



Children's Division

Child Abuse and Neglect

Calendar Year 2010
Annual Report

Research and Evaluation
June 2011

Child Abuse and Neglect in Missouri

Report for Calendar Year 2010

Missouri Department of Social Services
221 West High Street
P.O. Box 1527
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1527

Department of Social Services

Mission Statement

Maintain or improve the quality of life for Missouri citizens.



Working with you in protecting Missouri's children.

Our Vision:

Safety, permanency, well being and equity for every Missouri child!

Our Mission:

To protect Missouri children from abuse and neglect; assuring their safety and well being by partnering with families, communities and government in an ethically, culturally and socially responsible manner.

Our Guiding Principles:

PREVENTION - Families are supported through proactive, intentional activities that promote positive child development and prevent abuse and neglect.

PROTECTION - Children have a right to be safe and live free from abuse and neglect.

PRESERVATION - The cultural and ethnic diversity of the children and families of Missouri are recognized, honored and respected.

PARTNERSHIP - Families, communities and government share the responsibility to create safe, nurturing environments for families to raise their children.

PRACTICE - The family is the basic building block of society and is irreplaceable. Families are empowered to identify and access services that support, preserve and strengthen their functioning.

PERMANENCY - Children are entitled to enduring, nurturing relationships that provide stability and belonging through family and community connections.

PROFESSIONALISM - Staff are valued, respected and supported throughout their career, and in turn provide quality service with value, respect and support for families.



JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR
CHILDREN'S DIVISION

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088
WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

June 2011

Dear Friends and Colleagues:

Thank you for your interest and concern regarding child abuse and neglect in Missouri. We are pleased to inform you that the Children's Division has implemented a new data system, referred to as Family and Children Electronic System (FACES). This data system is meeting federal requirements in Missouri's State Automated Information and Management System. This report illustrates information from our FACES system for all children reported through the Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline Unit including the substantiation status of the child and the number served by a program within the Missouri Children's Division. This report includes child abuse and neglect reports and referrals received during Calendar Year 2010. Additionally, the report includes a description of Missouri's unique multiple-response system to responding to child abuse and neglect reports. For over ten years, the Children's Division has worked to enhance our family-centered, community-based practice through the use of family assessments.

As evident in this report, there is a continued need for strong community support for Missouri's children and families. Through ongoing support of committed citizens, such as yourself, as well as community partnerships, we believe that our shared desires for the improvement and support of Missouri's children and families will be realized.

Sincerely,

Candace A. Shively
Director

RELAY MISSOURI
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.

Contents

Introduction	1
Reports to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline	1
Definitions	2
Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments	4
Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations/Family Assessments	4
Reporters	8
Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect	10
Child Demographics	12
Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities	15
Child Abuse/Neglect Fatality Demographics	17
Perpetrator Information	18
Perpetrator Demographics	18
Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations	20
Child Demographics	23
Prevention/Treatment Services	26
Appendices	31
A. Reported Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion	32
B. Reported Children by Region, County and Conclusion	34
C. Family Assessment Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion	36
D. Family Assessment Children by Region, County and Conclusion	38
E. Substantiated Incidents by Region, County, and Category of Abuse/Neglect	40
F. Substantiated Children by Region, County, and Category of Abuse/Neglect	44
G. Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities by Region and County	48

Introduction

This report compiles data collected by the Missouri Department of Social Services (DSS), Children's Division (CD) in the course of Child Abuse and/or Neglect (CA/N) investigations/assessments. The data is current as of June 2010. As a result of pending investigations or changes in conclusions, the data is prone to change.

Reports to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline

Over the past year, the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline Unit (CANHU) received 56,897 reports of child abuse/neglect, involving 83,503 children. The CANHU is operated year-round on a 24 hours per day, seven days per week basis by 41 full time and 7 part time trained and experienced Children's Service Workers. Missouri's toll-free number for reporting child abuse/neglect is **1-800-392-3738**.

When a call is received at the CANHU, information is analyzed to determine whether:

- the child is under age 18;
- the alleged perpetrator has care, custody and control of the child; and
- the report meets the legal definition of abuse and/or neglect as stipulated in 210.110, RSMo (see definitions on page 2).

Within moments of receiving a report, the information is forwarded electronically to one of the 114 county offices or the St. Louis City office for investigation/assessment. Investigations/assessments must be initiated within 24 hours or immediately when it is determined that the child is in imminent danger. Exceptions are when the only allegation is educational neglect or the allegation is an old allegation and the child is protected. In this case, an investigation/assessment must be initiated within 72 hours. Children's Service Workers have 30 days to complete their investigations/assessments.

A call to the hotline is referred to as a report or reported incident of child abuse/neglect. A report may involve from one to several children. Compared to 2009, the number of reports increased in 2010.

**Incidents and Children Reported to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline
and Annual Percent Change, 2006-2010**

Year	Total Reports	Annual Change	Total Children	Annual Change
2006	51,383		75,474	
2007	52,979	3.1%	77,481	2.7%
2008	50,565	-4.6%	75,781	-2.2%
2009	51,896	2.6%	75,544	-0.3%
2010	56,897	9.6%	83,503	10.5%

Note: All counts of children are duplicated because a child may be reported more than once during the year.

Introduction

Definitions

Legal:

The Missouri Child Abuse Law, Section 210.110 RSMo defines:

Abuse as any physical injury, sexual abuse, or emotional abuse inflicted on a child other than by accidental means by those responsible for the child's care, custody, and control, except that discipline including spanking, administered in a reasonable manner, shall not be construed to be abuse; and

Neglect as failure to provide, by those responsible for the care, custody, and control of the child, the proper or necessary support, education as required by law, nutrition or medical, surgical, or any other care necessary for the child's well-being.

Care, custody and control of the child, includes but is not limited to the parents or guardian of a child, other members of the child's household, or those exercising supervision over a child for any part of a twenty-four hour day. Those responsible for the care, custody and control shall also include any adult who, based on their relationship to the parents of the child, members of the child's household or the family, has access to the child.

Investigation is the collection of physical and verbal evidence to determine if a child has been abused or neglected.

Family assessment and services is an approach which provides for a prompt assessment of a child and their family when the child has been reported to the CD as a victim of abuse or neglect by a person responsible for that child's care, custody or control. Family assessments include the provision of community-based services to reduce the risk of abuse and neglect and to support the family. This approach takes the place of the traditional investigation.

Operational:

At the end of each child abuse/neglect investigation/assessment, the Children's Service Worker reaches a conclusion. The following are operational definitions for investigative conclusions:

Substantiated: A finding that abuse/neglect has occurred or is occurring as a result of the observation of visible signs, physical and/or credible verbal evidence provided to the Children's Service Worker by the child, perpetrator or witnesses in accordance with the definitions of abuse/neglect. This includes cases which are adjudicated by the courts and those with preponderance of evidence.

Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated: A finding that insufficient visible signs, physical and/or credible evidence exist, but where the Children's Service Worker determines that indicators are present which, if unresolved, could potentially contribute to child abuse/neglect.

Unsubstantiated: A finding that insufficient physical or credible verbal evidence exists and where few or no indicators are identified and the Children's Service worker has not identified a specific threat exists for the child.

The following are definitions for Family Assessment conclusions:

Services Needed: The family has an identified need for services. CD will continue to work with the family after the assessment is completed and will continue providing services for some period of time.

Services Needed-Linked Initial 30 Days: The family has an identified need for community services. The identified services were linked with the family during the 30-day family assessment process. CD will not continue working with the family when the family assessment process is completed. The Children's Service Worker should contact referral providers to verify that the family is receiving services.

Introduction

Services Needed-Family Declined: The Children's Service Worker has identified a service that may be beneficial to the family; however, the family declined to receive the services. The child's safety has been assessed, and there is no evidence that warrants court intervention.

Services Not Needed: The family does not have an identified need for additional services. Families may be receiving services prior to the date of the hotline. Services Not Needed is used only when the family does not have additional service needs.

Non-cooperative/Child Safe: Enough information has been obtained to ensure that the child is safe; however, the family refuses to participate in the family assessment process and the allegations do not warrant a co-investigation with law enforcement or court involvement.

For a small number of reports, the above definitions are not applicable. Other conclusions for either investigations or assessments include **Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, Home Schooling, and School Investigation by School Board (Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, Unresolved)**.

Methodological:

The following are technical definitions used in the computations of the statistics throughout this report:

Reported incident: An allegation of child abuse/neglect made to the hotline which meets the legal definitions for abuse/neglect and for care, custody, and control. A reported incident may involve more than one child and more than one alleged perpetrator. The terms "report," "incident" and "reported incident" are used interchangeably throughout this report.

Incident conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion for each child and for each alleged perpetrator involved in an incident. If at least one child is substantiated, the incident is considered to be substantiated.

Incident category of abuse/neglect: Up to fifty findings of abuse/neglect can be assigned to each substantiated child. Each incident may have up to six categories of abuse/neglect because each child may be substantiated for different types of abuse/neglect. For incidents involving more than one child, each category of abuse/neglect is counted once if at least one child was substantiated for that category.

Reported child: A child named in a reported incident of child abuse/neglect. A child may be reported more than one time during the year, and unless otherwise indicated, counts of children are duplicated in this report.

Child conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion for each child involved in an incident. For example, some children in the report may be substantiated while others may be unsubstantiated.

Child category of abuse/neglect: Each substantiated child may be assigned up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Alleged perpetrator: A person named as the perpetrator in a reported incident of child abuse/neglect. An alleged perpetrator may be involved in more than one incident during the year. Unless otherwise indicated, counts of alleged perpetrators are duplicated in this report.

Alleged perpetrator conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion to each alleged perpetrator involved in an incident. One perpetrator in a report may be substantiated while another may be unsubstantiated.

Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments

Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations/Family Assessments

Children's Service Workers investigate/assess each report to determine if abuse/neglect is occurring or has occurred and evaluate the family's need for services. Thorough investigations/assessments require hours of interviews and information collection, and usually include the major steps listed below:

- Contacting the reporter, if known, for additional information before proceeding with the investigation;
- Contacting appropriate law enforcement personnel or multidisciplinary team members to request a co-investigation if the alleged report, if true, would constitute a violation of the law;
- Making a determination regarding the safety of the children within 24 hours, or immediately, if deemed as an emergency;
- Contacting the School District Liaison when the victim in the child abuse/neglect report is school-aged;
- Determining the safety of all other children in the household within 72 hours;
- Consulting with the Chief Investigator within 72 hours;
- Contacting collateral persons;
- Interviewing witnesses;
- Interviewing the non-offending parent;
- Interviewing the alleged perpetrator(s);
- Evaluating and documenting all information collected and observed;
- Determining whether abuse/neglect has occurred or is occurring;
- Evaluating the family's need for services and making appropriate referrals for needed services; and
- Notifying the child's parent(s), alleged perpetrator, School District Liaison and if applicable, the Mandated reporter of the report conclusion and related findings.

CD policy requires completion of investigations/assessments within 30 days. When the Children's Service Worker determines that there is reasonable cause to believe that abuse/neglect has occurred, or is occurring, the family is advised of available services. The family also is offered services when the investigative conclusion is Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated or when a Family Assessment indicates the need for services.

Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments


Reported Incidents by Conclusion, 2006 - 2010

	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated-PSI		Unsubstantiated		Family Assessment		Other		Total
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	5,283	10.3%	2,428	4.7%	13,389	26.1%	26,313	51.2%	3,970	7.7%	51,383
2007	4,803	9.1%	3,083	5.8%	14,964	28.2%	26,886	50.7%	3,243	6.1%	52,979
2008	4,131	8.2%	2,961	5.9%	14,497	28.7%	26,144	51.7%	2,832	5.6%	50,565
2009	4,129	8.0%	3,246	6.3%	16,901	32.6%	25,095	48.4%	2,525	4.9%	51,896
2010	4,291	7.5%	4,048	7.1%	18,652	32.8%	26,709	46.9%	3,197	5.6%	56,897

Reported Children by Conclusion, 2006 - 2010

	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated-PSI		Unsubstantiated		Family Assessment		Other		Total
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	7,222	9.6%	3,443	4.6%	19,545	25.9%	39,154	51.9%	6,110	8.1%	75,474
2007	6,576	8.5%	4,457	5.8%	21,853	28.2%	39,877	51.5%	4,718	6.1%	77,481
2008	6,732	8.9%	4,478	5.9%	21,413	28.3%	38,896	51.3%	4,262	5.6%	75,781
2009	5,654	7.5%	4,914	6.5%	24,165	32.0%	37,052	49.0%	3,759	5.0%	75,544
2010	6,055	7.3%	6,091	7.3%	27,514	32.9%	39,386	47.2%	4,457	5.3%	83,503

Reported Incidents and Children by Conclusion, 2006 - 2010



Conclusions are as follows: Substantiated - Court Adjudicated and Preponderance of Evidence; Unsub.-PSI - Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated; Unsub. - Unsubstantiated; FA - Family Assessment: Services Needed, Services Not Needed, Non-cooperative/Child Safe, Services Needed-Linked Initial 30 Days, and Services Needed-Family Declined; Other - Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, and Home Schooling

Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments


Reported Incidents by FA Conclusion, 2006 - 2010

	Services Needed		Services Not Needed		Non-Cooperative		Services Needed - Linked Initial 30 Days		Services Needed - Declined		Total
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	3,249	12.1%	17,800	66.2%	757	2.8%	3,932	14.6%	575	2.1%	26,886
2007	3,274	12.5%	18,790	71.9%	686	2.6%	3,529	13.5%	607	2.3%	26,144
2008	3,306	13.2%	18,546	73.9%	613	2.4%	3,095	12.3%	584	2.3%	25,095
2009	3,148	12.5%	18,066	72.0%	440	1.8%	2,870	11.4%	571	2.3%	25,095
2010	3,803	14.2%	18,964	71.0%	515	1.9%	2,726	10.2%	701	2.6%	26,709

Reported Children by FA Conclusion, 2006 - 2010


	Services Needed		Services Not Needed		Non-Cooperative		Services Needed - Linked Initial 30 Days		Services Needed - Declined		Total
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	5,098	13.0%	26,456	67.6%	1,070	2.7%	5,619	14.4%	911	2.3%	39,154
2007	5,040	12.6%	27,834	69.8%	999	2.5%	5,044	12.6%	960	2.4%	39,877
2008	5,320	13.7%	27,364	70.4%	869	2.2%	4,447	11.4%	896	2.3%	38,896
2009	4,852	13.1%	26,623	71.9%	621	1.7%	4,087	11.0%	869	2.3%	37,052
2010	5,916	15.0%	27,879	70.8%	677	1.7%	3,861	9.8%	1,053	2.7%	39,386

Reported Incidents and Children by FA Conclusion, 2006 - 2010




Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments

2010 Child Abuse/Neglect Reports and Children by Month of Report



During 2010, the month with the most reports was September with 5,530 reports (8,199) children). June and February had the fewest number of reports and children respectively, 4,138 and 5,828.

2010 Child Abuse/Neglect Children by Number of Incidents Reported in the Same Year



In 2010, a duplicated number of 83,503 children were reported. Unduplicated this number reduces to 67,002 children. Of those children, 54,912 had one report during the year. The remaining 12,090 children had more than one report during 2010.


Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments

Reporters

Reports of child abuse/neglect can be made by persons who are either "mandated" or "permissive" reporters. Mandated reporters are required by state statute to report abuse/neglect when they have reasonable cause to suspect a child has been or is being abused. Mandated reporters include health and education professionals, social workers and foster parents, among others. Permissive reporters are those people not required to report suspected abuse/neglect, such as relatives or neighbors. Effective August 28, 2003, Christian Science practitioners were added to the definition of ministers with regard to the individuals required to report incidents of suspected child abuse/neglect. Minister is defined as "any person while practicing as a minister of the gospel, clergy person, priest, rabbi, Christian Science practitioner, or other person serving in a similar capacity for any religious organization who is responsible for or who has supervisory authority over one who is responsible for the care, custody, and control of a child or has access to a child", section 352.400, RSMo.

