CBEC Home Visiting Workgroup
January 19, 2012, 10:00 – 3:00
CFPR, Columbia

MINUTES

Present: Jean Craig (Healthy Start, KC), Melinda Oehlmiller (NFN), Cindy Reese (DSS), Laura Malzner (CTF), Tammie Benton (Youth-in-Need, HS and EHS), Chelsea Brophy (Ph.D. student intern, policy practicum), JoAnne Ralston (DESE), Cindy Wilkinson (DOHSS), Patsy Carter (DMH), Daryl Rothman (CBEC ED), Val Lane (CBEC, HV workgroup co-chair).

- Revisit discussion of "evidence-based."
    - The group engaged in a discussion of if we want all state-funded HV programs to be evidence-based. Questions:
        - Do we limit the state if only use the MIECHV list?
            - Possibility: yes. Rigor presents a barrier. Bottom line: Do they achieve the outcomes defined by their program? Typically, universities provide the research to establish the "evidence."
    - Suggestion: This WG needs to define what we would want from any state-funded HV program.
    - What do we want as our criteria? [NOTE: no formal decisions, these notes capture discussion only.]
        - The model has defined outcomes that are relevant to program objectives, outcomes meet criteria of HV programs as defined by this workgroup in earlier survey.
        - The model has a comprehensive, ongoing evaluation plan.
        - Outcomes are externally validated in a credible way.
        - Outcomes are criteria-based, based on "performance measures."
        - Have there been successful replications?
        - Does the model (objectives, etc) meet a need consistent with the state's priorities for HV?
        - Is it respectful of families?
        - Model must have logic model – key implementation points identified that drive fidelity.
        - Must include system of ongoing monitoring.
        - Must identify initial standards for education, training, etc.
        - Fidelity → practice → outcomes
- Review maps from OSEDA
    - Daryl provided maps that show counties and the various HV programs currently operating.

    - Discussion captured suggested changes.
    - Daryl will collect needed information and get back with OSEDA to update.
- Vision/Purpose/Mission (of HV Workgroup)
    - Include review of CBEC strategic plan – discussion of whether WG sets charge for the WG, or if full board sets the charge.
    - Original charge: assess what's happening in HV in MO, make policy recommendations to CBEC.
        - 1st charge: have maps and matrix… we have an in-depth inventory of HV programs in MO; have a definition…
        - 2nd – re policy recs: we have identified certain areas that need more focus:
            - Defining evidence based for HV programs.
                - Does MO want to pursue evidence-based practices?
                - Findings from our inventory: some programs are evidence based, some are not. This is an issue that MO may need to tackle.
            - Also, through review, identified varying practices with screening – in order to move toward comprehensive screening system, does board want this group, or another WG to tackle this? (May be broader than HV.)
- Review matrix – (Are we getting close?)
    - GOAL – take matrix and maps to march CBEC meeting.

NEXT MEETING: March 5 at 3:00 – conference call.