.4%	19
	RALLS	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	ST CHARLES	24	47.1%	27	52.9%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	46.7%	73	53.3%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	19
	CLARK	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	KNOX	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	LEWIS	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	15
	MACON	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18
	SCHUYLER	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	SHELBY	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	44.2%	48	55.8%	86
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	16
	GASCONADE	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	6
	JEFFERSON	54	41.5%	76	58.5%	130
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	43.5%	87	56.5%	154
SITE 933	CASS	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
	COOPER	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	16
	JOHNSON	7	30.4%	16	69.6%	23
	PETTIS	19	57.6%	14	42.4%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	43	45.7%	51	54.3%	94
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	19	54.3%	16	45.7%	35
	LAFAYETTE	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	PLATTE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	RAY	3	20.0%	12	80.0%	15
	SALINE	19	51.4%	18	48.6%	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	48.1%	56	51.9%	108
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	33	56.9%	25	43.1%	58
	MADISON	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PERRY	11	44.0%	14	56.0%	25
	ST FRANCOIS	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9
	SCOTT	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	29
	WASHINGTON	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	94	49.0%	98	51.0%	192	
SITE 936	BUTLER	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18
	DUNKLIN	10	41.7%	14	58.3%	24
	NEW MADRID	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18
	PEMISCOT	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	RIPLEY	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
	STODDARD	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	45.0%	55	55.0%	100
STATE TOTAL		1,538	48.3%	1,646	51.7%	3,184

Table 18
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	216	59.0%	139	38.0%	0	.0%	3	.8%
	* SITE TOTAL *	216	59.0%	139	38.0%	0	.0%	3	.8%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	118	28.6%	277	67.1%	0	.0%	1	.2%
	ST LOUIS CITY	56	14.4%	331	84.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	174	21.7%	608	75.7%	0	.0%	1	.1%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	30	93.8%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	31	51.7%	28	46.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	13	61.9%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	158	76.7%	41	19.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	37	92.5%	2	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WORTH	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	
* SITE TOTAL *	104	94.5%	2	1.8%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	32	97.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	21	87.5%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	138	93.9%	6	4.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 736	BATES	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	36	94.7%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	139	98.6%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	74	94.9%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	27	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	130	92.9%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	.3%	6	1.6%	1	.3%	366
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.3%	6	1.6%	1	.3%	366
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	6	1.5%	11	2.7%	413
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.3%	1	.3%	1	.3%	390
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.1%	7	.9%	12	1.5%	803
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	32
	COLE	0	.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	60
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	19.0%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	1.5%	4	1.9%	206
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.5%	40
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.9%	1	.9%	110	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.3%	24
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.0%	147	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	38
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.7%	0	.0%	141	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JASPER	0	.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	78
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	1	3.0%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	33
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	1	.7%	5	3.6%	3	2.1%	140	

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	28	84.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	10	90.9%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	48	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	155	95.7%	1	.6%	1	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	209	87.8%	22	9.2%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	209	87.8%	22	9.2%	0	.0%	1	.4%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	14	73.7%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	28	54.9%	21	41.2%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	104	75.9%	28	20.4%	1	.7%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	89.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	14	77.8%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	93.0%	3	3.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	130	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	154	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	16	69.6%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	31	93.9%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	81	86.2%	8	8.5%	1	1.1%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	32	91.4%	3	8.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	22	59.5%	13	35.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	80.6%	18	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	49	84.5%	7	12.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	25	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	41	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	19	65.5%	8	27.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	171	89.1%	17	8.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	20	83.3%	4	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	8	44.4%	8	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	82.0%	16	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		2,182	68.5%	911	28.6%	5	.2%	5	.2%

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI		MULTI		UNABLE TO		TOTAL
		PACI		RACIAL		DETERMINE		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	15.2%	33
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	48
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	3.1%	162
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	2	.8%	4	1.7%	238
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	.8%	4	1.7%	238
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	19
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	19
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	1.2%	2	2.3%	86
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	130
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	154
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JOHNSON	4	17.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	94
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	2.8%	0	.0%	108
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	2	3.4%	0	.0%	58
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	.0%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	29
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	1.6%	1	.5%	192	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	18
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	2.0%	0	.0%	100
STATE TOTAL		7	.2%	36	1.1%	38	1.2%	3,184