Fifty-eight percent (58%) of the reports made in 2010 were made by mandated reporters and thirty-nine percent (39%) were made by permissive reporters.

Child Abuse/Neglect Reporters by Type of Reporter, 2010



RSMo 210.115. Reports of abuse or neglect, who shall make. – When any physician, medical examiner, coroner, dentist, chiropractor, optometrist, podiatrist, resident, intern, nurse, hospital or clinic personnel that are engaged in the examination, care, treatment, or research of persons, and any other health practitioner, psychologist, mental health professional, social worker, day care center worker or other child care worker, juvenile officer, probation or parole officer, jail or detention center personnel, teacher, principal or other school official, minister as provided by section 352.400, RSMo, peace officer or law enforcement official, or other person with responsibility for the care of children, has reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been or may be subjected to abuse or neglect or observes a child being subjected to conditions or circumstances which would reasonably result in abuse or neglect, that person shall immediately report or cause a report to be made to the division in accordance with the provisions of sections 210.109 to 210.183.

Reports and Investigations/Family Assessments

The most common mandated reporter occupation was school official, followed by social worker and law enforcement officers.

Reporters of Child Abuse/Neglect by Occupation, 2010


	Number	Percent
Permissive	23,862	38.6%
Principal or other school official	8,439	13.6%
Social worker	7,797	12.6%
Peace officer or law enforcement official	7,462	12.1%
Nurse	3,023	4.9%
Mental health professional	2,636	4.3%
Unknown	2,017	3.3%
Other person with responsibility for care of children	1,686	2.7%
Teacher	1,301	2.1%
Physician	849	1.4%
Juvenile officer	691	1.1%
Day care center or other child care worker	616	1.0%
Psychologist	370	0.6%
Other health practitioner	238	0.4%
Other hospital/clinic personnel	237	0.4%
Foster parents	177	0.3%
Minister	119	0.2%
Probation or parole officer	117	0.2%
Intern	108	0.2%
Medical examiner	60	0.1%
Coroner	33	0.1%
Jail or detention personnel	17	0.0%
Dentist/Dental hygienist	15	0.0%
Resident	5	0.0%
Chiropractor	1	0.0%
Optometrist	1	0.0%

Note: Reporters exceed reports because more than one person may report an incident.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

During the year, 6,055 children were involved in incidents that were substantiated for abuse or neglect. When a Children's Service Worker determines there is reasonable cause that abuse/neglect has occurred, each child can be assigned up to six categories of abuse/neglect. As the graph below indicates, the most prevalent category is neglect followed by physical abuse and sexual abuse.

Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect, 2010



Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect, 2010

	Number	Percent
Neglect	3,358	55.5%
Physical Abuse	1,683	27.8%
Sexual Abuse	1,485	24.5%
Emotional Maltreatment	234	3.9%
Medical Neglect	202	3.3%
Educational Neglect	88	1.5%

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a child may be substantiated for up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Information gathered during an investigation of child abuse/neglect can help identify factors which place a child at risk for abuse/neglect. In addition to establishing an investigative conclusion for each child, Children's Service Workers may designate up to four **Observed Family Characteristics** for each reported incident. For families where abuse/neglect is found, these characteristics may indicate which services could help prevent the reoccurrence of abuse/neglect. It is important to note that these are not absolute counts. For instance, a problem with alcohol or other drugs may be difficult to detect during the course of an investigation.

The family characteristics reported in 2010 are similar to those reported during the last five years. Forty-nine percent (49%) of the families involved in substantiated incidents had adequate living conditions. Forty-two percent (42%) of the substantiated incidents involved families that had an extended family support system. The top twenty family characteristics are listed below.

Characteristics of Families Involved in Substantiated Incidents, 2010

	Number	Percent
Adequate living conditions	2,112	49.2%
Extended family support system	1,835	42.8%
Amenable to services	1,562	36.4%
Lack of parenting skills	1,220	28.4%
Single parent household	1,212	28.2%
Community/cultural support	785	18.3%
Other drug-related problem(s)	749	17.5%
Appropriate parenting skills	538	12.5%
Domestic violence	437	10.2%
Heavy continuous child care responsibility	403	9.4%
Recent/frequent relocation	403	9.4%
Insufficient/misuse of income	375	8.7%
Dangerous living conditions	372	8.7%
Recent loss/addition to household members	338	7.9%
Appropriate child development knowledge	322	7.5%
Loss of employment	304	7.1%
Alcohol-related problem(s)	262	6.1%
New baby in home/pregnancy	239	5.6%
Crowded living conditions	237	5.5%
Marital problems	219	5.1%

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated incidents. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to four family characteristics may be reported for each incident.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Child Demographics

Child Abuse/Neglect Children, 2010


Age	Substantiated		Neglect		Physical Abuse		Sexual Abuse	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
< 1	452	7.5%	242	8.5%	187	11.1%	2	0.2%
1	411	6.8%	293	10.3%	98	5.8%	4	0.3%
2	441	7.3%	328	11.6%	85	5.1%	16	1.3%
3	469	7.7%	306	10.8%	99	5.9%	47	3.7%
4	407	6.7%	231	8.1%	98	5.8%	58	4.6%
5	395	6.5%	223	7.9%	102	6.1%	58	4.6%
6	368	6.1%	185	6.5%	103	6.1%	65	5.2%
7	345	5.7%	166	5.8%	88	5.2%	69	5.5%
8	335	5.5%	145	5.1%	100	6.0%	76	6.1%
9	306	5.1%	140	4.9%	70	4.2%	81	6.5%
10	278	4.6%	102	3.6%	82	4.9%	77	6.1%
11	231	3.8%	78	2.7%	63	3.8%	82	6.5%
12	292	4.8%	79	2.8%	96	5.7%	97	7.7%
13	271	4.5%	72	2.5%	80	4.8%	111	8.9%
14	307	5.1%	64	2.3%	101	6.0%	124	9.9%
15	348	5.7%	95	3.3%	101	6.0%	130	10.4%
16	265	4.4%	56	2.0%	83	4.9%	106	8.5%
17	130	2.1%	32	1.1%	42	2.5%	49	3.9%
Unknown	4	0.1%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
Total	6,055	100.0%	2,839	100.0%	1,678	100.0%	1,254	100.0%
Sex								
Male	2,703	44.6%	1,447	51.0%	900	53.6%	215	17.1%
Female	3,351	55.3%	1,392	49.0%	778	46.4%	1,038	82.8%
Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Total	6,055	100.0%	2,839	100.0%	1,678	100.0%	1,254	100.0%
Race								
White	4,794	79.2%	2,303	81.1%	1,277	76.1%	1,000	79.7%
Black	1,079	17.8%	450	15.9%	354	21.1%	220	17.5%
Asian	16	0.3%	6	0.2%	5	0.3%	3	0.2%
Native American	9	0.1%	4	0.1%	4	0.2%	1	0.1%
Other	72	1.2%	42	1.5%	15	0.9%	12	1.0%
Unknown	85	1.4%	34	1.2%	23	1.4%	18	1.4%
Total	6,055	100.0%	2,839	100.0%	1,678	100.0%	1,254	100.0%

In 2010, forty-five percent (45%) of the substantiated children were male, and fifty-five percent (55%) were female. Unlike neglected and physically abused children, where a majority were male, the majority of sexually abused children were female.

Approximately four-fifths of the substantiated children were white and one-fifth were black. The majority of neglected, physically abused, and sexually abused victims were white followed by black victims.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Child Abuse/Neglect Children by Month of Report, 2010



Most substantiated incidents were reported in June with 550 children. The lowest number of substantiated children (367) were reported in December. The highest incidence of neglect, physical abuse, and sexual abuse occurred in June (316), April (175), and April (125) for children, respectively. The lowest occurrences of neglect, physical abuse, and sexual abuse were in December, June and December with 152, 111, and 79, respectively.

In addition to assigning categories of abuse or neglect, a Children's Service Worker may also describe up to fifty specific findings of abuse. For neglected children the most frequent worker descriptions are those typically associated with neglect, such as a lack of supervision and unsanitary living conditions. The ten most frequently reported worker findings for neglected children are listed below.

Worker Findings for Neglected Children, 2010

	Number	Percent
Lack of Supervision	1,708	50.9%
Unsanitary Living Conditions	686	20.4%
Unsafe/Inadequate Shelter	663	19.7%
Failure to Protect	338	10.1%
Lack of Food	138	4.1%
Untreated Illness/Injury	117	3.5%
Abandonment	86	2.6%
Parents Indifferent to Educational Needs	82	2.4%
Blaming, Verbal Abuse, Threatening	79	2.4%
Locking in or out, expelling from home	68	2.0%

Percent is the percentage of total neglected children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a worker may list up to fifty specific findings for each child.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

For physically abused children, the most frequently reported worker findings were bruises, welts or red marks and other physical abuse or injury. Lack of supervision is also cited quite frequently, suggesting that neglect may occur in some abusive situations. The ten most often reported worker findings for physically abused children are listed below.

Worker Findings for Physically Abused Children, 2010

	Number	Percent
Bruises, Welts, Red Marks	836	49.7%
Other Physical Abuse or Injury	355	21.1%
Methamphetamine Lab Exposure	276	16.4%
Unsafe/Inadequate Shelter	232	13.8%
Abrasions, Lacerations	189	11.2%
Lack of Supervision	134	8.0%
Inappropriately Giving Drugs	128	7.6%
Other	124	7.4%
Fractures (Other than Skull)	83	4.9%
Blaming, Verbal Abuse, Threatening	83	4.9%

Percent is the percentage of all physically abused children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a worker may list up to fifty specific findings for each child.

For sexually abused children, the most frequently reported worker finding was fondling or touching followed by other sexual abuse. The ten most often reported worker findings for sexually abused children are listed below.

Worker Findings for Sexually Abused Children, 2010

	Number	Percent
Fondling/touching	835	56.2%
Other sexual abuse	347	23.4%
Oral sex, sodomy	340	22.9%
Intercourse	286	19.3%
Digital penetration	215	14.5%
Pornography	48	3.2%
Sexually Transmitted Disease	18	1.2%
Genital/Anal bleeding	7	0.5%
Other	6	0.4%
Prostitution	0	0.0%

Percent is the percentage of all sexually abused children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a worker may list up to fifty specific findings for each child.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities


The number of substantiated fatalities in 2010 decreased compared to 2009. Twenty-nine children died as a result of child abuse/neglect in 2010, compared to thirty-three in 2009.

These figures only represent children whose deaths are brought to the attention of CD. Recent media attention, both on a state and national level, has focused on children whose deaths were never brought to the attention of the proper authorities despite the suspicious nature of their deaths. When fatal child abuse goes undetected, other children in the home and community remain at risk.

Effective August 28, 2000, if the medical examiner or coroner determines that the child died of natural causes while under medical care for an established natural disease, the coroner, medical examiner, or physician shall notify the division of the child's death. In all other cases, the medical examiner or coroner shall accept the report for investigation, shall immediately notify the division of the child's death as required under section 58.452, RSMo, and shall report the findings to the child fatality review panel established pursuant to section 210.192, RSMo.


The number of fatalities reported during any given year may change as a result of pending investigations, changes in conclusions, and deaths not reported in a timely manner.

Substantiated Fatalities, 2006 - 2010



Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Substantiated Fatalities by Category of Abuse/Neglect, 2010



A majority, ninety-seven percent (97%), of the CA/N fatalities were caused, at least in part, by physical abuse. Neglect was present in forty-eight percent (48%) of the CA/N fatalities. Medical Neglect also contributed to some child fatalities.

Substantiated Fatalities by Category of Abuse/Neglect, 2010

	Number	Percent
Physical Abuse	28	96.6%
Neglect	14	48.3%
Medical Neglect	4	13.8%
Emotional Maltreatment	3	10.3%
Educational Neglect	1	3.4%

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated fatalities. Percent total is greater than 100 because a child may be substantiated for up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect

Child Demographics

Substantiated Fatalities, 2010


Age	Number	Percent
< 1	10	34.5%
1	5	17.2%
2	3	10.3%
3	2	6.9%
4	2	6.9%
5	1	3.4%
6	1	3.4%
7	0	0.0%
8	1	3.4%
9	0	0.0%
10	1	3.4%
11	0	0.0%
12	0	0.0%
13	2	6.9%
14	1	3.4%
15	0	0.0%
16	0	0.0%
17	0	0.0%
Total	29	100.0%

Sex	Number	Percent
Male	14	48.3%
Female	15	51.7%
Total	29	100.0%

Race	Number	Percent
White	21	72.4%
Black	6	20.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Multi-Racial	0	0.0%
Unknown	2	6.9%
Total	29	100.0%

Of the 29 children involved in substantiated fatalities in 2010, the majority were under four years of age. Forty-eight percent (48%) of the children were male and fifty-two percent (52%) were female. Seventy-two percent (72%) of the children were white and twenty-one percent (21%) were black.

Substantiated Fatalities by Month of Death, 2010



The highest incidence of child deaths (4) occurred in February and June. There was at least one fatality in every month of the year except for April.

Perpetrator Information

Perpetrator Demographics

Substantiated Perpetrators, 2010

Age	Number	Percent	Race	Number	Percent	Sex	Number	Percent
<20	382	7.1%	White	4008	75.0%	Female	2345	43.9%
20-29	1755	32.8%	Black	874	16.4%	Male	2893	54.1%
30-39	1661	31.1%	Hispanic	151	2.8%	Unknown	106	2.0%
40-49	867	16.2%	Native American	9	0.2%	Total	5,344	100.0%
50-59	315	5.9%	Asian	13	0.2%			
60-69	104	1.9%	Other	5	0.1%			
70+	31	0.6%	Unknown	284	5.3%			
Unknown	229	4.3%	Total	5,344	100.0%			
Total	5,344	100.0%						

The majority sixty-four (64%) of all perpetrators were between 20 and 39 years of age. Fifty-four percent (54%) were male and forty-one (41%) were female. The race of substantiated perpetrators is similar to that of substantiated children. Seventy-five percent (75%) were white and sixteen percent (16%) were black.

The most common type of alleged perpetrator was the natural parent of the child.

Alleged Perpetrators and Substantiated Perpetrators by Relationship to Child, 2010

	Alleged Perpetrators	Substantiated Perpetrators	Percent Found Substantiated
Natural parent	75,395	4,893	6.5%
Parent's paramour	8,390	675	8.0%
Stepparent	7,403	559	7.6%
Unknown	7,007	299	4.3%
Grandparent	4,834	379	7.8%
Other	3,873	521	13.5%
Adoptive parent	1,578	93	5.9%
Sibling	1,337	92	6.9%
School personnel	1,124	39	3.5%
Child care provider	974	27	2.8%
Institutional staff	749	37	4.9%
Foster parent	659	32	4.9%
Other relative	291	41	14.1%
Self	246	12	4.9%
Spouse/paramour	174	24	13.8%
	114,034	7,723	6.8%

Note: A perpetrator may be involved in more than one incident during the report year.

Perpetrator Information

Children's Service workers may indicate up to four **Observed Perpetrator Characteristics** for each substantiated perpetrator of child abuse/neglect. These characteristics are used to assist in determining which services may be beneficial to a family. For instance, if perpetrators have unrealistic expectations of children, providing information on child development can help teach more appropriate disciplinary techniques.

In 2010, the most prevalent perpetrator characteristic was having a history of criminal activity, which was the case for approximately twenty-six percent (26%) of the substantiated perpetrators. Children's Service workers described twenty-five percent (25%) of the perpetrators as having an adequate support system.

