

## PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - May - July 2005

Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2005-1	FY 2005-2	FY 2005-3	PIP QTR-2 FY 2005-4	Q-2 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal	
# 1. Timeliness of initial child contact	1	76.4%	79.1%	80.0%	81.9%	79.4%	76.6%	80.4%	
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidivism)	2	5.5%	4.5%	5.5%	4.9%	5.1%	8.3%	7.4%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not NCANDS formula
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse in foster care (Goal achieved)	2	0.58%	0.56%	0.53%	0.44%	0.53%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed
#10. Children returning home me by length of time till reunification	8	73.3%	72.5%	65.6%	69.1%	70.2%	59.8%	62.2%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula
#11. Children existing DFS custody to adoption by length of time till adoption	9	40.7%	37.7%	42.0%	36.8%	39.2%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed
# 12. Children with timely completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and 6 months	26	63.8%	62.1%	61.8%	61.4%	62.3%	62.8%	69.0%	
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months)	6	73.9%	73.2%	74.2%	74.5%	73.9%	78.6%	80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula
# 17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS-1)	14	23.8%	23.7%	24.1%	24.2%	24.0%	23.3%	25.6%	
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-4)	15	27.0%	27.3%	28.1%	28.3%	27.7%	25.9%	27.2%	
<b>Peer Record Review Results**</b>	<b>PIP Item</b>	<b>CY 2004-3</b>	<b>CY 2004-4</b>	<b>CY 2005-1</b>	<b>PIP QTR-2 CY 2005-2</b>	<b>Q-2 Average Performance</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Goal</b>	
III-3. The needs of the family/child are identified.	17	91.1%	91.4%	90.3%	89.2%	90.5%	91.0%	95.6%	
III-10. Rating for the overall quality of the comprehensive assessment.	17	84.5%	82.9%	84.0%	80.7%	83.0%	82.1%	86.2%	
IV-8. The family participated in the development and signed the service plan.	18	78.1%	77.9%	77.1%	74.3%	76.9%	74.1%	77.8%	
IV-13. Services being provided to the family are adequate to meet their needs as identified in the assessment.	4	90.6%	90.1%	90.8%	91.0%	90.6%	89.4%	90.3%	
IV-14. The worker visits with the family (caretakers) at least one time per month.	20	84.7%	85.9%	86.6%	84.9%	85.6%	85.3%	89.5%	
IV-15. Rating for the overall quality of the service plan and service delivery process.	3 17 25	81.3%	79.3%	80.4%	79.2%	80.0%	80.4%	84.4%	
V-1. Consideration was given to relatives or kin for placement.	14 15	88.3%	84.9%	86.0%	84.6%	85.9%	83.0%	87.2%	
V-3. The child is placed in close proximity to their family.	16	91.5%	89.5%	89.8%	91.4%	90.5%	91.0%	91.9%	
V-4. Siblings are placed together or there is ongoing visitation.	12	83.8%	87.4%	90.4%	88.3%	87.6%	85.6%	89.9%	