Table 19
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	339	92.6%	1	.3%	5	1.4%	2	.5%	1	.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	339	92.6%	1	.3%	5	1.4%	2	.5%	1	.3%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	377	91.3%	8	1.9%	8	1.9%	9	2.2%	2	.5%
	ST LOUIS CITY	366	93.8%	7	1.8%	6	1.5%	3	.8%	2	.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	743	92.5%	15	1.9%	14	1.7%	12	1.5%	4	.5%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	27	90.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	31	96.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	55	91.7%	3	5.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	16	94.1%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	19	73.1%	0	.0%	6	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	20	95.2%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	187	90.8%	5	2.4%	9	4.4%	2	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	39	97.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	103	93.6%	1	.9%	0	.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	13	72.2%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	32	97.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	4	80.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	10	90.9%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	138	93.9%	1	.7%	3	2.0%	1	.7%	0	.0%	
SITE 736	BATES	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	35	92.1%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	29	90.6%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	132	93.6%	5	3.5%	2	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	75	96.2%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	29	87.9%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	131	93.6%	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	1	.7%	0	.0%	

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT- ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	2.5%	2	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	1.9%	366
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	2.5%	2	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	1.9%	366
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	.7%	2	.5%	1	.2%	0	.0%	3	.7%	413
	ST LOUIS CITY	5	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	390
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	1.0%	2	.2%	1	.1%	0	.0%	4	.5%	803
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	32
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	60
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	RANDOLPH	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.0%	206
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.5%	40
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	11
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	4.5%	110	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	22.2%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.7%	147	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LACLEDE	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.7%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	141
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.1%	33
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.7%	0	.0%	3	2.1%	140	

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	33	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	42	87.5%	2	4.2%	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
* SITE TOTAL *	152	93.8%	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	1	.6%	1	.6%	
SITE 739	GREENE	223	93.7%	4	1.7%	5	2.1%	3	1.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	223	93.7%	4	1.7%	5	2.1%	3	1.3%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	25	83.3%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%
	MARION	20	95.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
	MONROE	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	14	73.7%	0	.0%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	48	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	89.8%	2	1.5%	4	2.9%	1	.7%	1	.7%
SITE 931	ADAIR	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	12	80.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	17	94.4%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	4	80.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	10	83.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	75	87.2%	3	3.5%	5	5.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	126	96.9%	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.5%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	94.8%	2	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.3%
SITE 933	CASS	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	15	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%
	JOHNSON	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	31	93.9%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	91	96.8%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	34	97.1%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	34	91.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	103	95.4%	0	.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	55	94.8%	0	.0%	2	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	23	92.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	36	87.8%	0	.0%	3	7.3%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	26	89.7%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	178	92.7%	1	.5%	6	3.1%	2	1.0%	1	.5%
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	83.3%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	95	95.0%	2	2.0%	3	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
STATE TOTAL	2,959	92.9%	46	1.4%	62	1.9%	27	.8%	11	.3%	

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT- ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	48
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.5%	162
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.3%	238
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.3%	238
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.9%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	4.4%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CLARK	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	86	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	130
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.9%	154
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	94
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PLATTE	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	8.1%	37
* SITE TOTAL *	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.8%	108	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	25
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCOTT	2	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	192	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	100	
STATE TOTAL		25	.8%	6	.2%	2	.1%	0	.0%	46	1.4%	3,184