Characteristics of Substantiated Perpetrators, 2010

	Number	Percent
History of criminal activity	1,410	26.4%
Adequate support system	1,323	24.8%
Unemployed	1,132	21.2%
Amenable to services	1,092	20.4%
Other drug-related problem(s)	1,038	19.4%
Mental/emotional disturbance	842	15.8%
No apparent mental/emotional disturbance	816	15.3%
Immaturity	726	13.6%
Unrealistic expectations of child	526	9.8%
Alcohol-related problem(s)	471	8.8%
Loss of control during discipline	381	7.1%
High school education or higher	362	6.8%
Low self-esteem	332	6.2%
Parental history of abuse/neglect as a child	324	6.1%
Pattern of violent behavior	297	5.6%
Undetermined	237	4.4%
Less than high school education	223	4.2%
No one to call on in time of crisis	180	3.4%
Other	107	2.0%
Institutional report/unknown perpetrator	99	1.9%
Illness	67	1.3%
Incapacity due to physical handicap	30	0.6%
Mental retardation	23	0.4%

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated perpetrators. Percent total is greater than 100 because a worker may list up to four characteristics for each perpetrator.

Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations

Missouri's Child Protection Services system culminated from a collaborative effort between the Children's Division (CD), elective officials, community organizations and private citizens. Senate Bill 595 (SB 595) was signed into law in 1994 and expanded statewide in 1998. The primary focus of SB 595 is to protect children from abuse/neglect in the least disruptive and intrusive way, that recognizes the value of the family. In addition, the protection is provided in the most efficient and effective manner possible within the framework of state, community, and family resources.

The definition of child abuse/neglect and the criteria for reporting (including mandated reporting) remain the same. A continuing process of the Child Protective Service system has been how the division responds to reports of child abuse or neglect. When a local county office receives a report, the report is screened to determine the appropriate intervention method. If the report indicates behaviors that constitute criminal violation, the response to the report is an evidentiary, fact-finding Investigation. It is CD policy to notify the appropriate local law enforcement agency to assist in the Investigation.

Reports of child abuse or neglect that do not fall into the category of criminal violation may be responded to by conducting a Family Assessment. The main purpose of a Family Assessment is to determine the child's safety and the family's needs for services. Taking a non-punitive assessment approach has created an environment that assists the family and the Children's Service worker in developing a rapport and building on existing strengths to create a mutually agreed upon plan. The attention is centered on long term effects of the intervention (success = independence) versus an individual incident (failure = dependence).

In 1994, the Missouri General Assembly mandated a two-year independent evaluation of the Family Assessment approach to addressing child abuse/neglect (CA/N). The evaluation completed in June 1997 concluded that the safety of children was improved, CA/N reports decreased, families received services more quickly, families were more cooperative, and community resource utilization increased. Additionally, the community joined together in coordinating available resources to assist children and families in need. These communities have continued to meet today's challenges by working to develop resources to better address needs.

A five year follow up independent evaluation of the Family Assessment response conducted by the Institute of Applied Research was published in 2004. Results are available on their website at www.iarstl.org.


Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations

An investigative delivery approach is designed to focus the efforts of CD and law enforcement on reports that indicate a crime had been committed. The remaining families are served through Family Assessments, in order to address any service needs. Families who are investigated and those who receive the Family Assessment response both receive prompt and effective delivery of services in order to address their needs.

Track assignments determine which approach, assessment or investigation, the Children's Service Worker will take in response to a child abuse/neglect report. Reports that initially receive a track assignment of assessment may not, however, be concluded assessment (i.e., services needed, services not needed, or non-cooperative/child safe). Assessment tracks not concluded as such may have conclusions of unable to locate, located out of state, or home schooling. Therefore, the statistics on pages 21, 22, and 24 are not based on 26,709, the number of reports concluded assessment, but on the number of reports assigned to the Family Assessment approach. The number of reports during 2010 assigned to the Family Assessment approach equaled 27,557.

The Family Assessment approach began in selected counties in 1995. By June 1999, all counties had implemented the Family Assessment approach.


Reports by Type of Response During 2010



* Includes incidents with the conclusion of Inappropriate Report and incidents in which the conclusions are pending and the final response assignment could not be determined.

Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations


Child Protection System: Family Assessments by Conclusion, 2010



Of the 27,557 reports assigned to the Family Assessment approach, fourteen percent (14%) of the families were in need of services, sixty-nine percent (69%) did not need services, and only two percent (2%) were non-cooperative. Ten percent (10%) of the families were in need of services and linked in the initial 30 days. Three percent (3%) were in need of services but declined receiving any service.

Of the 27,921 reports assigned to the investigative track, fifteen percent (15%) were substantiated.

Child Protection System: Investigations by Conclusion, 2010



* Other includes Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, and Home Schooling (based on response assignment).

Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations

Child Demographics

Family Assessment Children, 2010

Age	Family Assessment		Services Needed		Services Needed - Linked Initial 30 Ds		Services Needed - Family Declined	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<1	1,844	4.7%	342	5.8%	162	4.2%	45	4.3%
1	2,209	5.6%	396	6.7%	178	4.6%	51	4.8%
2	2,408	6.1%	366	6.2%	196	5.1%	68	6.5%
3	2,519	6.4%	397	6.7%	205	5.3%	55	5.2%
4	2,320	5.9%	361	6.1%	159	4.1%	59	5.6%
5	2,563	6.5%	335	5.7%	230	6.0%	58	5.5%
6	2,700	6.9%	405	6.8%	206	5.3%	81	7.7%
7	2,661	6.8%	386	6.5%	222	5.7%	75	7.1%
8	2,494	6.3%	350	5.9%	241	6.2%	66	6.3%
9	2,334	5.9%	342	5.8%	206	5.3%	64	6.1%
10	2,181	5.5%	326	5.5%	217	5.6%	54	5.1%
11	2,158	5.5%	316	5.3%	223	5.8%	45	4.3%
12	2,076	5.3%	303	5.1%	253	6.6%	67	6.4%
13	2,091	5.3%	323	5.5%	262	6.8%	50	4.7%
14	2,060	5.2%	309	5.2%	283	7.3%	63	6.0%
15	1,967	5.0%	313	5.3%	270	7.0%	60	5.7%
16	1,809	4.6%	251	4.2%	247	6.4%	66	6.3%
17	887	2.3%	90	1.5%	94	2.4%	22	2.1%
Unknown	105	0.3%	5	0.1%	7	0.2%	4	0.4%
TOTAL	39,386	100.0%	5,916	100.0%	3,861	100.0%	1,053	100.0%
Sex								
Male	20,302	51.5%	2,992	50.6%	2,026	52.5%	558	53.0%
Female	19,076	48.4%	2,923	49.4%	1,835	47.5%	495	47.0%
Unknown	8	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	39,386	100.0%	5,916	100.0%	3,861	100.0%	1,053	100.0%
Race								
White	31,500	80.0%	4,783	80.8%	3,132	81.1%	902	85.7%
Black	6,650	16.9%	944	16.0%	600	15.5%	117	11.1%
Native American	98	0.2%	8	0.1%	9	0.2%	4	0.4%
Asian	131	0.3%	12	0.2%	11	0.3%	2	0.2%
Other	446	1.1%	75	1.3%	40	1.0%	15	1.4%
Unknown	561	1.4%	94	1.6%	69	1.8%	13	1.2%
Total	39,386	100.0%	5,916	100.0%	3,861	100.0%	1,053	100.0%

During the year 39,386 children were involved in reports screened as Family Assessment. Fifty-two percent (52%) of the family assessment children were male and forty-eight percent (48%) were female. Eighty percent (80%) of the children were white and eighteen percent (17%) were black.

Of the Family Assessment children 5,916 children had a conclusion of services needed, 3,861 a conclusion of services needed-linked in the initial 30 days, and 1,053 a conclusion of services needed but the family declined services. The majority of the children that needed services were white followed by black children. Approximately half of the children that needed services were male and half were female.

Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations

The goals of the Family Assessment approach are to assure child safety, address the strengths of the family and to identify and treat the family's needs. Services and supports for families are designed to build on the strengths and resources of families and communities. In keeping with this philosophy, the list of **Observed Family Characteristics** was expanded to include more strength-based characteristics. Some of the new characteristics are extended family support systems, problem-solving skills and good physical/mental health.

In sixty-eight percent (68%) of the assessments, the families had adequate living conditions. Thirty-nine percent (39%) of the assessments involved families that had an extended family support system. Other frequently cited characteristics include amenable to services, single parent household, appropriate parenting skills, and community/cultural support. The top 25 characteristics are listed below.

Child Protection System: Family Assessment Approach Characteristics of Families Involved in Family Assessments During 2010

	Number	Percent
Adequate living conditions	18,614	67.5%
Extended family support system	10,700	38.8%
Amenable to services	9,765	35.4%
Single parent household	7,428	27.0%
Appropriate parenting skills	6,440	23.4%
Community/cultural support	6,360	23.1%
Appropriate child development knowledge	3,862	14.0%
Lack of parenting skills	1,963	7.1%
Stable family relationships/household	1,878	6.8%
Good physical/mental health	1,847	6.7%
Recent/frequent relocation	1,744	6.3%
Heavy continuous child care responsibility	1,679	6.1%
Problem-solving skills	1,541	5.6%
Crowded living conditions	1,393	5.1%
Recent loss/addition to household members	1,241	4.5%
Insufficient/misuse of income	1,175	4.3%
Loss of employment	1,137	4.1%
New baby in home/pregnancy	979	3.6%
Other drug-related problem(s)	942	3.4%
Domestic violence	791	2.9%
Marital problems	766	2.8%
No history of violence	748	2.7%
Stable marriage	698	2.5%
Alcohol-related problem(s)	548	2.0%
Manages finances well	455	1.7%

Percent is the percentage of total reports assigned to the Family Assessment approach. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to 15 family characteristics may be reported for each Family Assessment.

Child Protection System: Family Assessments/Investigations

The characteristics for families involved in investigations are similar in rank to those of families in the assessment response. In sixty-one percent (61%) of the investigations, the families had adequate living conditions. Amenable to services, extended family support system, single parent household, and appropriate parenting skills were also cited frequently.

Investigations had a slightly lower percentage in families with extended family support systems and families with adequate living conditions, 37% and 62% respectively, as compared to assessment families who had 39% and 68% respectively. The top 25 characteristics are listed below.

**Child Protection System: Family Investigation Approach
Characteristics of Families Involved in Investigations
During 2010**

	Number	Percent
Adequate living conditions	17,376	62.2%
Extended family support system	10,436	37.4%
Amenable to services	9,441	33.8%
Single parent household	6,614	23.7%
Community/cultural support	5,795	20.8%
Appropriate parenting skills	5,202	18.6%
Appropriate child development knowledge	3,088	11.1%
Lack of parenting skills	2,661	9.5%
Heavy continuous child care responsibility	1,769	6.3%
Recent/frequent relocation	1,723	6.2%
Other drug-related problem(s)	1,600	5.7%
Stable family relationships/household	1,595	5.7%
Good physical/mental health	1,543	5.5%
Recent loss/addition to household members	1,430	5.1%
Problem-solving skills	1,300	4.7%
Domestic violence	1,281	4.6%
New baby in home/pregnancy	1,170	4.2%
Crowded living conditions	1,125	4.0%
Loss of employment	1,116	4.0%
Insufficient/misuse of income	1,115	4.0%
Marital problems	915	3.3%
Alcohol-related problem(s)	651	2.3%
No history of violence	626	2.2%
Stable marriage	565	2.0%
Dangerous living conditions	481	1.7%

Percent is the percentage of total reports assigned to the investigative approach. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to 15 family characteristics may be reported for each investigation.

Prevention/Treatment Services


CD has several programs designed to provide treatment and to help prevent future occurrence of child abuse and neglect.

Family-Centered Services (FCS): FCS are provided to families and children in their own homes when a child abuse/neglect investigation has been concluded Substantiated, Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated or Family Assessment-Services Needed. These services are also provided to families who voluntarily seek help and to families whose children are placed out of the home. Services are provided following a family-centered assessment to identify risk issues, family strengths and service needs. A family treatment plan is developed with the family to help them change the conditions which brought them to the attention of CD. Services are designed to help the family direct their own affairs and provide suitable care for the children. The primary purpose of FCS is to improve and maintain the family unit or to reunify the family when alternative care services are provided. Services include a range of treatment and support services. The family treatment plan determines whether services are provided by CD staff and/or purchased or provided by community agencies. Purchased services include day care, family and/or individual counseling, home-based family-centered services, evaluation and diagnosis, homemaker services and respite care, among others.

- During 2010, there were 9,805 FCS cases opened in Missouri.
- In 2010, fifty-eight percent (58%) of all FCS case openings were the result of a Family Assessment.

Prevention/Treatment Services

**Family-Centered Services Case Openings by Reason for Opening,
2006 - 2010**



* Other includes Family Requests Preventive Services, Court Order and Newborn Crisis Assessment.

Variations in data for 2006 and 2007 were the result of conversion to a new information system.

Intensive In-Home Services (IIS): IIS are intensive in-home services designed to prevent unnecessary out-of-home placement of children. An in-home specialist provides a variety of services to the entire family to address the crisis that would normally necessitate out-of-home care for a child. Services are delivered immediately at the time of crisis and are time-limited, usually four to six weeks. In-home specialists carry small caseloads of two families. This enables them to spend as much time with the family as needed. The emphasis of IIS is on strengthening the entire family by improving its problem-solving capabilities and teaching them necessary life skills. Among other services, families may receive family therapy, individual and marital counseling, parenting education, child development training, household maintenance and nutritional training, job readiness training and referrals to other community resources. Families authorized for IIS may have children who have been abused or neglected, have committed a status offense, have displayed delinquent behavior, or who are seriously emotionally disturbed and are at imminent risk of being removed from the home. This service is voluntary and at least one caretaker must be willing to participate.

Prevention/Treatment Services

Out-of-Home Placement: Out-of-home care is provided in situations where a parent or parents are incapable of providing a child or children with adequate social, emotional and physical care. Out-of-home is defined as care provided in licensed foster or approved relative family homes, in licensed residential facilities, or in licensed foster group homes. The service provides substitute settings for children. Children are placed only after it is determined that they cannot remain at home.

Crisis Nurseries: The first state-funded crisis nurseries began providing services to children and their families in May 1993. There are nine of these facilities. Crisis nurseries are child care facilities which protect children by providing a safe environment at a time when the chances of abuse/neglect in the home are increased. Parents voluntarily request and arrange this service directly with the crisis nursery.

Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board: Children's Service Workers reach a conclusion on each child abuse/neglect investigation and notify the parents and alleged perpetrator (if different than the parents) by letter of the conclusion. In some investigations where the conclusion is substantiated, the alleged perpetrator may disagree with the finding. The alleged perpetrator may appeal to the Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board for review of the investigation by contacting the local CD office within 60 days of the notification of the finding. If there are pending criminal charges, the request may be made 60 days from the court's final disposition or dismissal of charges. If convicted, there is no appeal.

The Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board consists of five boards of nine private citizens appointed by the Governor. These boards each meet monthly to review child abuse/neglect appeals. They listen to testimony from CD staff, the alleged perpetrator, and representatives of the child and then make a decision to uphold or reverse the original CD decision. During 2010, the review board heard 405 cases. The percentage of cases upheld by the Board was 65%.

Following the Child Abuse and Neglect Review Board's disposition, the alleged perpetrator will have 30 days to request a judicial review.

Background Screening and Investigation Unit: The Background Screening and Investigation Unit conducts background checks through the child abuse/neglect systems in CD. These checks are run on prospective foster and adoptive parents for CD and for current or prospective employees in the child care industry (day care, residential care providers, schools, etc.). The purpose of the unit is to provide information on potential employees so that a prospective employer can assess if the person is appropriate to care for children. During 2010, the unit processed 108,484 background checks.

Prevention/Treatment Services

Mandated Reporter Referrals: The state child abuse/neglect law mandates certain professions (mandated reporters) to make a report to the Missouri Children's Division when they have reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been or may be subjected to abuse or neglect. However, many times the mandated reporter may not suspect abuse or neglect but has some other concern about a family.

From July 1996 to June 30, 2010, the division accepted referrals of concern from mandated reporters which did not rise to the level of a Child abuse or neglect report. The Division referred to these calls as Mandated Reporter Referrals. During this period, the referral was sent to a county office for possible responses including:

- The mandated reporter was made aware of appropriate community resources in order to provide a referral for the family.
- The reporter or CD staff contacted the family by telephone to assist the family in arranging the referral as necessary.
- CD staff made a visit the family to obtain additional information and assess the needs of the family.
- CD staff determine that, based upon additional information, a child abuse/neglect report was necessary.

During 2010, there were 9,980 Mandated Reporter Referrals.

As of June 30, 2010, the process for how the Division would respond to Mandate Reporter referrals changed. Rather than referring calls that do not meet the statutory mandate of abuse and neglect to the field, hotline staff document the concerns and, based on the topic of the call, provide referral contact information, as available, directly to the caller. In short, callers receive the referral contact information directly from the hotline worker, rather than being contacted with it later by someone in the field. This immediate communication regarding available resources to the caller allows for a quicker referral to the family through collaboration with professional partners. This change also provides assurance that local offices can respond in the most efficient manner possible to reports that meet the statutory definition of Child Abuse and Neglect. Reports received from mandated reporters are referred to field staff if there is an open case on the family.

Prevention/Treatment Services

Newborn Crisis Assessments and Services: CD collaborates with the Department of Health in conjunction with the Department of Mental Health and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education to address the increasing problem of substance abusing pregnant women and drug exposed infants. Missouri law requires the Departments of Health, Mental Health, Elementary and Secondary Education, and Social Services (CD) to provide a non-punitive system of educational and treatment services related to the prenatal consumption of alcohol and other drugs. This inter-departmental effort is known as the Perinatal Substance Abuse Advisory Council. This council meets quarterly to discuss issues related to the needs of the drug-exposed infant, substance abusing pregnant women, assessment process, training, accessing available resources, legislative and policy changes.

In most instances, CD receives a Newborn Crisis Assessment Referral, via the CA/N hotline, from the physician or health care provider, who requests CD to conduct an assessment to determine the caretaker's suitability to care for an infant, or to provide protective services as directed by a physician. Following the completion of the Newborn Crisis Assessment, CD coordinates services with the Department of Health and the Department of Mental Health. Service Coordinators in the Department of Health's Bureau of Special Health Care Needs will provide health and developmental screenings throughout their involvement with the family. The Department of Mental Health provides drug treatment services for the substance abusing parent, as well as the family. In 2010, the Division received 2,996 reports from medical professionals for drug-exposed infants and subsequent assessment for services by CD.

Children reported to the Division for abuse and neglect are sometimes identified during the investigation process as having been exposed prenatally to drugs. In 2010, 247 children reported to the hotline for abuse and neglect were identified as drug-exposed.

Appendices*

*Data after 2009 for Northwest, Northeast, Kansas City and St. Louis Regions will reflect a change from past reports due to reconfiguration of regions based on county location.

Appendix A.

2010 Reported Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTANTIATED		UNSUB.-PSI		UNSUB.		FA		OTHER		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	11	7.8	8	5.7	40	28.4	77	54.6	5	3.5	141
	ATCHISON	5	15.2	2	6.1	10	30.3	14	42.4	2	6.1	33
	BUCHANAN	82	6.7	70	5.7	375	30.7	664	54.4	29	2.4	1,220
	CALDWELL	9	10.6	7	8.2	20	23.5	42	49.4	7	8.2	85
	CARROLL	3	4.4	3	4.4	29	42.6	33	48.5	0	0	68
	CASS	56	6.7	39	4.7	150	17.9	385	45.9	208	24.8	838
	CHARITON	2	2.8	6	8.5	33	46.5	24	33.8	6	8.5	71
	CLAY	65	4.1	64	4.1	668	42.5	743	47.3	32	2	1,572
	CLINTON	25	12	20	9.6	71	34.1	86	41.3	6	2.9	208
	COOPER	21	12.4	17	10.1	34	20.1	87	51.5	10	5.9	169
	DAVISS	8	9.2	7	8	32	36.8	31	35.6	9	10.3	87
	DE KALB	9	12.3	7	9.6	26	35.6	28	38.4	3	4.1	73
	GENTRY	14	18.7	5	6.7	14	18.7	36	48	6	8	75
	GRUNDY	8	6.8	19	16.1	31	26.3	55	46.6	5	4.2	118
	HARRISON	9	10.3	17	19.5	21	24.1	36	41.4	4	4.6	87
	HOLT	0	0	4	8	15	30	29	58	2	4	50
	JOHNSON	32	7.8	31	7.6	165	40.3	176	43	5	1.2	409
	LAFAYETTE	18	6	9	3	112	37.3	152	50.7	9	3	300
	LINN	10	5.7	35	20	50	28.6	78	44.6	2	1.1	175
	LIVINGSTON	17	9.7	15	8.6	57	32.6	81	46.3	5	2.9	175
	MERCER	3	17.6	0	0	7	41.2	7	41.2	0	0	17
	NODAWAY	18	12.2	7	4.7	58	39.2	61	41.2	4	2.7	148
	PETTIS	29	5.4	26	4.8	244	45	234	43.2	9	1.7	542
	PLATTE	33	6.8	39	8	154	31.6	253	51.8	9	1.8	488
PUTNAM	9	18	8	16	4	8	26	52	3	6	50	
RAY	8	3.5	5	2.2	89	38.5	125	54.1	4	1.7	231	
SALINE	33	13.4	13	5.3	74	30.1	121	49.2	5	2	246	
SULLIVAN	6	7.8	12	15.6	31	40.3	27	35.1	1	1.3	77	
WORTH	1	5.9	0	0	7	41.2	8	47.1	1	5.9	17	
REGION TOTAL	544	7	495	6.4	2,621	33.7	3,719	47.9	391	5	7,770	
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	20	8.2	35	14.4	61	25.1	123	50.6	4	1.6	243
	AUDRAIN	20	6.5	15	4.9	99	32	157	50.8	18	5.8	309
	BOONE	57	4.4	56	4.4	471	36.8	643	50.2	54	4.2	1,281
	CALLAWAY	24	4.5	32	6	185	34.6	278	52.1	15	2.8	534
	CLARK	12	16.7	3	4.2	24	33.3	30	41.7	3	4.2	72
	COLE	19	2.8	63	9.4	243	36.4	316	47.3	27	4	668
	FRANKLIN	69	7.7	67	7.5	274	30.8	381	42.8	100	11.2	891
	GASCONADE	10	6.2	15	9.3	69	42.9	65	40.4	2	1.2	161
	HOWARD	4	5.7	4	5.7	21	30	30	42.9	11	15.7	70
	JEFFERSON	172	10.7	191	11.8	291	18	855	53	105	6.5	1,614
	KNOX	1	2.6	9	23.7	5	13.2	21	55.3	2	5.3	38
	LEWIS	3	3.1	18	18.6	15	15.5	48	49.5	13	13.4	97
	LINCOLN	48	9.4	27	5.3	177	34.7	239	46.9	19	3.7	510
	MACON	12	8.7	10	7.2	44	31.9	68	49.3	4	2.9	138
	MARION	49	13.2	25	6.7	110	29.6	177	47.6	11	3	372
	MONROE	5	5.7	10	11.5	17	19.5	49	56.3	6	6.9	87
	MONTGOMERY	16	10.8	17	11.5	32	21.6	79	53.4	4	2.7	148
	OSAGE	6	7	1	1.2	42	48.8	36	41.9	1	1.2	86
	PIKE	21	11	20	10.5	54	28.3	87	45.5	9	4.7	191
	RALLS	5	5.9	7	8.2	19	22.4	46	54.1	8	9.4	85
	RANDOLPH	22	7.8	3	1.1	87	31	138	49.1	31	11	281
	ST CHARLES	165	9.6	45	2.6	601	35	864	50.3	41	2.4	1,716
	SCHUYLER	4	10.3	1	2.6	15	38.5	18	46.2	1	2.6	39
	SCOTLAND	4	12.5	1	3.1	9	28.1	17	53.1	1	3.1	32
	SHELBY	2	2.9	5	7.1	26	37.1	35	50	2	2.9	70
	WARREN	33	10	29	8.8	85	25.8	174	52.7	9	2.7	330
REGION TOTAL	803	8	709	7	3,076	30.6	4,974	49.4	501	5	10,063	
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	16	12.9	0	0	29	23.4	79	63.7	0	0	124
	BUTLER	28	4.4	57	8.9	200	31.2	329	51.2	28	4.4	642
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	45	6.5	3	0.4	215	31.1	409	59.1	20	2.9	692
	CARTER	6	6	13	13	27	27	52	52	2	2	100
	CRAWFORD	26	9.4	33	12	74	26.8	124	44.9	19	6.9	276
	DENT	18	9.6	28	15	51	27.3	81	43.3	9	4.8	187
	DUNKLIN	52	9.6	69	12.7	130	23.9	256	47.1	36	6.6	543
	HOWELL	67	10.4	119	18.4	145	22.5	269	41.7	45	7	645
	IRON	6	5.2	16	13.8	28	24.1	56	48.3	10	8.6	116
	MADISON	21	10.8	23	11.9	63	32.5	76	39.2	11	5.7	194
	MARIES	8	7.8	15	14.7	27	26.5	46	45.1	6	5.9	102
	MISSISSIPPI	11	6.3	17	9.8	77	44.3	63	36.2	6	3.4	174
	NEW MADRID	34	13.9	28	11.5	91	37.3	85	34.8	6	2.5	244

Appendix A. (continued)
2010 Reported Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTANTIATED		UNSUB.-PSI		UNSUB.		FA		OTHER		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
SOUTHEAST	OREGON	7	4	20	11.4	61	34.7	68	38.6	20	11.4	176
	PEMISCOT	41	11.5	31	8.7	115	32.4	149	42	19	5.4	355
	PERRY	17	9	0	0	50	26.5	121	64	1	0.5	189
	PHELPS	30	6.1	93	18.9	145	29.4	212	43	13	2.6	493
	PULASKI	50	9.4	63	11.8	160	30.1	238	44.7	21	3.9	532
	REYNOLDS	5	5.2	12	12.5	29	30.2	43	44.8	7	7.3	96
	RIPLEY	8	4.4	23	12.8	63	35	82	45.6	4	2.2	180
	ST FRANCOIS	68	9	71	9.4	265	34.9	327	43.1	28	3.7	759
	STE GENEVIEVE	10	8.4	13	10.9	36	30.3	57	47.9	3	2.5	119
	SCOTT	34	7	47	9.6	197	40.4	179	36.7	31	6.4	488
	SHANNON	5	4.8	12	11.4	45	42.9	38	36.2	5	4.8	105
	STODDARD	61	21	30	10.3	50	17.2	139	47.9	10	3.4	290
	TEXAS	24	7.9	60	19.7	80	26.3	130	42.8	10	3.3	304
	WASHINGTON	25	9.3	22	8.2	93	34.7	114	42.5	14	5.2	268
	WAYNE	12	8.3	12	8.3	41	28.5	67	46.5	12	8.3	144
		REGION TOTAL	735	8.6	930	10.9	2,587	30.3	3,889	45.6	396	4.6
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	30	7.5	43	10.7	99	24.7	192	47.9	37	9.2	401
	BARTON	10	8.1	3	2.4	23	18.7	75	61	12	9.8	123
	BATES	19	10.9	13	7.4	45	25.7	84	48	14	8	175
	BENTON	11	5.6	15	7.6	61	30.8	109	55.1	2	1	198
	CAMDEN	40	9.3	55	12.8	118	27.4	212	49.2	6	1.4	431
	CEDAR	14	6.5	5	2.3	36	16.7	151	69.9	10	4.6	216
	CHRISTIAN	46	5.7	53	6.5	297	36.6	386	47.5	30	3.7	812
	DADE	3	3.8	3	3.8	25	31.6	44	55.7	4	5.1	79
	DALLAS	23	9.4	20	8.2	71	29.1	121	49.6	9	3.7	244
	DOUGLAS	18	15.5	9	7.8	34	29.3	55	47.4	0	0	116
	GREENE	254	6.9	229	6.3	917	25.1	2,163	59.2	93	2.5	3,656
	HENRY	18	6.8	14	5.3	50	18.9	168	63.4	15	5.7	265
	HICKORY	5	6.5	7	9.1	25	32.5	37	48.1	3	3.9	77
	JASPER	92	5.7	135	8.4	611	38.2	700	43.7	63	3.9	1,601
	LACLEDE	74	11.4	70	10.8	173	26.7	321	49.5	10	1.5	648
	LAWRENCE	22	5.3	33	7.9	106	25.5	234	56.3	21	5	416
	MCDONALD	31	10.4	23	7.7	110	37	124	41.8	9	3	297
	MILLER	33	9.2	63	17.6	70	19.6	176	49.3	15	4.2	357
	MONITEAU	17	12.4	15	10.9	38	27.7	63	46	4	2.9	137
	MORGAN	28	13.9	24	11.9	39	19.4	95	47.3	15	7.5	201
	NEWTON	49	7.9	48	7.7	223	35.7	287	46	17	2.7	624
	OZARK	5	6.1	14	17.1	28	34.1	32	39	3	3.7	82
	POLK	29	6.9	55	13	100	23.7	226	53.6	12	2.8	422
	ST CLAIR	5	5.8	3	3.5	32	37.2	42	48.8	4	4.7	86
	STONE	12	4.2	13	4.5	91	31.7	150	52.3	21	7.3	287
	TANEY	55	8.6	115	18.1	146	22.9	273	42.9	48	7.5	637
	VERNON	21	7.9	0	0	45	17	181	68.3	18	6.8	265
	WEBSTER	41	8.9	24	5.2	173	37.5	214	46.4	9	2	461
WRIGHT	42	13.5	25	8	108	34.7	120	38.6	16	5.1	311	
	REGION TOTAL	1,047	7.7	1,129	8.3	3,894	28.6	7,035	51.6	520	3.8	13,625
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	536	8.4	218	3.4	1,902	30	3,275	51.6	419	6.6	6,350
	REGION TOTAL	536	8.4	218	3.4	1,902	30	3,275	51.6	419	6.6	6,350
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	347	6.5	320	6	1,666	31.2	2,489	46.6	523	9.8	5,345
	ST LOUIS CITY	209	6.3	246	7.4	1,232	37.2	1,328	40.1	298	9	3,313
	REGION TOTAL	556	6.4	566	6.5	2,898	33.5	3,817	44.1	821	9.5	8,658
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	70	3.9	1	0.1	1,674	92.2	0	0	70	3.9	1,815
	OUT OF STATE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	100	79
	REGION TOTAL	70	3.7	1	0.1	1,674	88.4	0	0	149	7.9	1,894
STATE TOTAL		4,291	7.5	4,048	7.1	18,652	32.8	26,709	46.9	3,197	5.6	56,897

Conclusions are as follows: Substantiated - Court Adjudicated and Preponderance of Evidence; Unsub.-PSI - Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated; Unsub. - Unsubstantiated; FA - Family Assessment: Services Needed, Services Not Needed, Non-cooperative/Child Safe, Services Needed-Linked Initial 30 Days, and Services Needed-Family Declined; Other - Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, Home Schooling, and School Investigation by School Board (Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, and Unresolved)

Appendix B.