Table 20
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	3	.8%	12	3.3%	226	61.7%	2	.5%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	.8%	12	3.3%	226	61.7%	2	.5%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	9	2.2%	51	12.3%	210	50.8%	12	2.9%	2	.5%
	ST LOUIS CITY	5	1.3%	41	10.5%	173	44.4%	22	5.6%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	1.7%	92	11.5%	383	47.7%	34	4.2%	2	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	2	18.2%	8	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	1	3.3%	2	6.7%	18	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	4	12.5%	21	65.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	2	3.3%	53	88.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	3	17.6%	13	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	5	19.2%	15	57.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	0	.0%	2	9.5%	18	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	21	10.2%	154	74.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	3	7.5%	35	87.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	5.5%	88	80.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	5.6%	15	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	24	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	2	8.7%	0	.0%	13	56.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	4.2%	0	.0%	18	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.7%	2	1.4%	110	74.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	2	5.3%	36	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	2	6.3%	16	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	5	3.5%	114	80.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	7	9.0%	53	67.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	1	3.0%	29	87.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	10	7.1%	102	72.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	121	33.1%	1	.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	366
	* SITE TOTAL *	121	33.1%	1	.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	366
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	100	24.2%	7	1.7%	0	.0%	22	5.3%	413
	ST LOUIS CITY	129	33.1%	7	1.8%	0	.0%	13	3.3%	390
	* SITE TOTAL *	229	28.5%	14	1.7%	0	.0%	35	4.4%	803
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	9	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CALLAWAY	7	21.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	COLE	3	5.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	60
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	RANDOLPH	6	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	WARREN	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	12.6%	1	.5%	1	.5%	2	1.0%	206
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	CALDWELL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PUTNAM	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WORTH	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	13.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	110
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DENT	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HOWELL	7	21.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MARIES	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PHELPS	8	34.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	PULASKI	5	20.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	4	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	WAYNE	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	147
	SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
BENTON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
CAMDEN		4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
DALLAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
HENRY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
HICKORY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
LACLEDE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38
MILLER		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
MONITEAU		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
MORGAN		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
POLK		3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
ST CLAIR		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
WEBSTER		14	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
* SITE TOTAL *		21	14.9%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	141
SITE 737		BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JASPER	16	20.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.6%	78
	MCDONALD	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	16.4%	2	1.4%	1	.7%	2	1.4%	140

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	5	15.2%	21	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	1	9.1%	0	.0%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	3	15.8%	12	63.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	1	7.1%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	2.1%	43	89.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	10.5%	14	73.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.9%	14	8.6%	110	67.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	15	6.3%	195	81.9%	3	1.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	15	6.3%	195	81.9%	3	1.3%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	2	6.7%	15	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	19	90.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	10.5%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	3	5.9%	37	72.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	8	5.8%	92	67.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	85	98.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	6.3%	15	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	3	2.3%	8	6.2%	94	72.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.9%	9	5.8%	115	74.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	9.1%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	6.3%	14	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	16	69.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	0	.0%	1	3.0%	31	93.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.1%	6	6.4%	79	84.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	1	2.9%	6	17.1%	19	54.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	10	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	1	6.7%	13	86.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	1	2.7%	23	62.2%	1	2.7%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	1	.9%	9	8.3%	73	67.6%	1	.9%	0	.0%	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	48	82.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	68.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	2	4.9%	24	58.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	11.1%	7	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	3.4%	0	.0%	18	62.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.1%	5	2.6%	137	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	2	11.1%	16	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	2	8.3%	21	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	5.6%	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	5.3%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	6.0%	84	84.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL	34	1.1%	220	6.9%	2,147	67.4%	41	1.3%	2	.1%	

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2009

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	6	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	6	18.2%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	DOUGLAS	6	54.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	LAWRENCE	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STONE	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	TANEY	2	4.2%	2	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	48
	WRIGHT	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
* SITE TOTAL *	32	19.8%	3	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	162	
SITE 739	GREENE	21	8.8%	1	.4%	1	.4%	2	.8%	238
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	8.8%	1	.4%	1	.4%	2	.8%	238
SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	43.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	MARION	2	9.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	MONROE	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	9	47.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	27.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	86
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	24	18.5%	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	130
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	16.9%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	154
SITE 933	CASS	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	COOPER	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	23
	PETTIS	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.3%	2	2.1%	0	.0%	2	2.1%	94
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	9	25.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PLATTE	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	SALINE	5	13.5%	7	18.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	14.8%	8	7.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	108
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	5.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	58
	MADISON	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	20.0%	25
	ST FRANCOIS	8	19.5%	5	12.2%	0	.0%	2	4.9%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	SCOTT	10	34.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	WASHINGTON	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	13.0%	9	4.7%	2	1.0%	10	5.2%	192
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DUNKLIN	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	RIPLEY	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	STODDARD	6	50.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	100
STATE TOTAL	636	20.0%	44	1.4%	5	.2%	55	1.7%	3,184	