2010 Reported Children by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTAN- TIATED	UNSUB.- PSI	UNSUB.	FA	OTHER	TOTAL	CHILDREN PER THOUSAND		
								SUBSTAN- TIATED	TOTAL REPORTED	
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	15	21	61	112	5	214	3.45	49.22	
	ATCHISON	11	3	14	21	2	51	7.11	32.97	
	BUCHANAN	96	109	560	1,017	46	1,828	4.59	87.31	
	CALDWELL	13	11	26	64	12	126	5.35	51.89	
	CARROLL	3	5	38	52	1	99	1.16	38.24	
	CASS	82	59	216	570	306	1,233	3.52	52.90	
	CHARITON	2	16	43	40	6	107	1.00	53.58	
	CLAY	83	81	928	1,068	48	2,208	1.75	46.45	
	CLINTON	33	28	109	140	11	321	6.50	63.20	
	COOPER	27	23	53	135	14	252	7.10	66.30	
	DAVISS	12	12	54	43	9	130	5.55	60.13	
	DE KALB	12	12	36	48	4	112	4.99	46.61	
	GENTRY	20	6	21	53	6	106	11.22	59.48	
	GRUNDY	9	25	47	97	7	185	3.71	76.32	
	HARRISON	11	23	29	62	4	129	5.23	61.34	
	HOLT	0	4	17	47	2	70	0.00	55.03	
	JOHNSON	45	50	247	241	5	588	3.71	48.50	
	LAFAYETTE	23	16	175	226	15	455	2.66	52.69	
	LINN	15	62	77	126	5	285	4.30	81.69	
	LIVINGSTON	24	26	84	118	10	262	6.75	73.74	
	MERCER	3	0	8	10	1	22	3.47	25.46	
	NODAWAY	27	12	87	87	5	218	6.36	51.35	
	PETTIS	39	38	369	393	11	850	3.76	81.91	
	PLATTE	39	58	214	359	10	680	2.05	35.74	
	PUTNAM	15	10	4	42	3	74	11.96	59.01	
	RAY	13	8	116	182	5	324	2.02	50.37	
	SALINE	34	17	99	196	5	351	5.89	60.80	
	SULLIVAN	6	24	44	42	1	117	3.32	64.75	
	WORTH	1	0	8	9	1	19	1.73	32.82	
		REGION TOTAL	713	759	3,784	5,600	560	11,416	3.50	56.00
	NORTHEAST	ADAIR	31	51	87	187	7	363	6.46	75.69
		AUDRAIN	30	28	137	230	23	448	4.72	70.44
		BOONE	73	76	680	918	68	1,815	2.36	58.73
CALLAWAY		31	57	253	428	20	789	2.99	76.08	
CLARK		14	4	31	36	7	92	7.56	49.68	
COLE		19	102	347	428	33	929	1.10	53.72	
FRANKLIN		98	115	405	560	149	1,327	3.82	51.71	
GASCONADE		12	21	109	100	2	244	3.16	64.21	
HOWARD		4	11	31	39	12	97	1.63	39.58	
JEFFERSON		259	271	422	1,233	138	2,323	4.69	42.03	
KNOX		1	14	7	26	5	53	0.92	48.76	
LEWIS		5	21	18	79	23	146	1.90	55.58	
LINCOLN		68	39	269	343	22	741	5.82	63.38	
MACON		20	12	67	116	6	221	5.24	57.85	
MARION		89	36	157	248	14	544	12.24	74.84	
MONROE		11	13	30	74	12	140	4.56	58.09	
MONTGOMERY		31	27	57	130	4	249	10.05	80.71	
OSAGE		10	1	61	59	2	133	2.91	38.70	
PIKE		29	33	79	129	12	282	6.76	65.69	
RALLS		6	11	22	60	9	108	2.47	44.46	
RANDOLPH		24	3	132	203	35	397	4.09	67.59	
ST CHARLES		245	67	877	1,190	58	2,437	2.98	29.63	
SCHUYLER		5	1	17	28	1	52	4.87	50.63	
SCOTLAND		5	1	15	28	1	50	3.51	35.14	
SHELBY		3	10	45	59	3	120	1.74	69.40	
WARREN		43	51	124	264	11	493	6.53	74.86	
		REGION TOTAL	1,166	1,076	4,479	7,195	677	14,593	3.89	48.68
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	23	0	41	140	0	204	7.30	64.74	
	BUTLER	41	98	292	482	46	959	4.15	97.01	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	67	4	313	608	29	1,021	4.16	63.43	
	CARTER	8	20	36	73	6	143	5.36	95.78	
	CRAWFORD	32	48	120	203	24	427	5.34	71.29	
	DENT	19	50	72	134	10	285	5.11	76.70	
	DUNKLIN	83	118	192	393	44	830	9.64	96.37	
	HOWELL	90	194	228	399	53	964	9.30	99.63	
	IRON	7	25	42	96	11	181	2.62	67.71	
	MADISON	31	34	86	139	14	304	10.67	104.68	
	MARIES	12	20	35	65	9	141	5.18	60.83	
	MISSISSIPPI	19	24	122	104	8	277	5.38	78.38	
	NEW MADRID	50	40	159	130	15	394	9.57	75.44	

Appendix B. (continued)
2010 Reported Children by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY							CHILDREN PER THOUSAND	
		SUBSTAN- TIATED	UNSUB.- PSI	UNSUB.	FA	OTHER	TOTAL	SUBSTAN- TIATED	TOTAL REPORTED
SOUTHEAST	OREGON	10	31	92	107	30	270	3.98	107.36
	PEMISCOT	69	53	204	250	26	602	11.47	100.08
	PERRY	28	0	72	191	3	294	5.94	62.35
	PHELPS	46	130	221	319	20	736	4.87	77.95
	PULASKI	70	104	227	346	26	773	6.17	68.18
	REYNOLDS	9	19	43	72	9	152	5.60	94.53
	RIPLEY	10	44	100	142	8	304	2.98	90.69
	STFRANCOIS	97	111	418	467	45	1,138	7.27	85.34
	STEGENEVIEVE	16	23	61	95	3	198	3.37	41.69
	SCOTT	55	78	302	275	34	744	4.96	67.12
	SHANNON	5	18	68	53	11	155	2.27	70.49
	STODDARD	89	49	67	226	10	441	12.55	62.17
	TEXAS	29	90	138	177	12	446	5.06	77.78
	WASHINGTON	40	31	158	170	19	418	6.45	67.37
	WAYNE	13	25	57	98	22	215	4.22	69.83
	REGION TOTAL	1,068	1,481	3,966	5,954	547	13,016	6.37	77.60
	SOUTHWEST	BARRY	44	54	138	270	60	566	4.96
BARTON		16	3	36	125	12	192	4.64	55.73
BATES		25	18	66	130	19	258	5.66	58.38
BENTON		11	20	76	161	4	272	3.13	77.36
CAMDEN		58	69	164	306	6	603	7.73	80.31
CEDAR		16	10	60	253	14	353	4.73	104.38
CHRISTIAN		62	86	410	555	42	1,155	4.10	76.42
DADE		5	6	35	73	6	125	2.59	64.83
DALLAS		35	34	106	173	14	362	8.14	84.15
DOUGLAS		23	13	51	78	0	165	6.80	48.79
GREENE		368	331	1,297	3,003	129	5,128	6.88	95.85
HENRY		31	19	68	269	18	405	5.94	77.59
HICKORY		5	13	36	55	3	112	2.81	62.85
JASPER		122	206	880	1,069	91	2,368	4.53	87.86
LACLEDE		126	105	259	499	16	1,005	14.52	115.85
LAWRENCE		31	52	146	338	24	591	3.24	61.70
MCDONALD		48	36	184	204	9	481	7.67	76.85
MILLER		47	90	101	237	26	501	7.58	80.83
MONITEAU		24	23	55	87	4	193	6.26	50.31
MORGAN		48	40	55	147	18	308	10.45	67.03
NEWTON		62	70	346	456	20	954	4.49	69.04
OZARK		8	21	43	50	4	126	3.80	59.80
POLK		56	80	161	315	14	626	8.06	90.11
STCLAIR		6	6	51	62	4	129	2.70	58.13
STONE		15	29	131	210	24	409	2.44	66.63
TANEY		78	157	240	434	63	972	8.75	109.07
VERNON		28	0	57	273	26	384	5.15	70.64
WEBSTER	53	34	281	321	15	704	5.92	78.60	
WRIGHT	55	49	176	178	27	485	11.28	99.45	
REGION TOTAL	1,506	1,674	5,709	10,331	712	19,932	6.23	82.40	
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	758	302	2,815	4,855	593	9,323	4.49	55.24
	REGION TOTAL	758	302	2,815	4,855	593	9,323	4.49	55.24
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	472	443	2,409	3,451	697	7,472	1.84	29.19
	ST LOUIS CITY	273	355	1,903	2,000	442	4,973	3.04	55.47
	REGION TOTAL	745	798	4,312	5,451	1,139	12,445	2.16	36.00
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	99	1	2,449	0	111	2,660	0.00	0.00
	OUT OF STATE	0	0	0	0	118	118	0.00	0.00
	REGION TOTAL	99	1	2,449	0	229	2,778	0.00	0.00
STATE TOTAL		6,055	6,091	27,514	39,386	4,457	83,503	4.24	58.49

Conclusions are as follows: Substantiated - Court Adjudicated and Preponderance of Evidence; Unsub.-PSI - Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated; Unsub. - Unsubstantiated; FA - Family Assessment: Services Needed, Services Not Needed, Non-cooperative/Child Safe, Services Needed-Linked Initial 30 Days, and Services Needed-Family Declined; Other - Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, Home Schooling, and School Investigation by School Board (Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, and Unresolved)
Population data is based on the 2000 Census: Summary File 3, December 2001. Missouri population through age 17. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Appendix C.

2010 Family Assessment Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SERVICE NEEDED		SERVICE NOT NEEDED		NON-COOPERATIVE		SERVICE NEEDED - LINKED INITIAL 30 DAYS		SERVICE NEEDED - DECLINED		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	5	6.5	66	85.7	1	1.3	4	5.2	1	1.3	77
	ATCHISON	2	14.3	6	42.9	0	0	6	42.9	0	0	14
	BUCHANAN	58	8.7	557	83.9	8	1.2	25	3.8	16	2.4	664
	CALDWELL	5	11.9	23	54.8	1	2.4	11	26.2	2	4.8	42
	CARROLL	2	6.1	23	69.7	0	0	8	24.2	0	0	33
	CASS	39	10.1	243	63.1	4	1	88	22.9	11	2.9	385
	CHARITON	4	16.7	18	75	0	0	1	4.2	1	4.2	24
	CLAY	36	4.8	633	85.2	12	1.6	52	7	10	1.3	743
	CLINTON	2	2.3	68	79.1	2	2.3	8	9.3	6	7	86
	COOPER	10	11.5	68	78.2	3	3.4	1	1.1	5	5.7	87
	DAVISS	1	3.2	20	64.5	1	3.2	5	16.1	4	12.9	31
	DE KALB	3	10.7	22	78.6	0	0	1	3.6	2	7.1	28
	GENTRY	3	8.3	31	86.1	0	0	2	5.6	0	0	36
	GRUNDY	5	9.1	28	50.9	0	0	22	40	0	0	55
	HARRISON	7	19.4	23	63.9	0	0	6	16.7	0	0	36
	HOLT	7	24.1	15	51.7	0	0	6	20.7	1	3.4	29
	JOHNSON	25	14.2	130	73.9	0	0	15	8.5	6	3.4	176
	LAFAYETTE	6	3.9	138	90.8	2	1.3	6	3.9	0	0	152
	LINN	14	17.9	49	62.8	2	2.6	8	10.3	5	6.4	78
	LIVINGSTON	10	12.3	57	70.4	1	1.2	11	13.6	2	2.5	81
	MERCER	1	14.3	4	57.1	0	0	2	28.6	0	0	7
	NODAWAY	6	9.8	50	82	0	0	5	8.2	0	0	61
	PETTIS	32	13.7	144	61.5	1	0.4	52	22.2	5	2.1	234
PLATTE	13	5.1	215	85	3	1.2	16	6.3	6	2.4	253	
PUTNAM	5	19.2	15	57.7	0	0	6	23.1	0	0	26	
RAY	8	6.4	103	82.4	4	3.2	9	7.2	1	0.8	125	
SALINE	11	9.1	95	78.5	3	2.5	8	6.6	4	3.3	121	
SULLIVAN	2	7.4	25	92.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	
WORTH	0	0	8	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
REGION TOTAL		322	8.7	2,877	77.4	48	1.3	384	10.3	88	2.4	3,719
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	9	7.3	54	43.9	2	1.6	52	42.3	6	4.9	123
	AUDRAIN	13	8.3	117	74.5	2	1.3	24	15.3	1	0.6	157
	BOONE	80	12.4	518	80.6	10	1.6	29	4.5	6	0.9	643
	CALLAWAY	32	11.5	211	75.9	8	2.9	23	8.3	4	1.4	278
	CLARK	3	10	20	66.7	0	0	6	20	1	3.3	30
	COLE	68	21.5	229	72.5	9	2.8	4	1.3	6	1.9	316
	FRANKLIN	72	18.9	272	71.4	2	0.5	23	6	12	3.1	381
	GASCONADE	11	16.9	50	76.9	3	4.6	0	0	1	1.5	65
	HOWARD	1	3.3	21	70	1	3.3	6	20	1	3.3	30
	JEFFERSON	97	11.3	500	58.5	10	1.2	211	24.7	37	4.3	855
	KNOX	2	9.5	13	61.9	0	0	6	28.6	0	0	21
	LEWIS	6	12.5	26	54.2	1	2.1	15	31.3	0	0	48
	LINCOLN	22	9.2	198	82.8	12	5	2	0.8	5	2.1	239
	MACON	7	10.3	56	82.4	1	1.5	3	4.4	1	1.5	68
	MARION	6	3.4	142	80.2	4	2.3	19	10.7	6	3.4	177
	MONROE	12	24.5	32	65.3	0	0	3	6.1	2	4.1	49
	MONTGOMERY	10	12.7	62	78.5	0	0	5	6.3	2	2.5	79
	OSAGE	2	5.6	31	86.1	0	0	1	2.8	2	5.6	36
	PIKE	14	16.1	58	66.7	1	1.1	10	11.5	4	4.6	87
	RALLS	6	13	35	76.1	1	2.2	3	6.5	1	2.2	46
	RANDOLPH	11	8	91	65.9	0	0	35	25.4	1	0.7	138
	ST CHARLES	89	10.3	701	81.1	10	1.2	44	5.1	20	2.3	864
	SCHUYLER	0	0	12	66.7	1	5.6	5	27.8	0	0	18
SCOTLAND	3	17.6	9	52.9	0	0	4	23.5	1	5.9	17	
SHELBY	4	11.4	29	82.9	0	0	2	5.7	0	0	35	
WARREN	28	16.1	117	67.2	3	1.7	14	8	12	6.9	174	
REGION TOTAL		608	12.2	3,604	72.5	81	1.6	549	11	132	2.7	4,974
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	29	36.7	31	39.2	2	2.5	16	20.3	1	1.3	79
	BUTLER	42	12.8	186	56.5	5	1.5	79	24	17	5.2	329
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	136	33.3	205	50.1	3	0.7	59	14.4	6	1.5	409
	CARTER	7	13.5	39	75	2	3.8	4	7.7	0	0	52
	CRAWFORD	34	27.4	78	62.9	1	0.8	9	7.3	2	1.6	124
	DENT	19	23.5	47	58	0	0	11	13.6	4	4.9	81
	DUNKLIN	61	23.8	176	68.8	2	0.8	13	5.1	4	1.6	256
	HOWELL	109	40.5	139	51.7	4	1.5	9	3.3	8	3	269
	IRON	18	32.1	34	60.7	1	1.8	1	1.8	2	3.6	56
	MADISON	15	19.7	54	71.1	1	1.3	5	6.6	1	1.3	76
	MARIES	11	23.9	30	65.2	1	2.2	3	6.5	1	2.2	46
	MISSISSIPPI	18	28.6	42	66.7	1	1.6	1	1.6	1	1.6	63
	NEW MADRID	19	22.4	58	68.2	2	2.4	3	3.5	3	3.5	85

Appendix C. (continued)

2010 Family Assessment Incidents by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SERVICE NEEDED		SERVICE NOT NEEDED		NON-COOPERATIVE		SERVICE NEEDED - LINKED INITIAL 30 DAYS		SERVICE NEEDED - DECLINED		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
SOUTHEAST	OREGON	9	13.2	49	72.1	0	0	7	10.3	3	4.4	68
	PEMISCOT	37	24.8	102	68.5	1	0.7	8	5.4	1	0.7	149
	PERRY	34	28.1	35	28.9	0	0	49	40.5	3	2.5	121
	PHELPS	47	22.2	136	64.2	3	1.4	16	7.5	10	4.7	212
	PULASKI	64	26.9	141	59.2	4	1.7	17	7.1	12	5	238
	REYNOLDS	7	16.3	34	79.1	0	0	2	4.7	0	0	43
	RIPLEY	9	11	49	59.8	1	1.2	16	19.5	7	8.5	82
	STFRANCOIS	52	15.9	238	72.8	1	0.3	31	9.5	5	1.5	327
	STEGENEVIEVE	11	19.3	44	77.2	0	0	2	3.5	0	0	57
	SCOTT	35	19.6	132	73.7	0	0	6	3.4	6	3.4	179
	SHANNON	5	13.2	26	68.4	2	5.3	5	13.2	0	0	38
	STODDARD	58	41.7	69	49.6	0	0	11	7.9	1	0.7	139
	TEXAS	23	17.7	74	56.9	0	0	15	11.5	18	13.8	130
	WASHINGTON	18	15.8	84	73.7	3	2.6	7	6.1	2	1.8	114
	WAYNE	15	22.4	37	55.2	4	6	9	13.4	2	3	67
	REGION TOTAL	942	24.2	2,369	60.9	44	1.1	414	10.6	120	3.1	3,889
	SOUTHWEST	BARRY	28	14.6	150	78.1	4	2.1	7	3.6	3	1.6
BARTON		9	12	65	86.7	0	0	1	1.3	0	0	75
BATES		12	14.3	55	65.5	0	0	14	16.7	3	3.6	84
BENTON		9	8.3	75	68.8	4	3.7	17	15.6	4	3.7	109
CAMDEN		37	17.5	134	63.2	1	0.5	33	15.6	7	3.3	212
CEDAR		26	17.2	108	71.5	2	1.3	11	7.3	4	2.6	151
CHRISTIAN		40	10.4	276	71.5	6	1.6	50	13	14	3.6	386
DADE		10	22.7	31	70.5	1	2.3	2	4.5	0	0	44
DALLAS		7	5.8	84	69.4	1	0.8	28	23.1	1	0.8	121
DOUGLAS		5	9.1	47	85.5	0	0	0	0	3	5.5	55
GREENE		281	13	1,654	76.5	37	1.7	99	4.6	92	4.3	2,163
HENRY		21	12.5	100	59.5	5	3	29	17.3	13	7.7	168
HICKORY		6	16.2	25	67.6	0	0	3	8.1	3	8.1	37
JASPER		118	16.9	484	69.1	6	0.9	84	12	8	1.1	700
LACLEDE		60	18.7	218	67.9	1	0.3	29	9	13	4	321
LAWRENCE		35	15	177	75.6	9	3.8	8	3.4	5	2.1	234
MCDONALD		22	17.7	92	74.2	0	0	7	5.6	3	2.4	124
MILLER		59	33.5	85	48.3	5	2.8	13	7.4	14	8	176
MONITEAU		17	27	36	57.1	1	1.6	9	14.3	0	0	63
MORGAN		29	30.5	44	46.3	2	2.1	19	20	1	1.1	95
NEWTON		49	17.1	218	76	4	1.4	13	4.5	3	1	287
OZARK		7	21.9	22	68.8	1	3.1	2	6.3	0	0	32
POLK		25	11.1	123	54.4	6	2.7	65	28.8	7	3.1	226
ST CLAIR		8	19	26	61.9	0	0	5	11.9	3	7.1	42
STONE		30	20	111	74	5	3.3	2	1.3	2	1.3	150
TANEY		35	12.8	189	69.2	8	2.9	16	5.9	25	9.2	273
VERNON		33	18.2	138	76.2	2	1.1	8	4.4	0	0	181
WEBSTER	12	5.6	177	82.7	4	1.9	15	7	6	2.8	214	
WRIGHT	24	20	90	75	0	0	4	3.3	2	1.7	120	
REGION TOTAL	1,054	15	5,034	71.6	115	1.6	593	8.4	239	3.4	7,035	
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	315	9.6	2,310	70.5	114	3.5	506	15.5	30	0.9	3,275
	REGION TOTAL	315	9.6	2,310	70.5	114	3.5	506	15.5	30	0.9	3,275
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	393	15.8	1,787	71.8	74	3	188	7.6	47	1.9	2,489
	ST LOUIS CITY	169	12.7	983	74	39	2.9	92	6.9	45	3.4	1,328
	REGION TOTAL	562	14.7	2,770	72.6	113	3	280	7.3	92	2.4	3,817
STATE TOTAL		3,803	14.2	18,964	71	515	1.9	2,726	10.2	701	2.6	26,709

Appendix D.

2010 Family Assessment Children by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SERVICE NEEDED		SERVICE NOT NEEDED		NON-COOPERATIVE		SERVICE NEEDED - LINKED INITIAL 30 DAYS		SERVICE NEEDED - DECLINED		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	6	5.4	96	85.7	1	0.9	6	5.4	3	2.7	112
	ATCHISON	4	19	8	38.1	0	0	9	42.9	0	0	21
	BUCHANAN	99	9.7	848	83.4	11	1.1	32	3.1	27	2.7	1,017
	CALDWELL	10	15.6	35	54.7	2	3.1	15	23.4	2	3.1	64
	CARROLL	2	3.8	37	71.2	0	0	13	25	0	0	52
	CASS	66	11.6	351	61.6	6	1.1	127	22.3	20	3.5	570
	CHARITON	4	10	32	80	0	0	2	5	2	5	40
	CLAY	51	4.8	918	86	16	1.5	70	6.6	13	1.2	1,068
	CLINTON	2	1.4	112	80	3	2.1	11	7.9	12	8.6	140
	COOPER	15	11.1	107	79.3	3	2.2	3	2.2	7	5.2	135
	DAVISS	1	2.3	29	67.4	2	4.7	7	16.3	4	9.3	43
	DE KALB	6	12.5	37	77.1	0	0	3	6.3	2	4.2	48
	GENTRY	6	11.3	45	84.9	0	0	2	3.8	0	0	53
	GRUNDY	9	9.3	52	53.6	0	0	36	37.1	0	0	97
	HARRISON	9	14.5	41	66.1	0	0	12	19.4	0	0	62
	HOLT	12	25.5	21	44.7	0	0	13	27.7	1	2.1	47
	JOHNSON	31	12.9	180	74.7	0	0	18	7.5	12	5	241
	LAFAYETTE	12	5.3	205	90.7	2	0.9	7	3.1	0	0	226
	LINN	23	18.3	82	65.1	2	1.6	11	8.7	8	6.3	126
	LIVINGSTON	15	12.7	84	71.2	1	0.8	13	11	5	4.2	118
	MERCER	1	10	7	70	0	0	2	20	0	0	10
	NODAWAY	8	9.2	74	85.1	0	0	5	5.7	0	0	87
	PETTIS	59	15	252	64.1	1	0.3	74	18.8	7	1.8	393
PLATTE	18	5	310	86.4	7	1.9	17	4.7	7	1.9	359	
PUTNAM	7	16.7	24	57.1	0	0	11	26.2	0	0	42	
RAY	11	6	151	83	4	2.2	15	8.2	1	0.5	182	
SALINE	14	7.1	163	83.2	4	2	9	4.6	6	3.1	196	
SULLIVAN	5	11.9	36	85.7	0	0	1	2.4	0	0	42	
WORTH	0	0	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	REGION TOTAL	506	9	4,346	77.6	65	1.2	544	9.7	139	2.5	5,600
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	14	7.5	86	46	3	1.6	73	39	11	5.9	187
	AUDRAIN	15	6.5	176	76.5	2	0.9	36	15.7	1	0.4	230
	BOONE	109	11.9	745	81.2	11	1.2	46	5	7	0.8	918
	CALLAWAY	49	11.4	333	77.8	10	2.3	31	7.2	5	1.2	428
	CLARK	3	8.3	26	72.2	0	0	6	16.7	1	2.8	36
	COLE	93	21.7	306	71.5	16	3.7	4	0.9	9	2.1	428
	FRANKLIN	109	19.5	388	69.3	2	0.4	35	6.3	26	4.6	560
	GASCONADE	17	17	79	79	3	3	0	0	1	1	100
	HOWARD	1	2.6	26	66.7	1	2.6	10	25.6	1	2.6	39
	JEFFERSON	152	12.3	714	57.9	13	1.1	297	24.1	57	4.6	1,233
	KNOX	2	7.7	16	61.5	0	0	8	30.8	0	0	26
	LEWIS	8	10.1	42	53.2	5	6.3	24	30.4	0	0	79
	LINCOLN	29	8.5	281	81.9	19	5.5	8	2.3	6	1.7	343
	MACON	15	12.9	93	80.2	1	0.9	4	3.4	3	2.6	116
	MARION	10	4	198	79.8	5	2	24	9.7	11	4.4	248
	MONROE	17	23	46	62.2	0	0	7	9.5	4	5.4	74
	MONTGOMERY	16	12.3	105	80.8	0	0	7	5.4	2	1.5	130
	OSAGE	3	5.1	45	76.3	0	0	3	5.1	8	13.6	59
	PIKE	23	17.8	87	67.4	1	0.8	14	10.9	4	3.1	129
	RALLS	10	16.7	45	75	1	1.7	3	5	1	1.7	60
	RANDOLPH	16	7.9	136	67	0	0	50	24.6	1	0.5	203
	ST CHARLES	141	11.8	952	80	12	1	53	4.5	32	2.7	1,190
	SCHUYLER	0	0	18	64.3	2	7.1	8	28.6	0	0	28
SCOTLAND	6	21.4	13	46.4	0	0	8	28.6	1	3.6	28	
SHELBY	4	6.8	52	88.1	0	0	3	5.1	0	0	59	
WARREN	54	20.5	173	65.5	5	1.9	17	6.4	15	5.7	264	
	REGION TOTAL	916	12.7	5,181	72	112	1.6	779	10.8	207	2.9	7,195
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	54	38.6	58	41.4	3	2.1	24	17.1	1	0.7	140
	BUTLER	57	11.8	280	58.1	8	1.7	113	23.4	24	5	482
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	205	33.7	306	50.3	5	0.8	83	13.7	9	1.5	608
	CARTER	8	11	58	79.5	3	4.1	4	5.5	0	0	73
	CRAWFORD	52	25.6	136	67	1	0.5	12	5.9	2	1	203
	DENT	32	23.9	77	57.5	0	0	21	15.7	4	3	134
	DUNKLIN	91	23.2	268	68.2	2	0.5	25	6.4	7	1.8	393
	HOWELL	159	39.8	210	52.6	6	1.5	16	4	8	2	399
	IRON	30	31.3	60	62.5	1	1	2	2.1	3	3.1	96
	MADISON	28	20.1	96	69.1	1	0.7	12	8.6	2	1.4	139
	MARIES	16	24.6	42	64.6	2	3.1	3	4.6	2	3.1	65
	MISSISSIPPI	38	36.5	61	58.7	1	1	2	1.9	2	1.9	104
	NEW MADRID	41	31.5	81	62.3	2	1.5	3	2.3	3	2.3	130

Appendix D. (continued)

2010 Family Assessment Children by Region, County and Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SERVICE NEEDED		SERVICE NOT NEEDED		NON-COOPERATIVE		SERVICE NEEDED - LINKED INITIAL 30 DAYS		SERVICE NEEDED - DECLINED		TOTAL
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
SOUTHEAST	OREGON	9	8.4	84	78.5	0	0	11	10.3	3	2.8	107
	PEMISCOT	67	26.8	171	68.4	1	0.4	10	4	1	0.4	250
	PERRY	64	33.5	51	26.7	0	0	66	34.6	10	5.2	191
	PHELPS	77	24.1	197	61.8	4	1.3	23	7.2	18	5.6	319
	PULASKI	106	30.6	197	56.9	4	1.2	22	6.4	17	4.9	346
	REYNOLDS	10	13.9	55	76.4	1	1.4	6	8.3	0	0	72
	RIPLEY	18	12.7	84	59.2	2	1.4	25	17.6	13	9.2	142
	ST FRANCOIS	79	16.9	333	71.3	1	0.2	47	10.1	7	1.5	467
	STE GENEVIEVE	23	24.2	66	69.5	0	0	6	6.3	0	0	95
	SCOTT	53	19.3	206	74.9	0	0	9	3.3	7	2.5	275
	SHANNON	8	15.1	34	64.2	3	5.7	8	15.1	0	0	53
	STODDARD	105	46.5	105	46.5	0	0	14	6.2	2	0.9	226
	TEXAS	34	19.2	101	57.1	0	0	17	9.6	25	14.1	177
	WASHINGTON	24	14.1	127	74.7	4	2.4	12	7.1	3	1.8	170
	WAYNE	21	21.4	55	56.1	5	5.1	14	14.3	3	3.1	98
	REGION TOTAL	1,509	25.3	3,599	60.4	60	1	610	10.2	176	3	5,954
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	40	14.8	214	79.3	4	1.5	8	3	4	1.5	270
	BARTON	12	9.6	109	87.2	0	0	4	3.2	0	0	125
	BATES	21	16.2	82	63.1	0	0	24	18.5	3	2.3	130
	BENTON	13	8.1	117	72.7	5	3.1	21	13	5	3.1	161
	CAMDEN	58	19	189	61.8	1	0.3	49	16	9	2.9	306
	CEDAR	53	20.9	177	70	2	0.8	13	5.1	8	3.2	253
	CHRISTIAN	57	10.3	410	73.9	9	1.6	56	10.1	23	4.1	555
	DADE	17	23.3	49	67.1	4	5.5	3	4.1	0	0	73
	DALLAS	11	6.4	113	65.3	3	1.7	45	26	1	0.6	173
	DOUGLAS	8	10.3	66	84.6	0	0	0	0	4	5.1	78
	GREENE	412	13.7	2,272	75.7	51	1.7	133	4.4	135	4.5	3,003
	HENRY	29	10.8	163	60.6	8	3	46	17.1	23	8.6	269
	HICKORY	6	10.9	37	67.3	0	0	6	10.9	6	10.9	55
	JASPER	202	18.9	718	67.2	10	0.9	125	11.7	14	1.3	1,069
	LACLEDE	94	18.8	335	67.1	1	0.2	44	8.8	25	5	499
	LAWRENCE	51	15.1	250	74	14	4.1	16	4.7	7	2.1	338
	MCDONALD	32	15.7	154	75.5	0	0	12	5.9	6	2.9	204
	MILLER	81	34.2	118	49.8	7	3	14	5.9	17	7.2	237
	MONITEAU	25	28.7	49	56.3	2	2.3	11	12.6	0	0	87
	MORGAN	46	31.3	74	50.3	2	1.4	24	16.3	1	0.7	147
	NEWTON	81	17.8	345	75.7	5	1.1	22	4.8	3	0.7	456
	OZARK	9	18	38	76	1	2	2	4	0	0	50
	POLK	40	12.7	168	53.3	6	1.9	94	29.8	7	2.2	315
	ST CLAIR	13	21	36	58.1	0	0	9	14.5	4	6.5	62
	STONE	49	23.3	152	72.4	5	2.4	2	1	2	1	210
	TANEY	64	14.7	286	65.9	9	2.1	32	7.4	43	9.9	434
	VERNON	49	17.9	210	76.9	3	1.1	11	4	0	0	273
	WEBSTER	18	5.6	263	81.9	6	1.9	19	5.9	15	4.7	321
	WRIGHT	42	23.6	127	71.3	0	0	6	3.4	3	1.7	178
	REGION TOTAL	1,633	15.8	7,321	70.9	158	1.5	851	8.2	368	3.6	10,331
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	508	10.5	3,457	71.2	147	3	700	14.4	43	0.9	4,855
	REGION TOTAL	508	10.5	3,457	71.2	147	3	700	14.4	43	0.9	4,855
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	588	17	2,468	71.5	83	2.4	251	7.3	61	1.8	3,451
	ST LOUIS CITY	256	12.8	1,507	75.4	52	2.6	126	6.3	59	3	2,000
	REGION TOTAL	844	15.5	3,975	72.9	135	2.5	377	6.9	120	2.2	5,451
STATE TOTAL		5,916	15	27,879	70.8	677	1.7	3,861	9.8	1,053	2.7	39,386

Appendix E.