Table 21
At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

	Number	Percent
Parent/Child Conflict	1,191	37.41%
Parenting Skills Problems	1,005	31.56%
Communication	998	31.34%
Child Neglect	993	31.19%
Emotional Problems	607	19.06%
Mental Health Problems	584	18.34%
School Problems	557	17.49%
Physical Abuse	474	14.89%
Delinquent Behavior	350	10.99%
Physical Violence	211	6.63%
Shelter	198	6.22%
Severe Financial Problems	187	5.87%
Drug Abuse	182	5.72%
Medical Illness/Disability	164	5.15%
Developmental Disability	123	3.86%
Sexual Abuse	119	3.74%
Transportation	119	3.74%
Homelessness	115	3.61%
Runaway	109	3.42%
Criminal Behavior	62	1.95%
Alcohol Abuse	62	1.95%
Marital Conflict	55	1.73%
Suicide Attempts	37	1.16%
Pregnancy	16	0.50%
Prostitution	1	0.03%

Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during October 2012. Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2009

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2009

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

Outcomes During FY 2009

Table 22
Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS
During Fiscal Years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009
(Families and Children are Unduplicated)

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2005	1,660	3,264
2006	1,734	3,403
2007	1,799	3,640
2008	1,623	3,299
2009	1,527	2,932

Table 23
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2005	2,605	79.8%	659	20.2%	3,264
2006	2,702	79.4%	701	20.6%	3,403
2007	2,859	78.5%	781	21.5%	3,640
2008	2,484	75.3%	815	24.7%	3,299

Table 24
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider




Table 25

At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services

Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Either during IIS or after IIS Exit
 (Based on 1st Exit from IIS for the at-risk child during the FY and 1st substantiated CA/N Report during the time period)

Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	2,745	74	84	62	91	99	63	46	3,264
2006	2,961	66	59	42	66	88	65	56	3,403
2007	3,154	57	39	52	89	102	89	58	3,640
2008	2,885	52	46	41	51	103	65	56	3,299

Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	2,218	62	57	44	69	85	39	31	2605
2006	2,365	51	39	32	54	64	49	48	2702
2007	2,485	42	33	35	67	80	71	46	2859
2008	2,202	36	34	24	34	71	44	39	2484

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	527	12	27	18	22	14	24	15	659
2006	596	15	20	10	12	24	16	8	701
2007	669	15	6	17	22	22	18	12	781
2008	683	16	12	17	17	32	21	17	815

Table 26

At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their IIS exit.




Table 27
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services
Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 Either during IIS or after IIS Exit
(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for the at-risk child during the FY and 1st entry into LS1 during the time period)

Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	2,402	149	207	121	144	122	78	41	3,264
2006	2,696	132	157	95	99	107	62	55	3,403
2007	2,800	148	183	90	129	128	104	58	3,640
2008	2,453	151	175	92	140	152	76	60	3,299


Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	1,961	117	151	86	110	101	54	25	2,605
2006	2,152	104	119	71	80	80	51	45	2,702
2007	2,230	116	137	58	96	107	68	47	2,859
2008	1,895	121	130	55	96	98	45	44	2,484

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	NO CAN	DURING IIS	0<=3 MONS	3<=6 MONS	6<=12 MONS	12<=24 MONS	24<=36 MONS	36<=48 MONS	At-risk Children Exiting
2005	441	32	56	35	34	21	24	16	659
2006	544	28	38	24	19	27	11	10	701
2007	570	32	46	32	33	21	36	11	781
2008	558	30	45	37	44	54	31	16	815

Table 28
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their IIS exit.



Notes: Outcomes During FY 2009

All data for this report was compiled during October 2012. At-risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2005 is the only fiscal year, at the time of this report, to allow a 48 month follow-up. FY 2006, FY 2007 and FY 2008 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.