2010 Substantiated Incidents by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	PHYSICAL ABUSE		NEGLECT		EMOTIONAL MALTREATMENT	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	5	45.5	3	27.3	0	0.0
	ATCHISON	3	60.0	3	60.0	2	40.0
	BUCHANAN	35	42.7	30	36.6	0	0.0
	CALDWELL	3	33.3	4	44.4	3	33.3
	CARROLL	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3
	CASS	20	35.7	26	46.4	4	7.1
	CHARITON	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	CLAY	19	29.2	24	36.9	2	3.1
	CLINTON	7	28.0	16	64.0	4	16.0
	COOPER	7	33.3	8	38.1	4	19.1
	DAVISS	3	37.5	3	37.5	0	0.0
	DE KALB	3	33.3	2	22.2	0	0.0
	GENTRY	1	7.1	7	50.0	0	0.0
	GRUNDY	1	12.5	4	50.0	0	0.0
	HARRISON	2	22.2	4	44.4	0	0.0
	JOHNSON	13	40.6	14	43.8	0	0.0
	LAFAYETTE	5	27.8	8	44.4	0	0.0
	LINN	4	40.0	3	30.0	0	0.0
	LIVINGSTON	4	23.5	6	35.3	1	5.9
	MERCER	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3
	NODAWAY	1	5.6	13	72.2	0	0.0
	PETTIS	6	20.7	15	51.7	0	0.0
	PLATTE	6	18.2	13	39.4	3	9.1
	PUTNAM	4	44.4	7	77.8	1	11.1
	RAY	2	25.0	5	62.5	0	0.0
	SALINE	8	24.2	13	39.4	2	6.1
	SULLIVAN	2	33.3	3	50.0	0	0.0
WORTH	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	
	REGION TOTAL	168	30.9	235	43.2	29	5.3
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	6	30.0	13	65.0	0	0.0
	AUDRAIN	7	35.0	12	60.0	0	0.0
	BOONE	19	33.3	19	33.3	1	1.8
	CALLAWAY	10	41.7	8	33.3	6	25.0
	CLARK	3	25.0	12	100.0	1	8.3
	COLE	6	31.6	4	21.1	0	0.0
	FRANKLIN	23	33.3	34	49.3	0	0.0
	GASCONADE	3	30.0	4	40.0	0	0.0
	HOWARD	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
	JEFFERSON	61	35.5	95	55.2	1	0.6
	KNOX	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	LEWIS	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0
	LINCOLN	15	31.3	19	39.6	0	0.0
	MACON	7	58.3	5	41.7	3	25.0
	MARION	18	36.7	27	55.1	4	8.2
	MONROE	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	MONTGOMERY	3	18.8	13	81.3	0	0.0
	OSAGE	0	0.0	3	50.0	0	0.0
	PIKE	9	42.9	13	61.9	1	4.8
	RALLS	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	RANDOLPH	5	22.7	9	40.9	1	4.6
	ST CHARLES	50	30.3	80	48.5	3	1.8
	SCHUYLER	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
	SCOTLAND	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
	SHELBY	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0
	WARREN	9	27.3	18	54.6	0	0.0
		REGION TOTAL	264	32.9	404	50.3	21
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	4	25.0	10	62.5	0	0.0
	BUTLER	7	25.0	17	60.7	0	0.0
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	9	20.0	25	55.6	0	0.0
	CARTER	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0
	CRAWFORD	11	42.3	10	38.5	3	11.5
	DENT	8	44.4	8	44.4	0	0.0
	DUNKLIN	16	30.8	26	50.0	0	0.0
	HOWELL	31	46.3	35	52.2	2	3.0
	IRON	4	66.7	1	16.7	1	16.7
	MADISON	9	42.9	7	33.3	2	9.5
	MARIES	3	37.5	4	50.0	0	0.0
	MISSISSIPPI	3	27.3	4	36.4	0	0.0
	NEW MADRID	16	47.1	12	35.3	1	2.9
	OREGON	3	42.9	2	28.6	0	0.0
	PEMISCOT	12	29.3	21	51.2	1	2.4

Appendix E. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Incidents by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	MEDICAL NEGLECT		EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT		SEXUAL MALTREATMENT		TOTAL SUBSTAN- TIATED INCIDENTS
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	27.3	11
	ATCHISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	5
	BUCHANAN	3	3.7	0	0.0	22	26.8	82
	CALDWELL	1	11.1	1	11.1	2	22.2	9
	CARROLL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
	CASS	5	8.9	0	0.0	13	23.2	56
	CHARITON	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	2
	CLAY	2	3.1	0	0.0	25	38.5	65
	CLINTON	3	12.0	1	4.0	5	20.0	25
	COOPER	1	4.8	0	0.0	10	47.6	21
	DAVISS	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	8
	DE KALB	0	0.0	2	22.2	2	22.2	9
	GENTRY	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	42.9	14
	GRUNDY	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	8
	HARRISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	9
	JOHNSON	1	3.1	0	0.0	12	37.5	32
	LAFAYETTE	1	5.6	1	5.6	5	27.8	18
	LINN	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	50.0	10
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0	2	11.8	5	29.4	17
	MERCER	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	3
	NODAWAY	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	16.7	18
	PETTIS	3	10.3	0	0.0	6	20.7	29
	PLATTE	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	48.5	33
	PUTNAM	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	9
	RAY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8
	SALINE	0	0.0	4	12.1	9	27.3	33
	SULLIVAN	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7	6
WORTH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	
	REGION TOTAL	23	4.2	11	2.0	167	30.7	544
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	1	5.0	0	0.0	4	20.0	20
	AUDRAIN	0	0.0	1	5.0	5	25.0	20
	BOONE	2	3.5	0	0.0	21	36.8	57
	CALLAWAY	1	4.2	0	0.0	9	37.5	24
	CLARK	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	12
	COLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	63.2	19
	FRANKLIN	0	0.0	2	2.9	16	23.2	69
	GASCONADE	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	40.0	10
	HOWARD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4
	JEFFERSON	1	0.6	1	0.6	53	30.8	172
	KNOX	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
	LEWIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3
	LINCOLN	4	8.3	0	0.0	15	31.3	48
	MACON	1	8.3	0	0.0	4	33.3	12
	MARION	2	4.1	2	4.1	14	28.6	49
	MONROE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	5
	MONTGOMERY	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	16
	OSAGE	1	16.7	0	0.0	5	83.3	6
	PIKE	2	9.5	0	0.0	2	9.5	21
	RALLS	1	20.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	5
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	40.9	22
	ST CHARLES	5	3.0	3	1.8	46	27.9	165
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	4
	SHELBY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2
	WARREN	3	9.1	0	0.0	7	21.2	33
		REGION TOTAL	26	3.2	10	1.3	230	28.6
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	0	0.0	1	6.3	6	37.5	16
	BUTLER	2	7.1	1	3.6	5	17.9	28
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	24.4	45
	CARTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	6
	CRAWFORD	1	3.9	0	0.0	5	19.2	26
	DENT	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	33.3	18
	DUNKLIN	1	1.9	0	0.0	17	32.7	52
	HOWELL	3	4.5	0	0.0	15	22.4	67
	IRON	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	6
	MADISON	3	14.3	0	0.0	9	42.9	21
	MARIES	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	36.4	11
	NEW MADRID	3	8.8	1	2.9	10	29.4	34
	OREGON	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	42.9	7
	PEMISCOT	1	2.4	0	0.0	9	22.0	41

Appendix E. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Incidents by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	PHYSICAL ABUSE		NEGLECT		EMOTIONAL MALTREATMENT	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
SOUTHEAST	PERRY	7	41.2	7	41.2	0	0.0
	PHELPS	7	23.3	16	53.3	1	3.3
	PULASKI	25	50.0	23	46.0	0	0.0
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	RIPLEY	2	25.0	6	75.0	0	0.0
	STFRANCOIS	17	25.0	32	47.1	5	7.4
	STEGENEVIEVE	4	40.0	5	50.0	0	0.0
	SCOTT	14	41.2	20	58.8	0	0.0
	SHANNON	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	STODDARD	14	23.0	38	62.3	5	8.2
	TEXAS	10	41.7	5	20.8	3	12.5
	WASHINGTON	10	40.0	11	44.0	2	8.0
	WAYNE	4	33.3	4	33.3	0	0.0
REGION TOTAL	253	34.4	358	48.7	26	3.5	
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	8	26.7	9	30.0	1	3.3
	BARTON	1	10.0	5	50.0	0	0.0
	BATES	8	42.1	8	42.1	2	10.5
	BENTON	3	27.3	2	18.2	0	0.0
	CAMDEN	11	27.5	18	45.0	2	5.0
	CEDAR	3	21.4	9	64.3	0	0.0
	CHRISTIAN	11	23.9	21	45.7	0	0.0
	DADE	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0
	DALLAS	4	17.4	16	69.6	0	0.0
	DOUGLAS	5	27.8	6	33.3	0	0.0
	GREENE	80	31.5	141	55.5	15	5.9
	HENRY	7	38.9	10	55.6	4	22.2
	HICKORY	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	JASPER	31	33.7	45	48.9	3	3.3
	LACLEDE	18	24.3	48	64.9	2	2.7
	LAWRENCE	4	18.2	6	27.3	1	4.6
	MCDONALD	8	25.8	19	61.3	0	0.0
	MILLER	6	18.2	22	66.7	2	6.1
	MONITEAU	8	47.1	8	47.1	1	5.9
	MORGAN	8	28.6	14	50.0	0	0.0
	NEWTON	14	28.6	18	36.7	3	6.1
	OZARK	2	40.0	4	80.0	0	0.0
	POLK	13	44.8	14	48.3	3	10.3
	ST CLAIR	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0
	STONE	3	25.0	3	25.0	0	0.0
	TANEY	19	34.6	22	40.0	1	1.8
	VERNON	8	38.1	8	38.1	0	0.0
	WEBSTER	14	34.2	11	26.8	1	2.4
	WRIGHT	11	26.2	20	47.6	1	2.4
REGION TOTAL	310	29.6	512	48.9	44	4.2	
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	168	31.3	207	38.6	30	5.6
	REGION TOTAL	168	31.3	207	38.6	30	5.6
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	144	41.5	149	42.9	12	3.5
	ST LOUIS CITY	81	38.8	81	38.8	5	2.4
	REGION TOTAL	225	40.5	230	41.4	17	3.1
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	21	30.0	22	31.4	0	0.0
	REGION TOTAL	21	30.0	22	31.4	0	0.0
STATE TOTAL		1,409	32.8	1,968	45.9	167	3.9

Appendix E. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Incidents by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	MEDICAL NEGLECT		EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT		SEXUAL MALTREATMENT		TOTAL SUBSTANTIATED INCIDENTS
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
SOUTHEAST	PERRY	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	35.3	17
	PHELPS	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	30.0	30
	PULASKI	1	2.0	0	0.0	9	18.0	50
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	5
	RIPLEY	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	8
	ST FRANCOIS	5	7.4	0	0.0	30	44.1	68
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	10.0	0	0.0	3	30.0	10
	SCOTT	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	35.3	34
	SHANNON	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	5
	STODDARD	3	4.9	0	0.0	10	16.4	61
	TEXAS	1	4.2	0	0.0	12	50.0	24
	WASHINGTON	2	8.0	0	0.0	10	40.0	25
	WAYNE	3	25.0	1	8.3	4	33.3	12
	REGION TOTAL	31	4.2	5	0.7	215	29.3	735
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	0	0.0	1	3.3	14	46.7	30
	BARTON	1	10.0	0	0.0	3	30.0	10
	BATES	1	5.3	1	5.3	8	42.1	19
	BENTON	1	9.1	0	0.0	7	63.6	11
	CAMDEN	1	2.5	1	2.5	13	32.5	40
	CEDAR	1	7.1	0	0.0	3	21.4	14
	CHRISTIAN	1	2.2	1	2.2	15	32.6	46
	DADE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	3
	DALLAS	1	4.4	0	0.0	7	30.4	23
	DOUGLAS	1	5.6	0	0.0	6	33.3	18
	GREENE	21	8.3	5	2.0	65	25.6	254
	HENRY	2	11.1	0	0.0	3	16.7	18
	HICKORY	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	5
	JASPER	3	3.3	0	0.0	27	29.4	92
	LACLEDE	2	2.7	1	1.4	17	23.0	74
	LAWRENCE	1	4.6	0	0.0	13	59.1	22
	MCDONALD	1	3.2	2	6.5	8	25.8	31
	MILLER	1	3.0	0	0.0	9	27.3	33
	MONITEAU	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	23.5	17
	MORGAN	2	7.1	2	7.1	7	25.0	28
	NEWTON	1	2.0	0	0.0	21	42.9	49
	OZARK	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	5
	POLK	1	3.5	1	3.5	8	27.6	29
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	5
	STONE	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	58.3	12
	TANEY	1	1.8	4	7.3	12	21.8	55
	VERNON	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	23.8	21
	WEBSTER	1	2.4	0	0.0	20	48.8	41
	WRIGHT	1	2.4	3	7.1	13	31.0	42
	REGION TOTAL	46	4.4	22	2.1	325	31.0	1,047
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	24	4.5	7	1.3	198	36.9	536
	REGION TOTAL	24	4.5	7	1.3	198	36.9	536
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	10	2.9	4	1.2	90	25.9	347
	ST LOUIS CITY	8	3.8	6	2.9	57	27.3	209
	REGION TOTAL	18	3.2	10	1.8	147	26.4	556
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	1	1.4	0	0.0	29	41.4	70
	REGION TOTAL	1	1.4	0	0.0	29	41.4	70
STATE TOTAL		169	3.9	65	1.5	1,311	30.6	4,291

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated incidents. Percent total is greater than 100 because a substantiated incident may be assigned up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Appendix F.

2010 Substantiated Children by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	PHYSICAL ABUSE		NEGLECT		EMOTIONAL MALTREATMENT	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	5	33.3	7	46.7	0	0.0
	ATCHISON	5	45.5	5	45.5	6	54.6
	BUCHANAN	38	39.6	38	39.6	0	0.0
	CALDWELL	6	46.2	5	38.5	4	30.8
	CARROLL	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3
	CASS	20	24.4	45	54.9	4	4.9
	CHARITON	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	CLAY	20	24.1	31	37.4	3	3.6
	CLINTON	9	27.3	22	66.7	5	15.2
	COOPER	9	33.3	13	48.2	4	14.8
	DAVISS	3	25.0	7	58.3	0	0.0
	DE KALB	3	25.0	5	41.7	0	0.0
	GENTRY	1	5.0	13	65.0	0	0.0
	GRUNDY	1	11.1	5	55.6	0	0.0
	HARRISON	2	18.2	6	54.6	0	0.0
	JOHNSON	13	28.9	19	42.2	0	0.0
	LAFAYETTE	8	34.8	10	43.5	0	0.0
	LINN	4	26.7	6	40.0	0	0.0
	LIVINGSTON	6	25.0	8	33.3	1	4.2
	MERCER	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3
	NODAWAY	1	3.7	20	74.1	0	0.0
	PETTIS	7	18.0	23	59.0	0	0.0
	PLATTE	6	15.4	17	43.6	3	7.7
	PUTNAM	4	26.7	11	73.3	3	20.0
	RAY	2	15.4	9	69.2	0	0.0
SALINE	9	26.5	14	41.2	2	5.9	
SULLIVAN	2	33.3	3	50.0	0	0.0	
WORTH	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	
	REGION TOTAL	188	26.4	343	48.1	38	5.3
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	8	25.8	24	77.4	0	0.0
	AUDRAIN	9	30.0	22	73.3	0	0.0
	BOONE	20	27.4	33	45.2	1	1.4
	CALLAWAY	14	45.2	12	38.7	9	29.0
	CLARK	5	35.7	14	100.0	3	21.4
	COLE	6	31.6	4	21.1	0	0.0
	FRANKLIN	30	30.6	58	59.2	0	0.0
	GASCONADE	3	25.0	6	50.0	0	0.0
	HOWARD	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
	JEFFERSON	84	32.4	170	65.6	1	0.4
	KNOX	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	LEWIS	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0
	LINCOLN	16	23.5	38	55.9	0	0.0
	MACON	9	45.0	13	65.0	5	25.0
	MARION	26	29.2	63	70.8	9	10.1
	MONROE	1	9.1	8	72.7	0	0.0
	MONTGOMERY	3	9.7	28	90.3	0	0.0
	OSAGE	0	0.0	6	60.0	0	0.0
	PIKE	12	41.4	20	69.0	1	3.5
	RALLS	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0
	RANDOLPH	6	25.0	11	45.8	1	4.2
	ST CHARLES	59	24.1	147	60.0	3	1.2
	SCHUYLER	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0
	SHELBY	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0
WARREN	10	23.3	27	62.8	0	0.0	
	REGION TOTAL	330	28.3	723	62.0	33	2.8
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	8	34.8	17	73.9	0	0.0
	BUTLER	9	22.0	29	70.7	0	0.0
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	11	16.4	44	65.7	0	0.0
	CARTER	3	37.5	7	87.5	0	0.0
	CRAWFORD	13	40.6	14	43.8	5	15.6
	DENT	9	47.4	8	42.1	0	0.0
	DUNKLIN	23	27.7	49	59.0	0	0.0
	HOWELL	39	43.3	53	58.9	2	2.2
	IRON	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3
	MADISON	13	41.9	16	51.6	2	6.5
	MARIES	3	25.0	8	66.7	0	0.0
	MISSISSIPPI	4	21.1	8	42.1	0	0.0
	NEW MADRID	22	44.0	20	40.0	2	4.0
	OREGON	3	30.0	4	40.0	0	0.0
	PEMISCOT	18	26.1	42	60.9	1	1.5

Appendix F. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Children by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	MEDICAL NEGLECT		EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT		SEXUAL MALTREATMENT		TOTAL SUBSTAN- TIATED CHILDREN	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	20.0	15	
	ATCHISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	18.2	11	
	BUCHANAN	3	3.1	0	0.0	25	26.0	96	
	CALDWELL	1	7.7	2	15.4	2	15.4	13	
	CARROLL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	
	CASS	5	6.1	0	0.0	17	20.7	82	
	CHARITON	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	2	
	CLAY	3	3.6	0	0.0	34	41.0	83	
	CLINTON	4	12.1	1	3.0	6	18.2	33	
	COOPER	2	7.4	0	0.0	11	40.7	27	
	DAVISS	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	25.0	12	
	DE KALB	0	0.0	2	16.7	2	16.7	12	
	GENTRY	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	30.0	20	
	GRUNDY	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	55.6	9	
	HARRISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	36.4	11	
	JOHNSON	1	2.2	0	0.0	15	33.3	45	
	LAFAYETTE	1	4.4	1	4.4	5	21.7	23	
	LINN	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	46.7	15	
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0	4	16.7	6	25.0	24	
	MERCER	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	3	
	NODAWAY	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	11.1	27	
	PETTIS	3	7.7	0	0.0	6	15.4	39	
	PLATTE	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	46.2	39	
	PUTNAM	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	
	RAY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	
	SALINE	0	0.0	4	11.8	9	26.5	34	
	SULLIVAN	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7	6	
	WORTH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	
		REGION TOTAL	26	3.7	14	2.0	193	27.1	713
	NORTHEAST	ADAIR	1	3.2	0	0.0	4	12.9	31
		AUDRAIN	0	0.0	1	3.3	5	16.7	30
BOONE		2	2.7	0	0.0	21	28.8	73	
CALLAWAY		1	3.2	0	0.0	9	29.0	31	
CLARK		1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	
COLE		0	0.0	0	0.0	12	63.2	19	
FRANKLIN		0	0.0	2	2.0	17	17.4	98	
GASCONADE		0	0.0	0	0.0	4	33.3	12	
HOWARD		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	
JEFFERSON		1	0.4	2	0.8	61	23.6	259	
KNOX		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	
LEWIS		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	
LINCOLN		5	7.4	0	0.0	15	22.1	68	
MACON		1	5.0	0	0.0	4	20.0	20	
MARION		2	2.3	2	2.3	16	18.0	89	
MONROE		0	0.0	0	0.0	2	18.2	11	
MONTGOMERY		4	12.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	
OSAGE		1	10.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	10	
PIKE		4	13.8	0	0.0	3	10.3	29	
RALLS		1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7	6	
RANDOLPH		0	0.0	0	0.0	9	37.5	24	
ST CHARLES		7	2.9	4	1.6	57	23.3	245	
SCHUYLER		0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	5	
SCOTLAND		0	0.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	5	
SHELBY		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	
WARREN		3	7.0	0	0.0	7	16.3	43	
		REGION TOTAL	34	2.9	12	1.0	258	22.1	1,166
SOUTHEAST		BOLLINGER	0	0.0	1	4.4	6	26.1	23
		BUTLER	4	9.8	2	4.9	5	12.2	41
		CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	17.9	67
		CARTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	8
		CRAWFORD	1	3.1	0	0.0	5	15.6	32
	DENT	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	31.6	19	
	DUNKLIN	1	1.2	0	0.0	22	26.5	83	
	HOWELL	3	3.3	0	0.0	17	18.9	90	
	IRON	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	7	
	MADISON	7	22.6	0	0.0	10	32.3	31	
	MARIES	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	16.7	12	
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	31.6	19	
	NEW MADRID	3	6.0	2	4.0	15	30.0	50	
	OREGON	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	40.0	10	
	PEMISCOT	2	2.9	0	0.0	9	13.0	69	

Appendix F. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Children by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	PHYSICAL ABUSE		NEGLECT		EMOTIONAL MALTREATMENT	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
SOUTHEAST	PERRY	8	28.6	14	50.0	0	0.0
	PHELPS	8	17.4	30	65.2	1	2.2
	PULASKI	26	37.1	38	54.3	0	0.0
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0	5	55.6	0	0.0
	RIPLEY	2	20.0	8	80.0	0	0.0
	ST FRANCOIS	21	21.7	53	54.6	7	7.2
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	37.5	10	62.5	0	0.0
	SCOTT	30	54.6	40	72.7	0	0.0
	SHANNON	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	STODDARD	16	18.0	65	73.0	5	5.6
	TEXAS	10	34.5	8	27.6	4	13.8
	WASHINGTON	16	40.0	26	65.0	2	5.0
	WAYNE	4	30.8	5	38.5	0	0.0
	REGION TOTAL	331	31.0	624	58.4	32	3.0
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	10	22.7	19	43.2	1	2.3
	BARTON	1	6.3	11	68.8	0	0.0
	BATES	8	32.0	11	44.0	3	12.0
	BENTON	3	27.3	2	18.2	0	0.0
	CAMDEN	11	19.0	33	56.9	2	3.5
	CEDAR	3	18.8	10	62.5	0	0.0
	CHRISTIAN	12	19.4	30	48.4	0	0.0
	DADE	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	DALLAS	6	17.1	28	80.0	0	0.0
	DOUGLAS	6	26.1	10	43.5	0	0.0
	GREENE	88	23.9	239	65.0	22	6.0
	HENRY	9	29.0	21	67.7	9	29.0
	HICKORY	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	JASPER	39	32.0	68	55.7	6	4.9
	LACLEDE	26	20.6	92	73.0	3	2.4
	LAWRENCE	4	12.9	13	41.9	1	3.2
	MCDONALD	9	18.8	34	70.8	0	0.0
	MILLER	6	12.8	35	74.5	2	4.3
	MONITEAU	9	37.5	15	62.5	1	4.2
	MORGAN	8	16.7	33	68.8	0	0.0
	NEWTON	14	22.6	27	43.6	4	6.5
	OZARK	2	25.0	6	75.0	0	0.0
	POLK	26	46.4	36	64.3	6	10.7
	ST CLAIR	1	16.7	3	50.0	2	33.3
	STONE	5	33.3	3	20.0	0	0.0
	TANEY	20	25.6	35	44.9	1	1.3
	VERNON	8	28.6	14	50.0	0	0.0
	WEBSTER	17	32.1	19	35.9	1	1.9
	WRIGHT	11	20.0	30	54.6	1	1.8
	REGION TOTAL	363	24.1	881	58.5	65	4.3
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	200	26.4	369	48.7	48	6.3
	REGION TOTAL	200	26.4	369	48.7	48	6.3
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	157	33.3	247	52.3	13	2.8
	ST LOUIS CITY	90	33.0	128	46.9	5	1.8
	REGION TOTAL	247	33.2	375	50.3	18	2.4
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	24	24.2	43	43.4	0	0.0
	REGION TOTAL	24	24.2	43	43.4	0	0.0
STATE TOTAL		1,683	27.8	3,358	55.5	234	3.9

Appendix F. (continued)

2010 Substantiated Children by Region, County and Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	MEDICAL NEGLECT		EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT		SEXUAL MALTREATMENT		TOTAL SUBSTANTIATED CHILDREN
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
SOUTHEAST	PERRY	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	28.6	28
	PHELPS	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	23.9	46
	PULASKI	1	1.4	0	0.0	10	14.3	70
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	9
	RIPLEY	1	10.0	1	10.0	2	20.0	10
	ST FRANCOIS	8	8.3	0	0.0	33	34.0	97
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	6.3	0	0.0	4	25.0	16
	SCOTT	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	23.6	55
	SHANNON	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	5
	STODDARD	3	3.4	0	0.0	11	12.4	89
	TEXAS	1	3.5	0	0.0	12	41.4	29
	WASHINGTON	2	5.0	0	0.0	11	27.5	40
	WAYNE	3	23.1	1	7.7	4	30.8	13
	REGION TOTAL		41	3.8	7	0.7	246	23.0
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	0	0.0	1	2.3	18	40.9	44
	BARTON	1	6.3	0	0.0	3	18.8	16
	BATES	1	4.0	1	4.0	10	40.0	25
	BENTON	1	9.1	0	0.0	7	63.6	11
	CAMDEN	1	1.7	1	1.7	15	25.9	58
	CEDAR	1	6.3	0	0.0	3	18.8	16
	CHRISTIAN	1	1.6	1	1.6	19	30.7	62
	DADE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	5
	DALLAS	1	2.9	0	0.0	7	20.0	35
	DOUGLAS	1	4.4	0	0.0	6	26.1	23
	GREENE	28	7.6	6	1.6	70	19.0	368
	HENRY	2	6.5	0	0.0	3	9.7	31
	HICKORY	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	5
	JASPER	3	2.5	0	0.0	32	26.2	122
	LACLEDE	2	1.6	4	3.2	17	13.5	126
	LAWRENCE	1	3.2	0	0.0	15	48.4	31
	MCDONALD	1	2.1	3	6.3	9	18.8	48
	MILLER	1	2.1	0	0.0	10	21.3	47
	MONITEAU	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	16.7	24
	MORGAN	2	4.2	3	6.3	8	16.7	48
	NEWTON	1	1.6	0	0.0	24	38.7	62
	OZARK	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	8
	POLK	1	1.8	2	3.6	9	16.1	56
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	6
	STONE	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	53.3	15
	TANEY	1	1.3	6	7.7	15	19.2	78
	VERNON	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	17.9	28
	WEBSTER	1	1.9	0	0.0	21	39.6	53
	WRIGHT	1	1.8	4	7.3	15	27.3	55
	REGION TOTAL		53	3.5	32	2.1	364	24.2
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	27	3.6	8	1.1	222	29.3	758
	REGION TOTAL	27	3.6	8	1.1	222	29.3	758
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS COUNTY	12	2.5	7	1.5	102	21.6	472
	ST LOUIS CITY	8	2.9	8	2.9	67	24.5	273
	REGION TOTAL	20	2.7	15	2.0	169	22.7	745
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	1	1.0	0	0.0	33	33.3	99
	REGION TOTAL	1	1.0	0	0.0	33	33.3	99
STATE TOTAL		202	3.3	88	1.5	1485	24.5	6,055

Percent is the percentage of total substantiated children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a child may be found substantiated for up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Appendix G.

Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities by Year of Death, Region, and County

REGION	COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
NORTHWEST	BUCHANAN	1	1	1	1	0
	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0
	CASS	0	1	1	0	1
	CLAY	2	2	1	1	1
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0
	COOPER	1	0	0	0	0
	DAVISS	1	0	0	0	0
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	1
	GRUNDY	1	0	0	0	0
	JOHNSON	0	2	0	1	0
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	0
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	0
	PLATTE	0	1	0	0	0
	RAY	0	0	0	0	0
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	0
	SULLIVAN	1	0	0	0	0
	REGION TOTAL	7	7	3	3	3
NORTHEAST	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	1
	BOONE	0	0	1	0	0
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	0
	COLE	0	1	0	0	0
	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	1
	GASCONADE	0	1	0	0	0
	HOWARD	0	1	0	0	0
	JEFFERSON	0	2	3	0	0
	LEWIS	1	0	0	1	0
	LINCOLN	1	0	1	0	0
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	0
	PIKE	0	1	0	0	0
	RALLS	0	1	0	0	0
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	0
	ST. CHARLES	0	1	0	3	3
WARREN	1	0	0	0	0	
	REGION TOTAL	3	8	5	4	5
SOUTHEAST	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	0
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	1
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0
	HOWELL	1	0	0	0	2
	IRON	0	0	0	1	0
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0
	NEW MADRID	0	0	1	0	0
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	1	0
	PHELPS	1	0	1	0	0
	PULASKI	0	0	1	0	0
	REYNOLDS	0	1	0	0	0
	ST. FRANCOIS	0	1	0	0	0
	STE. GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	0
	SCOTT	1	0	0	1	0
	SHANNON	0	1	0	0	0
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	0
TEXAS	0	0	2	1	0	
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	1	0	
	REGION TOTAL	4	3	5	5	3
SOUTHWEST	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0
	BATES	0	0	0	0	0
	CAMDEN	1	2	0	0	0
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	0
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	0
	DALLAS	0	0	0	1	0
	DOUGLAS	0	1	0	1	0
	GREENE	2	1	0	3	3
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	0
	JASPER	0	0	1	1	1
	LACLEDE	1	2	0	0	3

Appendix G. (continued)**Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities by Year of Death, Region, and County**

REGION	COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
SOUTHWEST	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0
	MCDONALD	0	1	0	0	1
	MILLER	1	2	1	1	0
	NEWTON	0	3	1	1	0
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	1
	STONE	0	0	0	0	0
	TANEY	0	1	1	0	0
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	0
	WEBSTER	0	0	1	0	0
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	0
	REGION TOTAL	5	13	5	8	9
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	6	4	7	4	4
	REGION TOTAL	6	4	7	4	4
ST. LOUIS	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	0	8	2	5	1
	ST. LOUIS CITY	2	3	2	4	4
	REGION TOTAL	2	11	4	9	5
OTHER	AREA OFFICE	0	0	0	0	0
	OUT HOME INV	0	0	1	0	0
	REGION TOTAL	0	0	1	0	0
STATE TOTAL		27	46	30	33	29